

Stone Relation Algebras

Walter Guttmann

February 9, 2017

Abstract

We develop Stone relation algebras, which generalise relation algebras by replacing the underlying Boolean algebra structure with a Stone algebra. We show that finite matrices over extended real numbers form an instance. As a consequence, relation-algebraic concepts and methods can be used for reasoning about weighted graphs. We also develop a fixpoint calculus and apply it to compare different definitions of reflexive-transitive closures in semirings.

Contents

1	Synopsis and Motivation	2
2	Fixpoints	3
3	Semirings	17
3.1	Idempotent Semirings	17
3.2	Bounded Idempotent Semirings	26
4	Relation Algebras	30
4.1	Stone Relation Algebras	30
4.2	Relation Algebras	52
5	Subalgebras of Relation Algebras	55
6	Matrix Relation Algebras	61
6.1	Finite Suprema	61
6.2	Square Matrices	64
6.3	Stone Algebras	65
6.4	Semirings	67
6.5	Stone Relation Algebras	71
7	Extended Reals	74
8	Matrices over Extended Reals	79

1 Synopsis and Motivation

This document describes the following seven theory files:

- * `Fixpoints` develops a fixpoint calculus based on partial orders. We also consider least (pre)fixpoints and greatest (post)fixpoints. The derived rules include `unfold`, `square`, `rolling`, `fusion`, `exchange` and `diagonal` rules studied in [1]. Our results are based on the existence of fixpoints instead of completeness of the underlying structure.
- * `Semirings` contains a hierarchy of structures generalising idempotent semirings. In particular, several of these algebras do not assume that multiplication is associative in order to capture models such as multirelations. Even in such a weak setting we can derive several results comparing different definitions of reflexive-transitive closures based on fixpoints.
- * `Relation Algebras` introduces Stone relation algebras, which weaken the Boolean algebra structure of relation algebras to Stone algebras. This is motivated by the wish to represent weighted graphs (matrices over numbers) in addition to unweighted graphs (Boolean matrices) that form relations. Many results of relation algebras can be derived from the weaker axioms and therefore also apply to weighted graphs. Some results hold in Stone relation algebras after small modifications. This allows us to apply relational concepts and methods also to weighted graphs. In particular, we prove a number of properties that have been used to verify graph algorithms. Tarski's relation algebras [28] arise as a special case by imposing further axioms.
- * `Subalgebras of Relation Algebras` studies the structures of subsets of elements characterised by a given property. In particular we look at regular elements (which correspond to unweighted graphs), coreflexives (tests), vectors and covectors (which can be used to represent sets). The subsets are turned into Isabelle/HOL types, which are shown to form instances of various algebras.
- * `Matrix Relation Algebras` lifts the Stone algebra hierarchy, the semiring structure and, finally, Stone relation algebras to finite square matrices. These are mostly standard constructions similar to those in [3, 4] implemented so that they work for many algebraic structures. In particular, they can be instantiated to weighted graphs (see below) and extended to Kleene algebras (not part of this development).
- * `Extended Reals` extends real numbers by a least element and a greatest element. We show that extended reals form a Stone algebra and a Stone relation algebra. We also extend the standard addition of reals

to extended reals and derive further properties used in the following development.

- * `Matrices over Extended Reals` combines the previous two theories to study relational properties. In particular, we characterise univalent, injective, total, surjective, mapping, bijective, vector, covector, point, atom, reflexive, coreflexive, irreflexive, symmetric, antisymmetric and asymmetric matrices. Definitions of these properties are taken from relation algebras and their meaning for matrices over extended reals (weighted graphs) is explained by logical formulas in terms of matrix entries.

The development is based on a theory of Stone algebras [15] and forms the basis for an extension to Kleene algebras to capture further properties of graphs. We apply Stone relation algebras to verify Prim’s minimum spanning tree algorithm in Isabelle/HOL in [14].

Related libraries for semirings and relation algebras in the Archive of Formal Proofs are [3, 4]. The theory `Kleene_Algebra/Dioid.thy` introduces a number of structures that generalise idempotent semirings, but does not cover most of the semiring structures in the present development. The theory `Relation_Algebra/Relation_Algebra.thy` covers Tarski’s relation algebras and hence cannot be reused for the present development as most properties need to be derived from the weaker axioms of Stone relation algebras. The matrix constructions in theories `Kleene_Algebra/Inf_Matrix.thy` and `Relation_Algebra/Relation_Algebra_Models.thy` are similar, but have strong restrictions on the matrix entry types not appropriate for many algebraic structures in the present development. We also deviate from these hierarchies by basing idempotent semirings directly on the Isabelle/HOL semilattice structures instead of a separate structure; this results in a somewhat smoother integration with the lattice structure of relation algebras.

2 Fixpoints

This theory develops a fixpoint calculus based on partial orders. Besides fixpoints we consider least prefixpoints and greatest postfixpoints of functions on a partial order. We do not assume that the underlying structure is complete or that all functions are continuous or isotone. Assumptions about the existence of fixpoints and necessary properties of the involved functions will be stated explicitly in each theorem. This way, the results can be instantiated by various structures, such as complete lattices and Kleene algebras, which impose different kinds of restriction. See, for example, [1, 10] for fixpoint calculi in complete lattices. Our fixpoint calculus contains similar rules, in particular:

- * `unfold rule`,

- * fixpoint operators preserve isotonicity,
- * square rule,
- * rolling rule,
- * various fusion rules,
- * exchange rule and
- * diagonal rule.

All of our rules are based on existence rather than completeness of the underlying structure. We have applied results from this theory in [13] and subsequent papers for unifying and reasoning about the semantics of recursion in various relational and matrix-based computation models.

theory *Fixpoints*

imports *../Stone-Algebras/Lattice-Basics*

begin

The whole calculus is based on partial orders only.

context *order*

begin

We first define when an element x is a least/greatest (pre/post)fixpoint of a given function f .

definition *is-fixpoint* $f x \equiv f x = x$ $:: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$ **where** *is-fixpoint*

definition *is-prefixpoint* $f x \equiv f x \leq x$ $:: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$ **where** *is-prefixpoint*

definition *is-postfixpoint* $f x \equiv f x \geq x$ $:: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$ **where** *is-postfixpoint*

definition *is-least-fixpoint* $f x \equiv f x = x \wedge (\forall y . f y = y \longrightarrow x \leq y)$ $:: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$ **where** *is-least-fixpoint*

definition *is-greatest-fixpoint* $f x \equiv f x = x \wedge (\forall y . f y = y \longrightarrow x \geq y)$ $:: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$ **where** *is-greatest-fixpoint*

definition *is-least-prefixpoint* $f x \equiv f x \leq x \wedge (\forall y . f y \leq y \longrightarrow x \leq y)$ $:: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$ **where** *is-least-prefixpoint*

definition *is-greatest-postfixpoint* $f x \equiv f x \geq x \wedge (\forall y . f y \geq y \longrightarrow x \geq y)$ $:: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow 'a \Rightarrow bool$ **where** *is-greatest-postfixpoint*

Next follows the existence of the corresponding fixpoints for a given function f .

definition *has-fixpoint* $f \equiv \exists x . is_fixpoint\ f\ x$ $:: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow bool$ **where** *has-fixpoint*

definition *has-prefixpoint* $f \equiv \exists x . is_prefixpoint\ f\ x$ $:: ('a \Rightarrow 'a) \Rightarrow bool$ **where** *has-prefixpoint*

definition *has-postfixpoint* :: ('a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ bool **where** *has-postfixpoint*
f ≡ ∃ x . *is-postfixpoint* f x

definition *has-least-fixpoint* :: ('a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ bool **where** *has-least-fixpoint*
f ≡ ∃ x . *is-least-fixpoint* f x

definition *has-greatest-fixpoint* :: ('a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ bool **where**
has-greatest-fixpoint f ≡ ∃ x . *is-greatest-fixpoint* f x

definition *has-least-prefixpoint* :: ('a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ bool **where**
has-least-prefixpoint f ≡ ∃ x . *is-least-prefixpoint* f x

definition *has-greatest-postfixpoint* :: ('a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ bool **where**
has-greatest-postfixpoint f ≡ ∃ x . *is-greatest-postfixpoint* f x

The actual least/greatest (pre/post)fixpoints of a given function *f* are extracted by the following operators.

definition *the-least-fixpoint* :: ('a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'a (μ - [201] 200) **where** μ f
= (THE x . *is-least-fixpoint* f x)

definition *the-greatest-fixpoint* :: ('a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'a (ν - [201] 200) **where** ν f
= (THE x . *is-greatest-fixpoint* f x)

definition *the-least-prefixpoint* :: ('a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'a (pμ - [201] 200) **where** pμ f
= (THE x . *is-least-prefixpoint* f x)

definition *the-greatest-postfixpoint* :: ('a ⇒ 'a) ⇒ 'a (pν - [201] 200) **where** pν f
= (THE x . *is-greatest-postfixpoint* f x)

We start with basic consequences of the above definitions.

lemma *least-fixpoint-unique*:

has-least-fixpoint f ⇒ ∃!x . *is-least-fixpoint* f x
using *has-least-fixpoint-def is-least-fixpoint-def antisym* **by** *auto*

lemma *greatest-fixpoint-unique*:

has-greatest-fixpoint f ⇒ ∃!x . *is-greatest-fixpoint* f x
using *has-greatest-fixpoint-def is-greatest-fixpoint-def antisym* **by** *auto*

lemma *least-prefixpoint-unique*:

has-least-prefixpoint f ⇒ ∃!x . *is-least-prefixpoint* f x
using *has-least-prefixpoint-def is-least-prefixpoint-def antisym* **by** *auto*

lemma *greatest-postfixpoint-unique*:

has-greatest-postfixpoint f ⇒ ∃!x . *is-greatest-postfixpoint* f x
using *has-greatest-postfixpoint-def is-greatest-postfixpoint-def antisym* **by** *auto*

lemma *least-fixpoint*:

has-least-fixpoint f ⇒ *is-least-fixpoint* f (μ f)
by (*simp add: least-fixpoint-unique theI' the-least-fixpoint-def*)

lemma *greatest-fixpoint*:

has-greatest-fixpoint f ⇒ *is-greatest-fixpoint* f (ν f)
by (*simp add: greatest-fixpoint-unique theI' the-greatest-fixpoint-def*)

lemma *least-prefixpoint*:

has-least-prefixpoint f ⇒ *is-least-prefixpoint* f (pμ f)

by (*simp add: least-prefixpoint-unique theI' the-least-prefixpoint-def*)

lemma *greatest-postfixpoint*:

has-greatest-postfixpoint f \implies is-greatest-postfixpoint f (pν f)

by (*simp add: greatest-postfixpoint-unique theI' the-greatest-postfixpoint-def*)

lemma *least-fixpoint-same*:

is-least-fixpoint f x \implies x = μ f

by (*simp add: is-least-fixpoint-def antisym the-equality the-least-fixpoint-def*)

lemma *greatest-fixpoint-same*:

is-greatest-fixpoint f x \implies x = ν f

using *greatest-fixpoint greatest-fixpoint-unique has-greatest-fixpoint-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *least-prefixpoint-same*:

is-least-prefixpoint f x \implies x = pμ f

using *has-least-prefixpoint-def least-prefixpoint least-prefixpoint-unique* **by** *blast*

lemma *greatest-postfixpoint-same*:

is-greatest-postfixpoint f x \implies x = pν f

using *greatest-postfixpoint greatest-postfixpoint-unique*

has-greatest-postfixpoint-def **by** *auto*

lemma *least-fixpoint-char*:

is-least-fixpoint f x \iff has-least-fixpoint f \wedge x = μ f

using *has-least-fixpoint-def least-fixpoint-same* **by** *auto*

lemma *least-prefixpoint-char*:

is-least-prefixpoint f x \iff has-least-prefixpoint f \wedge x = pμ f

using *has-least-prefixpoint-def least-prefixpoint-same* **by** *auto*

lemma *greatest-fixpoint-char*:

is-greatest-fixpoint f x \iff has-greatest-fixpoint f \wedge x = ν f

using *greatest-fixpoint-same has-greatest-fixpoint-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *greatest-postfixpoint-char*:

is-greatest-postfixpoint f x \iff has-greatest-postfixpoint f \wedge x = pν f

using *greatest-postfixpoint-same has-greatest-postfixpoint-def* **by** *auto*

Next come the unfold rules for least/greatest (pre/post)fixpoints.

lemma *mu-unfold*:

has-least-fixpoint f \implies f (μ f) = μ f

using *is-least-fixpoint-def least-fixpoint* **by** *auto*

lemma *pμ-unfold*:

has-least-prefixpoint f \implies f (pμ f) ≤ pμ f

using *is-least-prefixpoint-def least-prefixpoint* **by** *blast*

lemma *nu-unfold*:

has-greatest-fixpoint $f \implies \nu f = f (\nu f)$
by (*metis is-greatest-fixpoint-def greatest-fixpoint*)

lemma *pnu-unfold*:

has-greatest-postfixpoint $f \implies p\nu f \leq f (p\nu f)$
using *greatest-postfixpoint is-greatest-postfixpoint-def* **by** *auto*

Pre-/postfixpoints of isotone functions are fixpoints.

lemma *least-prefixpoint-fixpoint*:

has-least-prefixpoint $f \implies \text{isotone } f \implies \text{is-least-fixpoint } f (p\mu f)$
using *is-least-fixpoint-def is-least-prefixpoint-def least-prefixpoint antisym isotone-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *pmu-mu*:

has-least-prefixpoint $f \implies \text{isotone } f \implies p\mu f = \mu f$
by (*simp add: least-fixpoint-same least-prefixpoint-fixpoint*)

lemma *greatest-postfixpoint-fixpoint*:

has-greatest-postfixpoint $f \implies \text{isotone } f \implies \text{is-greatest-fixpoint } f (p\nu f)$
using *greatest-postfixpoint is-greatest-fixpoint-def is-greatest-postfixpoint-def antisym isotone-def* **by** *auto*

lemma *pnu-nu*:

has-greatest-postfixpoint $f \implies \text{isotone } f \implies p\nu f = \nu f$
by (*simp add: greatest-fixpoint-same greatest-postfixpoint-fixpoint*)

The fixpoint operators preserve isotonicity.

lemma *pmu-isotone*:

has-least-prefixpoint $f \implies \text{has-least-prefixpoint } g \implies f \leq\leq g \implies p\mu f \leq p\mu g$
by (*metis is-least-prefixpoint-def least-prefixpoint order-trans lifted-less-eq-def*)

lemma *mu-isotone*:

has-least-prefixpoint $f \implies \text{has-least-prefixpoint } g \implies \text{isotone } f \implies \text{isotone } g$
 $\implies f \leq\leq g \implies \mu f \leq \mu g$
using *pmu-isotone pmu-mu* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *pnu-isotone*:

has-greatest-postfixpoint $f \implies \text{has-greatest-postfixpoint } g \implies f \leq\leq g \implies p\nu f \leq p\nu g$
by (*metis greatest-postfixpoint is-greatest-postfixpoint-def order-trans lifted-less-eq-def*)

lemma *nu-isotone*:

has-greatest-postfixpoint $f \implies \text{has-greatest-postfixpoint } g \implies \text{isotone } f \implies \text{isotone } g$
 $\implies f \leq\leq g \implies \nu f \leq \nu g$
using *pnu-isotone pnu-nu* **by** *fastforce*

The square rule for fixpoints of a function applied twice.

lemma *mu-square*:

isotone f \implies *has-least-fixpoint f* \implies *has-least-fixpoint (f \circ f)* \implies $\mu f = \mu (f \circ f)$

by (*metis (no-types, hide-lams) antisym is-least-fixpoint-def isotone-def least-fixpoint-char least-fixpoint-unique o-apply*)

lemma *nu-square*:

isotone f \implies *has-greatest-fixpoint f* \implies *has-greatest-fixpoint (f \circ f)* \implies $\nu f = \nu (f \circ f)$

by (*metis (no-types, hide-lams) antisym is-greatest-fixpoint-def isotone-def greatest-fixpoint-char greatest-fixpoint-unique o-apply*)

The rolling rule for fixpoints of the composition of two functions.

lemma *mu-roll*:

assumes *isotone g*

and *has-least-fixpoint (f \circ g)*

and *has-least-fixpoint (g \circ f)*

shows $\mu (g \circ f) = g (\mu (f \circ g))$

proof (*rule antisym*)

show $\mu (g \circ f) \leq g (\mu (f \circ g))$

by (*metis assms(2-3) comp-apply is-least-fixpoint-def least-fixpoint*)

next

have *is-least-fixpoint (g \circ f) (mu (f \circ g))*

by (*simp add: assms(3) least-fixpoint*)

thus $g (\mu (f \circ g)) \leq \mu (g \circ f)$

by (*metis (no-types) assms(1-2) comp-def is-least-fixpoint-def least-fixpoint isotone-def*)

qed

lemma *nu-roll*:

assumes *isotone g*

and *has-greatest-fixpoint (f \circ g)*

and *has-greatest-fixpoint (g \circ f)*

shows $\nu (g \circ f) = g (\nu (f \circ g))$

proof (*rule antisym*)

have *1: is-greatest-fixpoint (f \circ g) (nu (f \circ g))*

by (*simp add: assms(2) greatest-fixpoint*)

have *is-greatest-fixpoint (g \circ f) (nu (g \circ f))*

by (*simp add: assms(3) greatest-fixpoint*)

thus $\nu (g \circ f) \leq g (\nu (f \circ g))$

using *1* **by** (*metis (no-types) assms(1) comp-def is-greatest-fixpoint-def isotone-def*)

next

show $g (\nu (f \circ g)) \leq \nu (g \circ f)$

by (*metis assms(2-3) comp-apply greatest-fixpoint is-greatest-fixpoint-def*)

qed

Least (pre)fixpoints are below greatest (post)fixpoints.

lemma *mu-below-nu*:

has-least-fixpoint f \implies *has-greatest-fixpoint f* \implies $\mu f \leq \nu f$

using *greatest-fixpoint is-greatest-fixpoint-def mu-unfold* **by** *auto*

lemma *pmu-below-pnu-fix*:

has-fixpoint f \implies *has-least-prefixpoint f* \implies *has-greatest-postfixpoint f* \implies $p\mu f \leq p\nu f$

by (*metis greatest-postfixpoint has-fixpoint-def is-fixpoint-def is-greatest-postfixpoint-def is-least-prefixpoint-def least-prefixpoint order-refl order-trans*)

lemma *pmu-below-pnu-iso*:

isotone f \implies *has-least-prefixpoint f* \implies *has-greatest-postfixpoint f* \implies $p\mu f \leq p\nu f$

using *greatest-postfixpoint-fixpoint is-greatest-fixpoint-def is-least-fixpoint-def least-prefixpoint-fixpoint* **by** *auto*

Several variants of the fusion rule for fixpoints follow.

lemma *mu-fusion-1*:

assumes *galois l u*

and *isotone h*

and *has-least-prefixpoint g*

and *has-least-fixpoint h*

and $l (g (u (\mu h))) \leq h (l (u (\mu h)))$

shows $l (p\mu g) \leq \mu h$

proof –

have $l (g (u (\mu h))) \leq \mu h$

by (*metis assms(1,2,4,5) galois-char isotone-def order-lesseq-imp mu-unfold*)

thus $l (p\mu g) \leq \mu h$

using *assms(1,3) is-least-prefixpoint-def least-prefixpoint galois-def* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *mu-fusion-2*:

galois l u \implies *isotone h* \implies *has-least-prefixpoint g* \implies *has-least-fixpoint h* \implies $l \circ g \leq h \circ l \implies l (p\mu g) \leq \mu h$

by (*simp add: mu-fusion-1 lifted-less-eq-def*)

lemma *mu-fusion-equal-1*:

galois l u \implies *isotone g* \implies *isotone h* \implies *has-least-prefixpoint g* \implies

has-least-fixpoint h \implies $l (g (u (\mu h))) \leq h (l (u (\mu h))) \implies l (g (p\mu g)) = h (l (p\mu g)) \implies \mu h = l (p\mu g) \wedge \mu h = l (\mu g)$

by (*metis antisym least-fixpoint least-prefixpoint-fixpoint is-least-fixpoint-def mu-fusion-1 pmu-mu*)

lemma *mu-fusion-equal-2*:

galois l u \implies *isotone h* \implies *has-least-prefixpoint g* \implies *has-least-prefixpoint h* \implies $l (g (u (\mu h))) \leq h (l (u (\mu h))) \wedge l (g (p\mu g)) = h (l (p\mu g)) \longrightarrow p\mu h = l (p\mu g) \wedge \mu h = l (p\mu g)$

by (*metis is-least-prefixpoint-def least-fixpoint-char least-prefixpoint least-prefixpoint-fixpoint antisym galois-char isotone-def mu-fusion-1*)

lemma *mu-fusion-equal-3*:
assumes *galois l u*
and *isotone g*
and *isotone h*
and *has-least-prefixpoint g*
and *has-least-fixpoint h*
and $l \circ g = h \circ l$
shows $\mu h = l (p\mu g)$
and $\mu h = l (\mu g)$
proof –
have $\forall x . l (g x) = h (l x)$
using *assms(6) comp-eq-elim* **by** *blast*
thus $\mu h = l (p\mu g)$
using *assms(1-5) mu-fusion-equal-1* **by** *auto*
thus $\mu h = l (\mu g)$
by (*simp add: assms(2,4) pmu-mu*)
qed

lemma *mu-fusion-equal-4*:
assumes *galois l u*
and *isotone h*
and *has-least-prefixpoint g*
and *has-least-prefixpoint h*
and $l \circ g = h \circ l$
shows $p\mu h = l (p\mu g)$
and $\mu h = l (p\mu g)$
proof –
have $\forall x . l (g x) = h (l x)$
using *assms(5) comp-eq-elim* **by** *blast*
thus $p\mu h = l (p\mu g)$
using *assms(1-4) mu-fusion-equal-2* **by** *auto*
thus $\mu h = l (p\mu g)$
by (*simp add: assms(2,4) pmu-mu*)
qed

lemma *nu-fusion-1*:
assumes *galois l u*
and *isotone h*
and *has-greatest-postfixpoint g*
and *has-greatest-fixpoint h*
and $h (u (l (\nu h))) \leq u (g (l (\nu h)))$
shows $\nu h \leq u (p\nu g)$
proof –
have $\nu h \leq u (g (l (\nu h)))$
by (*metis assms(1,2,4,5) order-trans galois-char isotone-def nu-unfold*)
thus $\nu h \leq u (p\nu g)$
by (*metis assms(1,3) greatest-postfixpoint is-greatest-postfixpoint-def ord.galois-def*)
qed

lemma *nu-fusion-2*:

galois l u \implies isotone h \implies has-greatest-postfixpoint g \implies has-greatest-fixpoint h \implies h \circ u $\leq\leq$ u \circ g \implies ν h \leq u (p ν g)
by (*simp add: nu-fusion-1 lifted-less-eq-def*)

lemma *nu-fusion-equal-1*:

galois l u \implies isotone g \implies isotone h \implies has-greatest-postfixpoint g \implies has-greatest-fixpoint h \implies h (u (l (ν h))) \leq u (g (l (ν h))) \implies h (u (p ν g)) = u (g (p ν g)) \implies ν h = u (p ν g) \wedge ν h = u (ν g)
by (*metis greatest-fixpoint-char greatest-postfixpoint-fixpoint is-greatest-fixpoint-def antisym nu-fusion-1*)

lemma *nu-fusion-equal-2*:

galois l u \implies isotone h \implies has-greatest-postfixpoint g \implies has-greatest-postfixpoint h \implies h (u (l (ν h))) \leq u (g (l (ν h))) \wedge h (u (p ν g)) = u (g (p ν g)) \implies p ν h = u (p ν g) \wedge ν h = u (p ν g)
by (*metis greatest-fixpoint-char greatest-postfixpoint greatest-postfixpoint-fixpoint is-greatest-postfixpoint-def antisym galois-char nu-fusion-1 isotone-def*)

lemma *nu-fusion-equal-3*:

assumes *galois l u*
and *isotone g*
and *isotone h*
and *has-greatest-postfixpoint g*
and *has-greatest-fixpoint h*
and *h \circ u = u \circ g*
shows *ν h = u (p ν g)*
and *ν h = u (ν g)*
proof –
have $\forall x . u (g x) = h (u x)$
using *assms(6) comp-eq-dest* **by** *fastforce*
thus *ν h = u (p ν g)*
using *assms(1–5) nu-fusion-equal-1* **by** *auto*
thus *ν h = u (ν g)*
by (*simp add: assms(2–4) pnu-nu*)
qed

lemma *nu-fusion-equal-4*:

assumes *galois l u*
and *isotone h*
and *has-greatest-postfixpoint g*
and *has-greatest-postfixpoint h*
and *h \circ u = u \circ g*
shows *p ν h = u (p ν g)*
and *ν h = u (p ν g)*
proof –
have $\forall x . u (g x) = h (u x)$
using *assms(5) comp-eq-dest* **by** *fastforce*

```

thus  $p\nu h = u (p\nu g)$ 
  using assms(1-4) nu-fusion-equal-2 by auto
thus  $\nu h = u (p\nu g)$ 
  by (simp add: assms(2,4) pnu-nu)
qed

```

Next come the exchange rules for replacing the first/second function in a composition.

lemma *mu-exchange-1:*

```

assumes galois l u
  and isotone g
  and isotone h
  and has-least-prefixpoint (l o h)
  and has-least-prefixpoint (h o g)
  and has-least-fixpoint (g o h)
  and  $l \circ h \circ g \leq\leq g \circ h \circ l$ 
shows  $\mu (l \circ h) \leq \mu (g \circ h)$ 
proof –
  have  $1: l \circ (h \circ g) \leq\leq (g \circ h) \circ l$ 
    by (simp add: assms(7) rewriteL-comp-comp)
  have  $(l \circ h) (\mu (g \circ h)) = l (\mu (h \circ g))$ 
    by (metis assms(2,3,5,6) comp-apply least-fixpoint-char
least-prefixpoint-fixpoint isotone-def mu-roll)
  also have  $\dots \leq \mu (g \circ h)$ 
    using  $1$  by (metis assms(1-3,5,6) comp-apply least-fixpoint-char
least-prefixpoint-fixpoint isotone-def mu-fusion-2)
  finally have  $p\mu (l \circ h) \leq \mu (g \circ h)$ 
    using assms(4) is-least-prefixpoint-def least-prefixpoint by blast
  thus  $\mu (l \circ h) \leq \mu (g \circ h)$ 
    by (metis assms(1,3,4) galois-char isotone-def least-fixpoint-char
least-prefixpoint-fixpoint o-apply)
qed

```

lemma *mu-exchange-2:*

```

assumes galois l u
  and isotone g
  and isotone h
  and has-least-prefixpoint (l o h)
  and has-least-prefixpoint (h o l)
  and has-least-prefixpoint (h o g)
  and has-least-fixpoint (g o h)
  and has-least-fixpoint (h o g)
  and  $l \circ h \circ g \leq\leq g \circ h \circ l$ 
shows  $\mu (h \circ l) \leq \mu (h \circ g)$ 
proof –
  have  $\mu (h \circ l) = h (\mu (l \circ h))$ 
    by (metis (no-types, lifting) assms(1,3-5) galois-char isotone-def
least-fixpoint-char least-prefixpoint-fixpoint mu-roll o-apply)
  also have  $\dots \leq h (\mu (g \circ h))$ 

```

using *assms(1-4,6,7,9) isotone-def mu-exchange-1* **by** *blast*
also have $\dots = \mu (h \circ g)$
by (*simp add: assms(3,7,8) mu-roll*)
finally show *?thesis*

qed

lemma *mu-exchange-equal*:

assumes *galois l u*
and *galois k t*
and *isotone h*
and *has-least-prefixpoint (l o h)*
and *has-least-prefixpoint (h o l)*
and *has-least-prefixpoint (k o h)*
and *has-least-prefixpoint (h o k)*
and $l \circ h \circ k = k \circ h \circ l$
shows $\mu (l \circ h) = \mu (k \circ h)$
and $\mu (h \circ l) = \mu (h \circ k)$

proof –

have 1: *has-least-fixpoint (k o h)*
using *assms(2,3,6) least-fixpoint-char least-prefixpoint-fixpoint galois-char isotone-def* **by** *auto*
have 2: *has-least-fixpoint (h o k)*
using *assms(2,3,7) least-fixpoint-char least-prefixpoint-fixpoint galois-char isotone-def* **by** *auto*
have 3: *has-least-fixpoint (l o h)*
using *assms(1,3,4) least-fixpoint-char least-prefixpoint-fixpoint galois-char isotone-def* **by** *auto*
have 4: *has-least-fixpoint (h o l)*
using *assms(1,3,5) least-fixpoint-char least-prefixpoint-fixpoint galois-char isotone-def* **by** *auto*
show $\mu (h \circ l) = \mu (h \circ k)$
using 1 2 3 4 *assms antisym galois-char lifted-reflexive mu-exchange-2* **by** *auto*
show $\mu (l \circ h) = \mu (k \circ h)$
using 1 2 3 4 *assms antisym galois-char lifted-reflexive mu-exchange-1* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *nu-exchange-1*:

assumes *galois l u*
and *isotone g*
and *isotone h*
and *has-greatest-postfixpoint (u o h)*
and *has-greatest-postfixpoint (h o g)*
and *has-greatest-fixpoint (g o h)*
and $g \circ h \circ u \leq u \circ h \circ g$
shows $\nu (g \circ h) \leq \nu (u \circ h)$

proof –

have $(g \circ h) \circ u \leq u \circ (h \circ g)$
by (*simp add: assms(7) o-assoc*)

hence $\nu (g \circ h) \leq u (\nu (h \circ g))$
by (*metis* *assms*(1-3,5,6) *greatest-fixpoint-char* *greatest-postfixpoint-fixpoint*
isotone-def *nu-fusion-2* *o-apply*)
also have $\dots = (u \circ h) (\nu (g \circ h))$
by (*metis* *assms*(2,3,5,6) *greatest-fixpoint-char* *greatest-postfixpoint-fixpoint*
isotone-def *nu-roll* *o-apply*)
finally have $\nu (g \circ h) \leq p\nu (u \circ h)$
using *assms*(4) *greatest-postfixpoint* *is-greatest-postfixpoint-def* **by** *blast*
thus $\nu (g \circ h) \leq \nu (u \circ h)$
using *assms*(1,3,4) *galois-char* *greatest-fixpoint-char*
greatest-postfixpoint-fixpoint *isotone-def* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *nu-exchange-2*:

assumes *galois* *l* *u*
and *isotone* *g*
and *isotone* *h*
and *has-greatest-postfixpoint* (*u* \circ *h*)
and *has-greatest-postfixpoint* (*h* \circ *u*)
and *has-greatest-postfixpoint* (*h* \circ *g*)
and *has-greatest-fixpoint* (*g* \circ *h*)
and *has-greatest-fixpoint* (*h* \circ *g*)
and $g \circ h \circ u \leq u \circ h \circ g$
shows $\nu (h \circ g) \leq \nu (h \circ u)$

proof –

have $\nu (h \circ g) = h (\nu (g \circ h))$
by (*simp* *add*: *assms*(3,7,8) *nu-roll*)
also have $\dots \leq h (\nu (u \circ h))$
using *assms*(1-4,6,7,9) *isotone-def* *nu-exchange-1* **by** *blast*
also have $\dots = \nu (h \circ u)$
by (*metis* (*no-types*, *lifting*) *assms*(1,3-5) *galois-char* *greatest-fixpoint-char*
greatest-postfixpoint-fixpoint *isotone-def* *nu-roll* *o-apply*)
finally show $\nu (h \circ g) \leq \nu (h \circ u)$

qed

lemma *nu-exchange-equal*:

assumes *galois* *l* *u*
and *galois* *k* *t*
and *isotone* *h*
and *has-greatest-postfixpoint* (*u* \circ *h*)
and *has-greatest-postfixpoint* (*h* \circ *u*)
and *has-greatest-postfixpoint* (*t* \circ *h*)
and *has-greatest-postfixpoint* (*h* \circ *t*)
and $u \circ h \circ t = t \circ h \circ u$
shows $\nu (u \circ h) = \nu (t \circ h)$
and $\nu (h \circ u) = \nu (h \circ t)$

proof –

have 1: *has-greatest-fixpoint* (*u* \circ *h*)

```

using assms(1,3,4) greatest-fixpoint-char greatest-postfixpoint-fixpoint
galois-char isotone-def by auto
have 2: has-greatest-fixpoint (h ∘ u)
using assms(1,3,5) greatest-fixpoint-char greatest-postfixpoint-fixpoint
galois-char isotone-def by auto
have 3: has-greatest-fixpoint (t ∘ h)
using assms(2,3,6) greatest-fixpoint-char greatest-postfixpoint-fixpoint
galois-char isotone-def by auto
have 4: has-greatest-fixpoint (h ∘ t)
using assms(2,3,7) greatest-fixpoint-char greatest-postfixpoint-fixpoint
galois-char isotone-def by auto
show  $\nu (u \circ h) = \nu (t \circ h)$ 
using 1 2 3 4 assms antisym galois-char lifted-reflexive nu-exchange-1 by auto
show  $\nu (h \circ u) = \nu (h \circ t)$ 
using 1 2 3 4 assms antisym galois-char lifted-reflexive nu-exchange-2 by auto
qed

```

The following results generalise parts of [10, Exercise 8.27] from continuous functions on complete partial orders to the present setting.

lemma *mu-commute-fixpoint-1:*

```

isotone f  $\implies$  has-least-fixpoint (f ∘ g)  $\implies$   $f \circ g = g \circ f$   $\implies$  is-fixpoint f (μ (f ∘ g))
by (metis is-fixpoint-def mu-roll)

```

lemma *mu-commute-fixpoint-2:*

```

isotone g  $\implies$  has-least-fixpoint (f ∘ g)  $\implies$   $f \circ g = g \circ f$   $\implies$  is-fixpoint g (μ (f ∘ g))
by (simp add: mu-commute-fixpoint-1)

```

lemma *mu-commute-least-fixpoint:*

```

isotone f  $\implies$  isotone g  $\implies$  has-least-fixpoint f  $\implies$  has-least-fixpoint g  $\implies$ 
has-least-fixpoint (f ∘ g)  $\implies$   $f \circ g = g \circ f$   $\implies$   $\mu (f \circ g) = \mu f$   $\implies$   $\mu g \leq \mu f$ 
by (metis is-least-fixpoint-def least-fixpoint mu-roll)

```

The converse of the preceding result is claimed for continuous f, g on a complete partial order; it is unknown whether it holds without these additional assumptions.

lemma *nu-commute-fixpoint-1:*

```

isotone f  $\implies$  has-greatest-fixpoint (f ∘ g)  $\implies$   $f \circ g = g \circ f$   $\implies$  is-fixpoint f (ν(f ∘ g))
by (metis is-fixpoint-def nu-roll)

```

lemma *nu-commute-fixpoint-2:*

```

isotone g  $\implies$  has-greatest-fixpoint (f ∘ g)  $\implies$   $f \circ g = g \circ f$   $\implies$  is-fixpoint g (ν(f ∘ g))
by (simp add: nu-commute-fixpoint-1)

```

lemma *nu-commute-greatest-fixpoint:*

```

isotone f  $\implies$  isotone g  $\implies$  has-greatest-fixpoint f  $\implies$  has-greatest-fixpoint g

```

$\implies \text{has-greatest-fixpoint } (f \circ g) \implies f \circ g = g \circ f \implies \nu (f \circ g) = \nu f \implies \nu f \leq \nu g$

by (*metis greatest-fixpoint is-greatest-fixpoint-def nu-roll*)

Finally, we show a number of versions of the diagonal rule for functions with two arguments.

lemma *mu-diagonal-1*:

assumes *isotone* $(\lambda x . \mu (\lambda y . f x y))$
and $\forall x . \text{has-least-fixpoint } (\lambda y . f x y)$
and *has-least-prefixpoint* $(\lambda x . \mu (\lambda y . f x y))$
shows $\mu (\lambda x . f x x) = \mu (\lambda x . \mu (\lambda y . f x y))$

proof –

let $?g = \lambda x . \mu (\lambda y . f x y)$
have *1*: *is-least-prefixpoint* $?g (\mu ?g)$
using *assms(1,3)* *least-prefixpoint pmu-mu* **by** *fastforce*
have $f (\mu ?g) (\mu ?g) = \mu ?g$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) assms is-least-fixpoint-def least-fixpoint-char least-prefixpoint-fixpoint*)

hence *is-least-fixpoint* $(\lambda x . f x x) (\mu ?g)$
using *1* *assms(2)* *is-least-fixpoint-def is-least-prefixpoint-def least-fixpoint* **by** *auto*

thus *?thesis*

using *least-fixpoint-same* **by** *simp*

qed

lemma *mu-diagonal-2*:

$\forall x . \text{isotone } (\lambda y . f x y) \wedge \text{isotone } (\lambda y . f y x) \wedge \text{has-least-prefixpoint } (\lambda y . f x y) \implies \text{has-least-prefixpoint } (\lambda x . \mu (\lambda y . f x y)) \implies \mu (\lambda x . f x x) = \mu (\lambda x . \mu (\lambda y . f x y))$

apply (*rule mu-diagonal-1*)
using *isotone-def lifted-less-eq-def mu-isotone* **apply** *simp*
using *has-least-fixpoint-def least-prefixpoint-fixpoint* **apply** *blast*
by *simp*

lemma *nu-diagonal-1*:

assumes *isotone* $(\lambda x . \nu (\lambda y . f x y))$
and $\forall x . \text{has-greatest-fixpoint } (\lambda y . f x y)$
and *has-greatest-postfixpoint* $(\lambda x . \nu (\lambda y . f x y))$
shows $\nu (\lambda x . f x x) = \nu (\lambda x . \nu (\lambda y . f x y))$

proof –

let $?g = \lambda x . \nu (\lambda y . f x y)$
have *1*: *is-greatest-postfixpoint* $?g (\nu ?g)$
using *assms(1,3)* *greatest-postfixpoint pnu-nu* **by** *fastforce*
have $f (\nu ?g) (\nu ?g) = \nu ?g$
by (*metis (no-types, lifting) assms is-greatest-fixpoint-def greatest-fixpoint-char greatest-postfixpoint-fixpoint*)

hence *is-greatest-fixpoint* $(\lambda x . f x x) (\nu ?g)$
using *1* *assms(2)* *is-greatest-fixpoint-def is-greatest-postfixpoint-def greatest-fixpoint* **by** *auto*


```

thus ?thesis
  using greatest-fixpoint-same by simp
qed

```

lemma *nu-diagonal-2*:

```

 $\forall x . \text{isotone } (\lambda y . f\ x\ y) \wedge \text{isotone } (\lambda y . f\ y\ x) \wedge \text{has-greatest-postfixpoint } (\lambda y . f\ x\ y) \implies \text{has-greatest-postfixpoint } (\lambda x . \nu\ (\lambda y . f\ x\ y)) \implies \nu\ (\lambda x . f\ x\ x) = \nu\ (\lambda x . \nu\ (\lambda y . f\ x\ y))$ 

```

```

apply (rule nu-diagonal-1)

```

```

using isotone-def lifted-less-eq-def nu-isotone apply simp

```

```

using has-greatest-fixpoint-def greatest-postfixpoint-fixpoint apply blast

```

```

by simp

```

```

end

```

```

end

```

3 Semirings

This theory develops a hierarchy of idempotent semirings. All kinds of semiring considered here are bounded semilattices, but many lack additional properties typically assumed for semirings. In particular, we consider the variants of semirings, in which

- * multiplication is not required to be associative;
- * a right zero and unit of multiplication need not exist;
- * multiplication has a left residual;
- * multiplication from the left is not required to distribute over addition;
- * the semilattice order has a greatest element.

We have applied results from this theory a number of papers for unifying computation models. For example, see [13] for various relational and matrix-based computation models and [6] for multirelational models.

The main results in this theory relate different ways of defining reflexive-transitive closures as discussed in [6].

```

theory Semirings

```

```

imports Fixpoints

```

```

begin

```

3.1 Idempotent Semirings

The following definitions are standard for relations. Putting them into a general class that depends only on the signature facilitates reuse. Coreflexives are sometimes called partial identities, subidentities, monotypes or tests.

```

class times-one-ord = times + one + ord
begin

abbreviation reflexive  :: 'a ⇒ bool where reflexive x ≡ 1 ≤ x
abbreviation coreflexive :: 'a ⇒ bool where coreflexive x ≡ x ≤ 1
abbreviation transitive :: 'a ⇒ bool where transitive x ≡ x * x ≤ x
abbreviation dense      :: 'a ⇒ bool where dense x      ≡ x ≤ x * x
abbreviation idempotent :: 'a ⇒ bool where idempotent x ≡ x * x = x

abbreviation coreflexives ≡ { x . coreflexive x }

end

```

The first algebra is a very weak idempotent semiring, in which multiplication is not necessarily associative.

```

class non-associative-left-semiring = bounded-semilattice-sup-bot + times + one
+
  assumes mult-left-sub-dist-sup: x * y ⊔ x * z ≤ x * (y ⊔ z)
  assumes mult-right-dist-sup: (x ⊔ y) * z = x * z ⊔ y * z
  assumes mult-left-zero [simp]: bot * x = bot
  assumes mult-left-one [simp]: 1 * x = x
  assumes mult-sub-right-one: x ≤ x * 1
begin

subclass times-one-ord .

```

We first show basic isotonicity and subdistributivity properties of multiplication.

```

lemma mult-left-isotone:
  x ≤ y ⇒ x * z ≤ y * z
  using mult-right-dist-sup sup-right-divisibility by auto

lemma mult-right-isotone:
  x ≤ y ⇒ z * x ≤ z * y
  using mult-left-sub-dist-sup sup.bounded-iff sup-right-divisibility by auto

lemma mult-isotone:
  w ≤ y ⇒ x ≤ z ⇒ w * x ≤ y * z
  using order-trans mult-left-isotone mult-right-isotone by blast

lemma affine-isotone:
  isotone (λx . y * x ⊔ z)
  using isotone-def mult-right-isotone sup-left-isotone by auto

```

lemma *mult-left-sub-dist-sup-left*:
 $x * y \leq x * (y \sqcup z)$
by (*simp add: mult-right-isotone*)

lemma *mult-left-sub-dist-sup-right*:
 $x * z \leq x * (y \sqcup z)$
by (*simp add: mult-right-isotone*)

lemma *mult-right-sub-dist-sup-left*:
 $x * z \leq (x \sqcup y) * z$
by (*simp add: mult-left-isotone*)

lemma *mult-right-sub-dist-sup-right*:
 $y * z \leq (x \sqcup y) * z$
by (*simp add: mult-left-isotone*)

lemma *case-split-left*:
assumes $1 \leq w \sqcup z$
and $w * x \leq y$
and $z * x \leq y$
shows $x \leq y$

proof –

have $(w \sqcup z) * x \leq y$

by (*simp add: assms(2-3) mult-right-dist-sup*)

thus *?thesis*

by (*metis assms(1) dual-order.trans mult-left-one mult-left-isotone*)

qed

lemma *case-split-left-equal*:
 $w \sqcup z = 1 \implies w * x = w * y \implies z * x = z * y \implies x = y$
by (*metis mult-left-one mult-right-dist-sup*)

Next we consider under which semiring operations the above properties are closed.

lemma *reflexive-one-closed*:
reflexive 1
by *simp*

lemma *reflexive-sup-closed*:
reflexive x \implies *reflexive (x \sqcup y)*
by (*simp add: le-supI1*)

lemma *reflexive-mult-closed*:
reflexive x \implies *reflexive y* \implies *reflexive (x * y)*
using *mult-isotone* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *coreflexive-bot-closed*:
coreflexive bot
by *simp*

lemma *coreflexive-one-closed*:

coreflexive 1

by *simp*

lemma *coreflexive-sup-closed*:

coreflexive x \implies coreflexive y \implies coreflexive (x \sqcup y)

by *simp*

lemma *coreflexive-mult-closed*:

*coreflexive x \implies coreflexive y \implies coreflexive (x * y)*

using *mult-isotone* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *transitive-bot-closed*:

transitive bot

by *simp*

lemma *transitive-one-closed*:

transitive 1

by *simp*

lemma *dense-bot-closed*:

dense bot

by *simp*

lemma *dense-one-closed*:

dense 1

by *simp*

lemma *dense-sup-closed*:

dense x \implies dense y \implies dense (x \sqcup y)

by (*metis mult-right-dist-sup order-lesseq-imp sup.mono*
mult-left-sub-dist-sup-left mult-left-sub-dist-sup-right)

lemma *idempotent-bot-closed*:

idempotent bot

by *simp*

lemma *idempotent-one-closed*:

idempotent 1

by *simp*

lemma *coreflexive-transitive*:

coreflexive x \implies transitive x

using *mult-left-isotone* **by** *fastforce*

We study the following three ways of defining reflexive-transitive closures. Each of them is given as a least prefixpoint, but the underlying functions are different. They implement left recursion, right recursion and

symmetric recursion, respectively.

abbreviation $Lf :: 'a \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a)$ **where** $Lf\ y \equiv (\lambda x . 1 \sqcup x * y)$

abbreviation $Rf :: 'a \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a)$ **where** $Rf\ y \equiv (\lambda x . 1 \sqcup y * x)$

abbreviation $Sf :: 'a \Rightarrow ('a \Rightarrow 'a)$ **where** $Sf\ y \equiv (\lambda x . 1 \sqcup y \sqcup x * x)$

abbreviation $lstar :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ **where** $lstar\ y \equiv p\mu\ (Lf\ y)$

abbreviation $rstar :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ **where** $rstar\ y \equiv p\mu\ (Rf\ y)$

abbreviation $sstar :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$ **where** $sstar\ y \equiv p\mu\ (Sf\ y)$

All functions are isotone and, therefore, if the prefixpoints exist they are also fixpoints.

lemma *lstar-rec-isotone*:

isotone $(Lf\ y)$

using *isotone-def sup-right-divisibility sup-right-isotone mult-right-sub-dist-sup-right* **by** *auto*

lemma *rstar-rec-isotone*:

isotone $(Rf\ y)$

using *isotone-def sup-right-divisibility sup-right-isotone mult-left-sub-dist-sup-right* **by** *auto*

lemma *sstar-rec-isotone*:

isotone $(Sf\ y)$

using *isotone-def sup-right-isotone mult-isotone* **by** *auto*

lemma *lstar-fixpoint*:

has-least-prefixpoint $(Lf\ y) \implies lstar\ y = \mu\ (Lf\ y)$

by *(simp add: pmu-mu lstar-rec-isotone)*

lemma *rstar-fixpoint*:

has-least-prefixpoint $(Rf\ y) \implies rstar\ y = \mu\ (Rf\ y)$

by *(simp add: pmu-mu rstar-rec-isotone)*

lemma *sstar-fixpoint*:

has-least-prefixpoint $(Sf\ y) \implies sstar\ y = \mu\ (Sf\ y)$

by *(simp add: pmu-mu sstar-rec-isotone)*

lemma *sstar-increasing*:

has-least-prefixpoint $(Sf\ y) \implies y \leq sstar\ y$

using *order-trans pmu-unfold sup-ge1 sup-ge2* **by** *blast*

The fixpoint given by right recursion is always below the one given by symmetric recursion.

lemma *rstar-below-sstar*:

assumes *has-least-prefixpoint* $(Rf\ y)$

and *has-least-prefixpoint* $(Sf\ y)$

shows $rstar\ y \leq sstar\ y$

proof –

have $y \leq sstar\ y$

```

    using assms(2) pmu-unfold by force
  hence  $Rf\ y\ (sstar\ y) \leq Sf\ y\ (sstar\ y)$ 
    by (meson sup.cobounded1 sup.mono mult-left-isotone)
  also have  $\dots \leq sstar\ y$ 
    using assms(2) pmu-unfold by blast
  finally show ?thesis
    using assms(1) is-least-prefixpoint-def least-prefixpoint by auto
qed

end

```

Our next structure adds one half of the associativity property. This inequality holds, for example, for multirelations under the compositions defined by Parikh and Peleg [23, 25]. The converse inequality requires up-closed multirelations for Parikh’s composition.

```

class pre-left-semiring = non-associative-left-semiring +
  assumes mult-semi-associative:  $(x * y) * z \leq x * (y * z)$ 
begin

```

```

lemma mult-one-associative [simp]:
   $x * 1 * y = x * y$ 
  by (metis dual-order.antisym mult-left-isotone mult-left-one mult-semi-associative mult-sub-right-one)

```

```

lemma mult-sup-associative-one:
   $(x * (y * 1)) * z \leq x * (y * z)$ 
  by (metis mult-semi-associative mult-one-associative)

```

```

lemma rstar-increasing:
  assumes has-least-prefixpoint (Rf y)
  shows  $y \leq rstar\ y$ 
proof –
  have  $Rf\ y\ (rstar\ y) \leq rstar\ y$ 
  using assms pmu-unfold by blast
  thus ?thesis
  by (metis le-supE mult-right-isotone mult-sub-right-one sup.absorb-iff2)
qed

```

end

For the next structure we add a left residual operation. Such a residual is available, for example, for multirelations.

The operator notation for binary division is introduced in a class that requires a unary inverse. This is appropriate for fields, but too strong in the present context of semirings. We therefore reintroduce it without requiring a unary inverse.

```

no-notation
  inverse-divide (infixl '/ 70)

```

notation

divide (**infixl** $'/$ 70)

class *residuated-pre-left-semiring* = *pre-left-semiring* + *divide* +
 assumes *lres-galois*: $x * y \leq z \iff x \leq z / y$
begin

We first derive basic properties of left residuals from the Galois connection.

lemma *lres-left-isotone*:

$x \leq y \implies x / z \leq y / z$

using *dual-order.trans lres-galois* **by** *blast*

lemma *lres-right-antitone*:

$x \leq y \implies z / y \leq z / x$

using *dual-order.trans lres-galois mult-right-isotone* **by** *blast*

lemma *lres-inverse*:

$(x / y) * y \leq x$

by (*simp add: lres-galois*)

lemma *lres-one*:

$x / 1 \leq x$

using *mult-sub-right-one order-trans lres-inverse* **by** *blast*

lemma *lres-mult-sub-lres-lres*:

$x / (z * y) \leq (x / y) / z$

using *lres-galois mult-semi-associative order.trans* **by** *blast*

lemma *mult-lres-sub-assoc*:

$x * (y / z) \leq (x * y) / z$

by (*meson dual-order.trans lres-galois mult-right-isotone lres-inverse lres-mult-sub-lres-lres*)

With the help of a left residual, it follows that left recursion is below right recursion.

lemma *lstar-below-rstar*:

assumes *has-least-prefixpoint* (*Lf* *y*)

and *has-least-prefixpoint* (*Rf* *y*)

shows $lstar\ y \leq rstar\ y$

proof –

have $y * (rstar\ y / y) * y \leq y * rstar\ y$

using *lres-galois mult-lres-sub-assoc* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots \leq rstar\ y$

using *assms(2) le-supE pmu-unfold* **by** *blast*

finally have $y * (rstar\ y / y) \leq rstar\ y / y$

by (*simp add: lres-galois*)

hence $Rf\ y\ (rstar\ y / y) \leq rstar\ y / y$

```

    using assms(2) lres-galois rstar-increasing by fastforce
  hence  $rstar\ y \leq rstar\ y / y$ 
    using assms(2) is-least-prefixpoint-def least-prefixpoint by auto
  hence  $Lf\ y\ (rstar\ y) \leq rstar\ y$ 
    using assms(2) lres-galois pmu-unfold by fastforce
  thus ?thesis
    using assms(1) is-least-prefixpoint-def least-prefixpoint by auto
qed

```

Moreover, right recursion gives the same result as symmetric recursion. The next proof follows an argument of [5, Satz 10.1.5].

```

lemma rstar-sstar:
  assumes has-least-prefixpoint (Rf y)
    and has-least-prefixpoint (Sf y)
  shows  $rstar\ y = sstar\ y$ 
proof -
  have  $Rf\ y\ (rstar\ y / rstar\ y) * rstar\ y \leq rstar\ y \sqcup y * ((rstar\ y / rstar\ y) * rstar\ y)$ 
    using mult-right-dist-sup mult-semi-associative sup-right-isotone by auto
  also have  $\dots \leq rstar\ y \sqcup y * rstar\ y$ 
    using mult-right-isotone sup-right-isotone lres-inverse by blast
  also have  $\dots \leq rstar\ y$ 
    using assms(1) pmu-unfold by fastforce
  finally have  $Rf\ y\ (rstar\ y / rstar\ y) \leq rstar\ y / rstar\ y$ 
    by (simp add: lres-galois)
  hence  $rstar\ y * rstar\ y \leq rstar\ y$ 
    using assms(1) is-least-prefixpoint-def least-prefixpoint lres-galois by auto
  hence  $y \sqcup rstar\ y * rstar\ y \leq rstar\ y$ 
    by (simp add: assms(1) rstar-increasing)
  hence  $Sf\ y\ (rstar\ y) \leq rstar\ y$ 
    using assms(1) pmu-unfold by force
  hence  $sstar\ y \leq rstar\ y$ 
    using assms(2) is-least-prefixpoint-def least-prefixpoint by auto
  thus ?thesis
    by (simp add: assms antisym rstar-below-sstar)
qed
end

```

In the next structure we add full associativity of multiplication, as well as a right unit. Still, multiplication does not need to have a right zero and does not need to distribute over addition from the left.

```

class idempotent-left-semiring = non-associative-left-semiring + monoid-mult
begin

subclass pre-left-semiring
  by unfold-locales (simp add: mult-assoc)

lemma zero-right-mult-decreasing:

```


$x * \text{bot} \leq x$
by (*metis bot-least mult-1-right mult-right-isotone*)

The following result shows that for dense coreflexives there are two equivalent ways to express that a property is preserved. In the setting of Kleene algebras, this is well known for tests, which form a Boolean subalgebra. The point here is that only very few properties of tests are needed to show the equivalence.

lemma *test-preserves-equation*:
assumes *dense p*
and *coreflexive p*
shows $p * x \leq x * p \iff p * x = p * x * p$
proof
assume *1*: $p * x \leq x * p$
have $p * x \leq p * p * x$
by (*simp add: assms(1) mult-left-isotone*)
also have $\dots \leq p * x * p$
using *1* **by** (*simp add: mult-right-isotone mult-assoc*)
finally show $p * x = p * x * p$
using *assms(2) antisym mult-right-isotone* **by** *fastforce*
next
assume $p * x = p * x * p$
thus $p * x \leq x * p$
by (*metis assms(2) mult-left-isotone mult-left-one*)
qed
end

The next structure has both distributivity properties of multiplication. Only a right zero is missing from full semirings. This is important as many computation models do not have a right zero of sequential composition.

class *idempotent-left-zero-semiring* = *idempotent-left-semiring* +
assumes *mult-left-dist-sup*: $x * (y \sqcup z) = x * y \sqcup x * z$
begin

lemma *case-split-right*:
assumes $1 \leq w \sqcup z$
and $x * w \leq y$
and $x * z \leq y$
shows $x \leq y$
proof –
have $x * (w \sqcup z) \leq y$
by (*simp add: assms(2–3) mult-left-dist-sup*)
thus *?thesis*
by (*metis assms(1) dual-order.trans mult-1-right mult-right-isotone*)
qed

lemma *case-split-right-equal*:
 $w \sqcup z = 1 \implies x * w = y * w \implies x * z = y * z \implies x = y$

by (metis mult-1-right mult-left-dist-sup)

This is the first structure we can connect to the semirings provided by Isabelle/HOL.

```

sublocale semiring: ordered-semiring sup bot less-eq less times
  apply unfold-locales
  using sup-right-isotone apply blast
  apply (simp add: mult-right-dist-sup)
  apply (simp add: mult-left-dist-sup)
  apply (simp add: mult-right-isotone)
  by (simp add: mult-left-isotone)

```

```

sublocale semiring: semiring-numeral 1 times sup ..

```

end

Completing this part of the hierarchy, we obtain idempotent semirings by adding a right zero of multiplication.

```

class idempotent-semiring = idempotent-left-zero-semiring +
  assumes mult-right-zero [simp]:  $x * bot = bot$ 
begin

```

```

sublocale semiring: semiring-0 sup bot times
  by unfold-locales simp-all

```

end

3.2 Bounded Idempotent Semirings

All of the following semirings have a greatest element in the underlying semi-lattice order. With this element, we can express further standard properties of relations. We extend each class in the above hierarchy in turn.

```

class times-top = times + top
begin

```

```

abbreviation vector    :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool where vector  $x \equiv x * top = x$ 
abbreviation covector :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool where covector  $x \equiv top * x = x$ 
abbreviation total    :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool where total  $x \equiv x * top = top$ 
abbreviation surjective :: 'a  $\Rightarrow$  bool where surjective  $x \equiv top * x = top$ 

```

```

abbreviation vectors  $\equiv \{ x . vector x \}$ 
abbreviation covectors  $\equiv \{ x . covector x \}$ 

```

end

```

class bounded-non-associative-left-semiring = non-associative-left-semiring + top
+
  assumes sup-right-top [simp]:  $x \sqcup top = top$ 

```

begin

subclass *times-top* .

We first give basic properties of the greatest element.

lemma *sup-left-top* [*simp*]:

$top \sqcup x = top$

using *sup-right-top* *sup commute* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *top-greatest*:

$x \leq top$

by (*simp add: le-iff-sup*)

lemma *top-left-mult-increasing*:

$x \leq top * x$

by (*metis mult-left-isotone mult-left-one top-greatest*)

lemma *top-right-mult-increasing*:

$x \leq x * top$

using *mult-right-isotone mult-sub-right-one order-trans top-greatest* **by** *blast*

lemma *top-mult-top* [*simp*]:

$top * top = top$

by (*simp add: antisym top-greatest top-left-mult-increasing*)

Closure of the above properties under the semiring operations is considered next.

lemma *vector-bot-closed*:

vector bot

by *simp*

lemma *vector-top-closed*:

vector top

by *simp*

lemma *vector-sup-closed*:

$vector\ x \implies vector\ y \implies vector\ (x \sqcup y)$

by (*simp add: mult-right-dist-sup*)

lemma *covector-top-closed*:

covector top

by *simp*

lemma *total-one-closed*:

total 1

by *simp*

lemma *total-top-closed*:

total top

by *simp*

lemma *total-sup-closed*:
total x \implies total (x \sqcup y)
by (*simp add: mult-right-dist-sup*)

lemma *surjective-one-closed*:
surjective 1
by (*simp add: antisym mult-sub-right-one top-greatest*)

lemma *surjective-top-closed*:
surjective top
by *simp*

lemma *surjective-sup-closed*:
surjective x \implies surjective (x \sqcup y)
by (*metis le-iff-sup mult-left-sub-dist-sup-left sup-left-top*)

lemma *reflexive-top-closed*:
reflexive top
by (*simp add: top-greatest*)

lemma *transitive-top-closed*:
transitive top
by *simp*

lemma *dense-top-closed*:
dense top
by *simp*

lemma *idempotent-top-closed*:
idempotent top
by *simp*

end

Some closure properties require at least half of associativity.

class *bounded-pre-left-semiring* = *pre-left-semiring* +
bounded-non-associative-left-semiring
begin

lemma *vector-mult-closed*:
*vector y \implies vector (x * y)*
by (*metis antisym mult-semi-associative top-right-mult-increasing*)

lemma *surjective-mult-closed*:
*surjective x \implies surjective y \implies surjective (x * y)*
by (*metis antisym mult-semi-associative top-greatest*)

end

We next consider residuals with the greatest element.

```
class bounded-residuated-pre-left-semiring = residuated-pre-left-semiring +  
bounded-pre-left-semiring  
begin
```

```
lemma lres-top-decreasing:  
   $x / top \leq x$   
  using lres-inverse order.trans top-right-mult-increasing by blast
```

```
lemma top-lres-absorb [simp]:  
   $top / x = top$   
  using antisym lres-galois top-greatest by blast
```

```
lemma covector-lres-closed:  
   $covector\ x \implies covector\ (x / y)$   
  by (metis antisym mult-lres-sub-assoc top-left-mult-increasing)
```

end

Some closure properties require full associativity.

```
class bounded-idempotent-left-semiring = bounded-pre-left-semiring +  
idempotent-left-semiring  
begin
```

```
lemma covector-mult-closed:  
   $covector\ x \implies covector\ (x * y)$   
  by (metis mult-assoc)
```

```
lemma total-mult-closed:  
   $total\ x \implies total\ y \implies total\ (x * y)$   
  by (simp add: mult-assoc)
```

end

Some closure properties require distributivity from the left.

```
class bounded-idempotent-left-zero-semiring = bounded-idempotent-left-semiring  
+ idempotent-left-zero-semiring  
begin
```

```
lemma covector-sup-closed:  
   $covector\ x \implies covector\ y \implies covector\ (x \sqcup y)$   
  by (simp add: mult-left-dist-sup)
```

end

Our final structure is an idempotent semiring with a greatest element.

```
class bounded-idempotent-semiring = bounded-idempotent-left-zero-semiring +  
idempotent-semiring
```

```

begin

lemma covector-bot-closed:
  covector bot
  by simp

end

end

```

4 Relation Algebras

The main structures introduced in this theory are Stone relation algebras. They generalise Tarski’s relation algebras [28] by weakening the Boolean algebra lattice structure to a Stone algebra. Our motivation is to generalise relation-algebraic methods from unweighted graphs to weighted graphs. Unlike unweighted graphs, weighted graphs do not form a Boolean algebra because there is no complement operation on the edge weights. However, edge weights form a Stone algebra, and matrices over edge weights (that is, weighted graphs) form a Stone relation algebra.

The development in this theory is described in our papers [14, 16]. Our main application there is the verification of Prim’s minimum spanning tree algorithm. Related work about fuzzy relations [12, 29], Dedekind categories [18] and rough relations [9, 24] is also discussed in these papers. In particular, Stone relation algebras do not assume that the underlying lattice is complete or a Heyting algebra, and they do not assume that composition has residuals.

Most of this theory develops Stone relation algebras. Tarski’s relation algebras are then obtained by a simple extension that imposes a Boolean algebra. See, for example, [7, 17, 20, 21, 26, 27] for further details about relations and relation algebras, and [2, 8] for algebras of relations with a smaller signature.

```

theory Relation-Algebras

imports ../Stone-Algebras/P-Algebras Semirings

begin

```

4.1 Stone Relation Algebras

```

class conv =
  fixes conv :: 'a ⇒ 'a (-T [100] 100)

```

The following definitions concern properties of relations that require converse or complement in addition to a semiring structure.

```

class relation-algebra-signature = inf + sup + times + uminus + conv + bot +
top + one + ord

```

begin

subclass *times-top* .

abbreviation *total-var* :: 'a ⇒ bool **where** *total-var* x ≡ 1 ≤ x * x^T
abbreviation *surjective-var* :: 'a ⇒ bool **where** *surjective-var* x ≡ 1 ≤ x^T * x
abbreviation *univalent* :: 'a ⇒ bool **where** *univalent* x ≡ x^T * x ≤ 1
abbreviation *injective* :: 'a ⇒ bool **where** *injective* x ≡ x * x^T ≤ 1

abbreviation *mapping* :: 'a ⇒ bool **where** *mapping* x ≡ *univalent* x
 ∧ *total* x
abbreviation *bijjective* :: 'a ⇒ bool **where** *bijjective* x ≡ *injective* x ∧
surjective x

abbreviation *point* :: 'a ⇒ bool **where** *point* x ≡ *vector* x ∧
bijjective x
abbreviation *atom* :: 'a ⇒ bool **where** *atom* x ≡ *bijjective* (x *
top) ∧ *bijjective* (x^T * *top*)

abbreviation *irreflexive* :: 'a ⇒ bool **where** *irreflexive* x ≡ x ≤ -1
abbreviation *symmetric* :: 'a ⇒ bool **where** *symmetric* x ≡ x^T = x
abbreviation *antisymmetric* :: 'a ⇒ bool **where** *antisymmetric* x ≡ x □ x^T ≤
 1
abbreviation *asymmetric* :: 'a ⇒ bool **where** *asymmetric* x ≡ x □ x^T =
bot

The following variants are useful for the graph model.

abbreviation *pp-mapping* :: 'a ⇒ bool **where** *pp-mapping* x ≡ *univalent* x
 ∧ *total* (¬x)
abbreviation *pp-bijjective* :: 'a ⇒ bool **where** *pp-bijjective* x ≡ *injective* x ∧
surjective (¬x)

abbreviation *pp-point* :: 'a ⇒ bool **where** *pp-point* x ≡ *vector* x ∧
pp-bijjective x
abbreviation *pp-atom* :: 'a ⇒ bool **where** *pp-atom* x ≡ *pp-bijjective*
 (x * *top*) ∧ *pp-bijjective* (x^T * *top*)

end

We reuse the relation algebra axioms given in [20] except for one – see lemma *conv-complement-sub* below – which we replace with the Dedekind rule (or modular law) *dedekind-1*. The Dedekind rule or variants of it are known from [7, 11, 19, 27]. We add *comp-left-zero*, *pp-dist-comp* and *pp-one*, all of which follow in relation algebras but not in the present setting. The main change is that only a Stone algebra is required, not a Boolean algebra.

class *stone-relation-algebra* = *stone-algebra* + *times* + *one* + *conv* +
assumes *comp-associative* : (x * y) * z = x * (y * z)
assumes *comp-right-dist-sup* : (x □ y) * z = (x * z) □ (y * z)
assumes *comp-left-zero* [*simp*]: *bot* * x = *bot*

```

assumes comp-left-one [simp]:  $1 * x = x$ 
assumes conv-involutive [simp]:  $x^{TT} = x$ 
assumes conv-dist-sup :  $(x \sqcup y)^T = x^T \sqcup y^T$ 
assumes conv-dist-comp :  $(x * y)^T = y^T * x^T$ 
assumes dedekind-1 :  $x * y \sqcap z \leq x * (y \sqcap (x^T * z))$ 
assumes pp-dist-comp :  $--(x * y) = --x * --y$ 
assumes pp-one [simp]:  $--1 = 1$ 

```

begin

subclass *relation-algebra-signature* .

Many properties of relation algebras already follow in Stone relation algebras.

```

lemma conv-isotone:
   $x \leq y \implies x^T \leq y^T$ 
by (metis conv-dist-sup le-iff-sup)

```

```

lemma conv-order:
   $x \leq y \iff x^T \leq y^T$ 
using conv-isotone by fastforce

```

```

lemma conv-bot [simp]:
   $bot^T = bot$ 
using conv-order bot-unique by force

```

```

lemma conv-top [simp]:
   $top^T = top$ 
by (metis conv-involutive conv-order eq-iff top-greatest)

```

```

lemma conv-dist-inf:
   $(x \sqcap y)^T = x^T \sqcap y^T$ 
apply (rule antisym)
using conv-order apply simp
by (metis conv-order conv-involutive inf.boundedI inf.cobounded1
inf.cobounded2)

```

The following property is a simple consequence of the Stone axiom. We cannot hope to remove the double complement in it.

```

lemma conv-complement-0-p [simp]:
   $(-x)^T \sqcup (--x)^T = top$ 
by (metis conv-top conv-dist-sup stone)

```

```

lemma conv-complement-1:
   $-(x^T) \sqcup (-x)^T = (-x)^T$ 
by (metis conv-dist-inf conv-order bot-least conv-involutive pseudo-complement
sup.absorb2 sup.cobounded2)

```

```

lemma conv-complement:

```


$(-x)^T = -(x^T)$
by (*metis conv-complement-1 conv-dist-sup conv-involutive sup-commute*)

lemma *conv-inf-bot-iff*:
 $bot = x^T \sqcap y \iff bot = x \sqcap y^T$
using *conv-dist-inf conv-bot* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *conv-one* [*simp*]:
 $1^T = 1$
by (*metis comp-left-one conv-dist-comp conv-involutive*)

lemma *comp-left-dist-sup*:
 $(x * y) \sqcup (x * z) = x * (y \sqcup z)$
by (*metis comp-right-dist-sup conv-involutive conv-dist-sup conv-dist-comp*)

lemma *comp-right-isotone*:
 $x \leq y \implies z * x \leq z * y$
by (*simp add: comp-left-dist-sup sup.absorb-iff1*)

lemma *comp-left-isotone*:
 $x \leq y \implies x * z \leq y * z$
by (*metis comp-right-dist-sup le-iff-sup*)

lemma *comp-isotone*:
 $x \leq y \implies w \leq z \implies x * w \leq y * z$
using *comp-left-isotone comp-right-isotone order.trans* **by** *blast*

lemma *comp-left-subdist-inf*:
 $(x \sqcap y) * z \leq x * z \sqcap y * z$
by (*simp add: comp-left-isotone*)

lemma *comp-left-increasing-sup*:
 $x * y \leq (x \sqcup z) * y$
by (*simp add: comp-left-isotone*)

lemma *comp-right-subdist-inf*:
 $x * (y \sqcap z) \leq x * y \sqcap x * z$
by (*simp add: comp-right-isotone*)

lemma *comp-right-increasing-sup*:
 $x * y \leq x * (y \sqcup z)$
by (*simp add: comp-right-isotone*)

lemma *conv-complement-sub-inf* [*simp*]:
 $x^T * -(x * y) \sqcap y = bot$
by (*metis comp-left-zero conv-dist-comp conv-involutive dedekind-1 inf-import-p inf-p inf-right-idem ppp pseudo-complement regular-closed-bot*)

lemma *conv-complement-sub-leq*:

$x^T * -(x * y) \leq -y$
using *pseudo-complement conv-complement-sub-inf* **by** *blast*

lemma *conv-complement-sub* [*simp*]:

$x^T * -(x * y) \sqcup -y = -y$

by (*simp add: conv-complement-sub-leq sup.absorb2*)

lemma *comp-left-conjugate*:

conjugate ($\lambda y . x * y$) ($\lambda y . x^T * y$)

proof (*unfold conjugate-char-1-pp, intro allI*)

let $?f = \lambda y . x * y$

let $?g = \lambda y . x^T * y$

fix $z y$

have $?f (z \sqcap -?g y) \leq ?f z \sqcap ?f (-?g y)$

using *comp-right-subdist-inf* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots \leq ?f z \sqcap -y$

by (*metis conv-complement-sub conv-involutive inf-mono le-iff-sup order-refl*)

finally have $1: ?f (z \sqcap -?g y) \leq --?f z \sqcap -y$

using *dual-order.trans pp-increasing* **by** *auto*

have $?g (y \sqcap -?f z) \leq ?g y \sqcap ?g (-?f z)$

using *comp-right-subdist-inf* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots \leq ?g y \sqcap -z$

by (*simp add: conv-complement-sub-leq inf.coboundedI2*)

finally have $?g (y \sqcap -?f z) \leq --?g y \sqcap -z$

using *dual-order.trans pp-increasing* **by** *auto*

thus $?f (z \sqcap -?g y) \leq --?f z \sqcap -y \wedge ?g (y \sqcap -?f z) \leq --?g y \sqcap -z$

using 1 **by** *simp*

qed

lemma *complement-conv-sub*:

$-(y * x) * x^T \leq -y$

by (*metis conv-complement conv-complement-sub-leq conv-order conv-dist-comp*)

lemma *comp-right-conjugate*:

conjugate ($\lambda y . y * x$) ($\lambda y . y * x^T$)

proof (*unfold conjugate-char-1-pp, intro allI*)

let $?f = \lambda y . y * x$

let $?g = \lambda y . y * x^T$

fix $z y$

have $?f (z \sqcap -?g y) \leq ?f z \sqcap ?f (-?g y)$

using *comp-left-subdist-inf* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots \leq ?f z \sqcap -y$

by (*metis complement-conv-sub conv-involutive inf-mono order-refl*)

finally have $1: ?f (z \sqcap -?g y) \leq --?f z \sqcap -y$

using *dual-order.trans pp-increasing* **by** *auto*

have $?g (y \sqcap -?f z) \leq ?g y \sqcap ?g (-?f z)$

using *comp-left-subdist-inf* **by** *blast*

also have $\dots \leq ?g y \sqcap -z$

by (*simp add: complement-conv-sub inf.coboundedI2*)

finally have $?g (y \sqcap -?f z) \leq --?g y \sqcap -z$
using *dual-order.trans pp-increasing* **by** *auto*
thus $?f (z \sqcap -?g y) \leq --?f z \sqcap -y \wedge ?g (y \sqcap -?f z) \leq --?g y \sqcap -z$
using *1* **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *comp-right-zero [simp]*:
 $x * bot = bot$
using *comp-left-conjugate conjugate-char-2-pp* **by** *blast*

lemma *comp-right-one [simp]*:
 $x * 1 = x$
by (*metis comp-left-one conv-dist-comp conv-involutive*)

We still obtain a semiring structure.

subclass *bounded-idempotent-semiring*
by (*unfold-locales*)
(*auto simp: comp-right-isotone comp-right-dist-sup comp-associative comp-left-dist-sup*)

sublocale *inf: semiring-0 sup bot inf*
by (*unfold-locales, auto simp: inf-sup-distrib2 inf-sup-distrib1 inf-assoc*)

lemma *schroeder-1*:
 $x * y \sqcap z = bot \iff x^T * z \sqcap y = bot$
using *abel-semigroup commute comp-left-conjugate conjugate-def inf.abel-semigroup-axioms* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *schroeder-2*:
 $x * y \sqcap z = bot \iff z * y^T \sqcap x = bot$
by (*metis comp-right-conjugate conjugate-def inf-commute*)

The following so-called Schröder equivalences, or De Morgan's Theorem K, hold only with a pseudocomplemented element on both right-hand sides.

lemma *schroeder-3-p*:
 $x * y \leq -z \iff x^T * z \leq -y$
using *pseudo-complement schroeder-1* **by** *auto*

lemma *schroeder-4-p*:
 $x * y \leq -z \iff z * y^T \leq -x$
using *pseudo-complement schroeder-2* **by** *auto*

lemma *comp-pp-semi-commute*:
 $x * --y \leq --(x * y)$
using *comp-left-isotone pp-dist-comp pp-increasing* **by** *auto*

The following result looks similar to a property of (anti)domain.

lemma *p-comp-pp [simp]*:
 $-(x * --y) = -(x * y)$

using *comp-pp-semi-commute comp-right-isotone inf.eq-iff p-antitone pp-increasing* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *pp-comp-semi-commute*:

$$--x * y \leq --(x * y)$$

by (*simp add: comp-right-isotone pp-dist-comp pp-increasing*)

lemma *p-pp-comp* [*simp*]:

$$-(-x * y) = -(x * y)$$

using *pp-comp-semi-commute comp-left-isotone inf.eq-iff p-antitone pp-increasing* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *comp-additive*:

$$\text{additive } (\lambda y . x * y) \wedge \text{additive } (\lambda y . x^T * y) \wedge \text{additive } (\lambda y . y * x) \wedge \text{additive } (\lambda y . y * x^T)$$

by (*simp add: comp-left-dist-sup additive-def comp-right-dist-sup*)

lemma *dedekind-2*:

$$y * x \sqcap z \leq (y \sqcap (z * x^T)) * x$$

by (*metis conv-dist-inf conv-order conv-dist-comp dedekind-1*)

lemma *theorem24xxiii*:

$$x * y \sqcap -(x * z) = x * (y \sqcap -z) \sqcap -(x * z)$$

proof –

$$\text{have } x * y \sqcap -(x * z) \leq x * (y \sqcap (x^T * -(x * z)))$$

by (*simp add: dedekind-1*)

$$\text{also have } \dots \leq x * (y \sqcap -z)$$

using *comp-right-isotone conv-complement-sub-leq inf.sup-right-isotone* **by** *auto*

finally show *?thesis*

using *comp-right-subdist-inf antisym inf.coboundedI2 inf commute* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *theorem24xxiv-pp*:

$$-(x * y) \sqcup --(x * z) = -(x * (y \sqcap -z)) \sqcup --(x * z)$$

by (*metis p-dist-inf theorem24xxiii*)

In Stone relation algebras, we do not obtain the backward implication in the following result.

lemma *vector-complement-closed*:

$$\text{vector } x \implies \text{vector } (-x)$$

by (*metis complement-conv-sub conv-top eq-iff top-right-mult-increasing*)

The intersection with a vector can still be exported from the first argument of a composition, and many other properties of vectors and covectors continue to hold.

lemma *vector-inf-comp*:

$$\text{vector } x \implies (x \sqcap y) * z = x \sqcap (y * z)$$

apply (*rule antisym*)

apply (*metis comp-left-subdist-inf comp-right-isotone inf.sup-left-isotone order-lesseq-imp top-greatest*)

by (*metis comp-left-isotone comp-right-isotone dedekind-2 inf-commute inf-mono order-refl order-trans top-greatest*)

lemma *vector-inf-closed*:

vector $x \implies$ *vector* $y \implies$ *vector* $(x \sqcap y)$

by (*simp add: vector-inf-comp*)

lemma *vector-inf-one-comp*:

vector $x \implies (x \sqcap 1) * y = x \sqcap y$

by (*simp add: vector-inf-comp*)

lemma *covector-inf-comp-1*:

assumes *vector* x

shows $(y \sqcap x^T) * z = (y \sqcap x^T) * (x \sqcap z)$

proof –

have $(y \sqcap x^T) * z \leq (y \sqcap x^T) * (z \sqcap ((y^T \sqcap x) * top))$

by (*metis inf-top-right dedekind-1 conv-dist-inf conv-involutive*)

also have $\dots \leq (y \sqcap x^T) * (x \sqcap z)$

by (*metis assms comp-left-isotone comp-right-isotone inf-le2 inf-mono order-refl inf-commute*)

finally show *?thesis*

by (*simp add: comp-right-isotone antisym*)

qed

lemma *covector-inf-comp-2*:

assumes *vector* x

shows $y * (x \sqcap z) = (y \sqcap x^T) * (x \sqcap z)$

proof –

have $y * (x \sqcap z) \leq (y \sqcap (top * (x \sqcap z)^T)) * (x \sqcap z)$

by (*metis dedekind-2 inf-top-right*)

also have $\dots \leq (y \sqcap x^T) * (x \sqcap z)$

by (*metis assms comp-left-isotone conv-dist-comp conv-order conv-top eq-refl inf-le1 inf-mono*)

finally show *?thesis*

using *comp-left-subdist-inf antisym* **by** *auto*

qed

lemma *covector-inf-comp-3*:

vector $x \implies (y \sqcap x^T) * z = y * (x \sqcap z)$

by (*metis covector-inf-comp-1 covector-inf-comp-2*)

lemma *covector-complement-closed*:

covector $x \implies$ *covector* $(-x)$

by (*metis conv-complement-sub-leq conv-top eq-iff top-left-mult-increasing*)

lemma *covector-inf-closed*:

covector $x \implies$ *covector* $y \implies$ *covector* $(x \sqcap y)$

by (*metis comp-right-subdist-inf inf.antisym top-left-mult-increasing*)

lemma *vector-conv-covector*:

vector $v \longleftrightarrow$ *covector* (v^T)

by (*metis conv-dist-comp conv-involutive conv-top*)

lemma *covector-conv-vector*:

covector $v \longleftrightarrow$ *vector* (v^T)

by (*simp add: vector-conv-covector*)

lemma *covector-comp-inf*:

covector $z \implies x * (y \sqcap z) = x * y \sqcap z$

apply (*rule antisym*)

apply (*metis comp-isotone comp-right-subdist-inf inf.boundedE inf.boundedI inf.cobounded2 top.extremum*)

by (*metis comp-left-isotone comp-right-isotone dedekind-1 inf-commute inf-mono order-refl order-trans top-greatest*)

We still have two ways to represent surjectivity and totality.

lemma *surjective-var*:

surjective $x \longleftrightarrow$ *surjective-var* x

proof

assume *surjective* x

thus *surjective-var* x

by (*metis dedekind-2 comp-left-one inf-absorb2 top-greatest*)

next

assume *surjective-var* x

hence $x^T * (x * \text{top}) = \text{top}$

by (*metis comp-left-isotone comp-associative comp-left-one top-le*)

thus *surjective* x

by (*metis comp-right-isotone conv-top conv-dist-comp conv-involutive top-greatest top-le*)

qed

lemma *total-var*:

total $x \longleftrightarrow$ *total-var* x

by (*metis conv-top conv-dist-comp conv-involutive surjective-var*)

lemma *surjective-conv-total*:

surjective $x \longleftrightarrow$ *total* (x^T)

by (*metis conv-top conv-dist-comp conv-involutive*)

lemma *total-conv-surjective*:

total $x \longleftrightarrow$ *surjective* (x^T)

by (*simp add: surjective-conv-total*)

lemma *injective-conv-univalent*:

injective $x \longleftrightarrow$ *univalent* (x^T)

by *simp*

lemma *univalent-conv-injective*:
univalent $x \longleftrightarrow$ *injective* (x^T)
by *simp*

We continue with studying further closure properties.

lemma *univalent-bot-closed*:
univalent *bot*
by *simp*

lemma *univalent-one-closed*:
univalent *1*
by *simp*

lemma *univalent-inf-closed*:
univalent $x \implies$ *univalent* $(x \sqcap y)$
by (*metis comp-left-subdist-inf comp-right-subdist-inf conv-dist-inf*
inf.cobounded1 order-lesseq-imp)

lemma *univalent-mult-closed*:
assumes *univalent* x
and *univalent* y
shows *univalent* $(x * y)$
proof –
have $(x * y)^T * x \leq y^T$
by (*metis assms(1) comp-left-isotone comp-right-one conv-one conv-order*
comp-associative conv-dist-comp conv-involutive)
thus *?thesis*
by (*metis assms(2) comp-left-isotone comp-associative dual-order.trans*)
qed

lemma *injective-bot-closed*:
injective *bot*
by *simp*

lemma *injective-one-closed*:
injective *1*
by *simp*

lemma *injective-inf-closed*:
injective $x \implies$ *injective* $(x \sqcap y)$
by (*metis conv-dist-inf injective-conv-univalent univalent-inf-closed*)

lemma *injective-mult-closed*:
injective $x \implies$ *injective* $y \implies$ *injective* $(x * y)$
by (*metis injective-conv-univalent conv-dist-comp univalent-mult-closed*)

lemma *mapping-one-closed*:
mapping *1*

by *simp*

lemma *mapping-mult-closed*:

mapping $x \implies$ *mapping* $y \implies$ *mapping* $(x * y)$

by (*simp add: comp-associative univalent-mult-closed*)

lemma *bijjective-one-closed*:

bijjective 1

by *simp*

lemma *bijjective-mult-closed*:

bijjective $x \implies$ *bijjective* $y \implies$ *bijjective* $(x * y)$

by (*metis injective-mult-closed comp-associative*)

lemma *bijjective-conv-mapping*:

bijjective $x \longleftrightarrow$ *mapping* (x^T)

by (*simp add: surjective-conv-total*)

lemma *mapping-conv-bijjective*:

mapping $x \longleftrightarrow$ *bijjective* (x^T)

by (*simp add: total-conv-surjective*)

lemma *reflexive-inf-closed*:

reflexive $x \implies$ *reflexive* $y \implies$ *reflexive* $(x \sqcap y)$

by *simp*

lemma *reflexive-conv-closed*:

reflexive $x \implies$ *reflexive* (x^T)

using *conv-isotone* **by** *force*

lemma *coreflexive-inf-closed*:

coreflexive $x \implies$ *coreflexive* $(x \sqcap y)$

by (*simp add: le-infI1*)

lemma *coreflexive-conv-closed*:

coreflexive $x \implies$ *coreflexive* (x^T)

using *conv-order* **by** *force*

lemma *transitive-inf-closed*:

transitive $x \implies$ *transitive* $y \implies$ *transitive* $(x \sqcap y)$

by (*meson comp-left-subdist-inf inf.cobounded1 inf.sup-mono inf-le2 mult-right-isotone order.trans*)

lemma *transitive-conv-closed*:

transitive $x \implies$ *transitive* (x^T)

using *conv-order conv-dist-comp* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *dense-conv-closed*:

dense $x \implies$ *dense* (x^T)

using *conv-order conv-dist-comp* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *idempotent-conv-closed*:
idempotent $x \implies \text{idempotent } (x^T)$
by (*metis conv-dist-comp*)

lemma *regular-conv-closed*:
regular $x \implies \text{regular } (x^T)$
by (*metis conv-complement*)

lemma *regular-complement-top*:
regular $x \implies x \sqcup -x = \text{top}$
by (*metis stone*)

lemma *regular-mult-closed*:
regular $x \implies \text{regular } y \implies \text{regular } (x * y)$
by (*simp add: pp-dist-comp*)

lemma *regular-one-closed*:
regular 1
by *simp*

lemma *coreflexive-symmetric*:
coreflexive $x \implies \text{symmetric } x$
by (*metis comp-right-one comp-right-subdist-inf conv-dist-inf conv-dist-comp conv-involutive dedekind-1 inf.absorb1 inf.absorb2*)

The following result generalises the fact that composition with a test amounts to intersection with the corresponding vector. Both tests and vectors can be used to represent sets as relations.

lemma *coreflexive-comp-top-inf*:
coreflexive $x \implies x * \text{top} \sqcap y = x * y$
apply (*rule antisym*)
apply (*metis comp-left-isotone comp-left-one coreflexive-symmetric dedekind-1 inf-top-left order-trans*)
using *comp-left-isotone comp-right-isotone* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *coreflexive-comp-top-inf-one*:
coreflexive $x \implies x * \text{top} \sqcap 1 = x$
by (*simp add: coreflexive-comp-top-inf*)

The pseudocomplement of tests is given by the following operation.

abbreviation *coreflexive-complement* $:: 'a \Rightarrow 'a (-' [80] 80)$
where $x' \equiv -x \sqcap 1$

lemma *coreflexive-comp-top-coreflexive-complement*:
coreflexive $x \implies (x * \text{top})' = x'$
by (*metis coreflexive-comp-top-inf-one inf commute inf-import-p*)

lemma *coreflexive-comp-inf*:

coreflexive $x \implies$ *coreflexive* $y \implies x * y = x \sqcap y$

by (*metis* (*full-types*) *coreflexive-comp-top-inf* *coreflexive-comp-top-inf-one* *inf.mult-assoc* *inf.absorb2*)

lemma *coreflexive-comp-inf-comp*:

assumes *coreflexive* x

and *coreflexive* y

shows $(x * z) \sqcap (y * z) = (x \sqcap y) * z$

proof –

have $(x * z) \sqcap (y * z) = x * \text{top} \sqcap z \sqcap y * \text{top} \sqcap z$

using *assms* *coreflexive-comp-top-inf* *inf-assoc* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = x * \text{top} \sqcap y * \text{top} \sqcap z$

by (*simp* *add*: *inf.commute* *inf.left-commute*)

also have $\dots = (x \sqcap y) * \text{top} \sqcap z$

by (*metis* *assms* *coreflexive-comp-inf* *coreflexive-comp-top-inf* *mult-assoc*)

also have $\dots = (x \sqcap y) * z$

by (*simp* *add*: *assms*(1) *coreflexive-comp-top-inf* *coreflexive-inf-closed*)

finally show *?thesis*

qed

lemma *coreflexive-comp-inf-complement*:

coreflexive $x \implies (x * y) \sqcap -z = (x * y) \sqcap -(x * z)$

by (*metis* *coreflexive-comp-top-inf* *inf.sup-relative-same-increasing* *inf-import-p* *inf-le1*)

lemma *coreflexive-idempotent*:

coreflexive $x \implies$ *idempotent* x

by (*simp* *add*: *coreflexive-comp-inf*)

lemma *coreflexive-commutative*:

coreflexive $x \implies$ *coreflexive* $y \implies x * y = y * x$

by (*simp* *add*: *coreflexive-comp-inf* *inf.commute*)

lemma *coreflexive-dedekind*:

coreflexive $x \implies$ *coreflexive* $y \implies$ *coreflexive* $z \implies x * y \sqcap z \leq x * (y \sqcap x * z)$

by (*simp* *add*: *coreflexive-comp-inf* *inf.coboundedI1* *inf.left-commute*)

lemma *double-coreflexive-complement*:

$x'' = (-x)'$

using *inf.sup-monoid.add-commute* *inf-import-p* **by** *auto*

lemma *coreflexive-pp-dist-comp*:

coreflexive $x \implies$ *coreflexive* $y \implies (x * y)'' = x'' * y''$

by (*metis* *double-coreflexive-complement* *coreflexive-comp-inf* *inf.orderE* *inf-assoc* *pp-dist-comp* *pp-dist-inf* *regular-one-closed*)

lemma *coreflexive-pseudo-complement*:

coreflexive $x \implies x \sqcap y = \text{bot} \iff x \leq y$ '
by (*simp add: pseudo-complement*)

The following variants of total and surjective are useful for graphs.

lemma *pp-total*:

total $(--x) \iff -(x * \text{top}) = \text{bot}$
by (*simp add: dense-pp pp-dist-comp*)

lemma *pp-surjective*:

surjective $(--x) \iff -(\text{top} * x) = \text{bot}$
by (*metis p-bot p-comp-pp p-top pp-dist-comp*)

lemma *pp-bijective-conv-mapping*:

pp-bijective $x \iff \text{pp-mapping } (x^T)$
by (*simp add: conv-complement surjective-conv-total*)

lemma *pp-atom-expanded*:

pp-atom $x \iff x * \text{top} * x^T \leq 1 \wedge x^T * \text{top} * x \leq 1 \wedge \text{top} * --x * \text{top} = \text{top}$
apply (*rule iffI*)
apply (*metis conv-top comp-associative conv-dist-comp conv-involutive vector-top-closed pp-dist-comp regular-closed-top*)
by (*metis conv-top comp-associative conv-dist-comp conv-involutive vector-top-closed pp-dist-comp regular-closed-top conv-complement*)

Also the equational version of the Dedekind rule continues to hold.

lemma *dedekind-eq*:

$x * y \sqcap z = (x \sqcap (z * y^T)) * (y \sqcap (x^T * z)) \sqcap z$
proof (*rule antisym*)
have $x * y \sqcap z \leq x * (y \sqcap (x^T * z)) \sqcap z$
by (*simp add: dedekind-1*)
also have $\dots \leq (x \sqcap (z * (y \sqcap (x^T * z))^T)) * (y \sqcap (x^T * z)) \sqcap z$
by (*simp add: dedekind-2*)
also have $\dots \leq (x \sqcap (z * y^T)) * (y \sqcap (x^T * z)) \sqcap z$
by (*metis comp-left-isotone comp-right-isotone inf-mono conv-order inf.cobounded1 order-refl*)
finally show $x * y \sqcap z \leq (x \sqcap (z * y^T)) * (y \sqcap (x^T * z)) \sqcap z$
next
show $(x \sqcap (z * y^T)) * (y \sqcap (x^T * z)) \sqcap z \leq x * y \sqcap z$
using *comp-isotone inf.sup-left-isotone* **by** *auto*
qed

lemma *dedekind*:

$x * y \sqcap z \leq (x \sqcap (z * y^T)) * (y \sqcap (x^T * z))$
by (*metis dedekind-eq inf.cobounded1*)

lemma *vector-export-comp*:

$(x * \text{top} \sqcap y) * z = x * \text{top} \sqcap y * z$
proof –

have $vector (x * top)$
by (*simp add: comp-associative*)
thus *?thesis*
by (*simp add: vector-inf-comp*)
qed

lemma *vector-export-comp-unit*:
 $(x * top \sqcap 1) * y = x * top \sqcap y$
by (*simp add: vector-export-comp*)

We solve a few exercises from [27].

lemma *ex231a* [*simp*]:
 $(1 \sqcap x * x^T) * x = x$
by (*metis inf.cobounded1 inf.idem inf-right-idem comp-left-one conv-one coreflexive-comp-top-inf dedekind-eq*)

lemma *ex231b* [*simp*]:
 $x * (1 \sqcap x^T * x) = x$
by (*metis conv-dist-comp conv-dist-inf conv-involutive conv-one ex231a*)

lemma *ex231c*:
 $x \leq x * x^T * x$
by (*metis comp-left-isotone ex231a inf-le2*)

lemma *ex231d*:
 $x \leq x * top * x$
by (*metis comp-left-isotone comp-right-isotone top-greatest order-trans ex231c*)

lemma *ex231e* [*simp*]:
 $x * top * x * top = x * top$
by (*metis ex231d antisym comp-associative mult-right-isotone top.extremum*)

The following operation represents states with infinite executions of non-strict computations.

abbreviation $N :: 'a \Rightarrow 'a$
where $N x \equiv -(-x * top) \sqcap 1$

lemma *N-comp*:
 $N x * y = -(-x * top) \sqcap y$
by (*simp add: vector-mult-closed vector-complement-closed vector-inf-one-comp*)

lemma *N-comp-top* [*simp*]:
 $N x * top = -(-x * top)$
by (*simp add: N-comp*)

lemma *vector-N-pp*:
 $vector x \Longrightarrow N x = --x \sqcap 1$
by (*simp add: vector-complement-closed*)

lemma *N-vector-pp* [*simp*]:
 $N (x * top) = \neg\neg(x * top) \sqcap 1$
by (*simp add: comp-associative vector-complement-closed*)

lemma *N-vector-top-pp* [*simp*]:
 $N (x * top) * top = \neg\neg(x * top)$
by (*metis N-comp-top comp-associative vector-top-closed vector-complement-closed*)

lemma *N-below-inf-one-pp*:
 $N x \leq \neg\neg x \sqcap 1$
using *inf.sup-left-isotone p-antitone top-right-mult-increasing by auto*

lemma *N-below-pp*:
 $N x \leq \neg\neg x$
using *N-below-inf-one-pp by auto*

lemma *N-comp-N*:
 $N x * N y = \neg(\neg x * top) \sqcap \neg(\neg y * top) \sqcap 1$
by (*simp add: N-comp inf.mult-assoc*)

lemma *N-bot* [*simp*]:
 $N bot = bot$
by *simp*

lemma *N-top* [*simp*]:
 $N top = 1$
by *simp*

lemma *n-split-omega-mult-pp*:
 $xs * \neg\neg xo = xo \implies vector xo \implies N top * xo = xs * N xo * top$
by (*metis N-top N-vector-top-pp comp-associative comp-left-one*)

Many of the following results have been derived for verifying Prim's minimum spanning tree algorithm.

lemma *covector-vector-comp*:
 $vector v \implies \neg v^T * v = bot$
by (*metis conv-bot conv-complement conv-complement-sub-inf conv-dist-comp conv-involutive inf-top.right-neutral*)

lemma *ee*:
assumes *vector v*
and $e \leq v * \neg v^T$
shows $e * e = bot$
proof –
have $e * v \leq bot$
by (*metis assms covector-vector-comp comp-associative mult-left-isotone mult-right-zero*)
thus *?thesis*

by (*metis* *assms*(2) *bot-unique comp-associative mult-right-isotone semiring.mult-not-zero*)

qed

lemma *et*:

assumes *vector v*
 and $e \leq v * -v^T$
 and $t \leq v * v^T$
 shows $e * t = \text{bot}$
 and $e * t^T = \text{bot}$

proof –

have $e * t \leq v * -v^T * v * v^T$
 using *assms*(2–3) *comp-isotone mult-assoc* **by** *fastforce*
 thus $e * t = \text{bot}$
 by (*simp add: assms*(1) *covector-vector-comp le-bot mult-assoc*)

next

have $t^T \leq v * v^T$
 using *assms*(3) *conv-order conv-dist-comp* **by** *fastforce*
 hence $e * t^T \leq v * -v^T * v * v^T$
 by (*metis* *assms*(2) *comp-associative comp-isotone*)
 thus $e * t^T = \text{bot}$
 by (*simp add: assms*(1) *covector-vector-comp le-bot mult-assoc*)

qed

lemma *atom-injective*:

atom x \implies *injective x*

by (*metis* *conv-dist-inf conv-involutive inf.absorb2 top-right-mult-increasing univalent-inf-closed*)

The following result generalises [22, Exercise 2]. It is used to show that the while-loop preserves injectivity of the constructed tree.

lemma *injective-sup*:

assumes *injective t*
 and $e * t^T \leq 1$
 and *injective e*
 shows *injective* ($t \sqcup e$)

proof –

have $(t \sqcup e) * (t \sqcup e)^T = t * t^T \sqcup t * e^T \sqcup e * t^T \sqcup e * e^T$
 by (*simp add: comp-left-dist-sup conv-dist-sup semiring.distrib-right sup.assoc*)
 thus *?thesis*
 using *assms coreflexive-symmetric conv-dist-comp* **by** *fastforce*

qed

lemma *injective-inv*:

injective t \implies $e * t^T = \text{bot} \implies$ *atom e* \implies *injective* ($t \sqcup e$)
 using *atom-injective injective-sup bot-least* **by** *blast*

lemma *univalent-sup*:

univalent t \implies $e^T * t \leq 1 \implies$ *univalent e* \implies *univalent* ($t \sqcup e$)

by (*metis injective-sup conv-dist-sup conv-involutive*)

lemma *point-injective*:

atom $x \implies x^T * \text{top} * x \leq 1$

by (*metis conv-top comp-associative conv-dist-comp conv-involutive vector-top-closed*)

lemma *ve-dist*:

assumes $e \leq v * -v^T$

and *vector* v

and *atom* e

shows $(v \sqcup e^T * \text{top}) * (v \sqcup e^T * \text{top})^T = v * v^T \sqcup v * v^T * e \sqcup e^T * v * v^T \sqcup e^T * e$

proof –

have $e \leq v * \text{top}$

using *assms(1) comp-right-isotone dual-order.trans top-greatest* **by** *blast*

hence $v * \text{top} * e = v * \text{top} * (v * \text{top} \sqcap e)$

by (*simp add: inf.absorb2*)

also have $\dots = (v * \text{top} \sqcap \text{top} * v^T) * e$

using *assms(2) covector-inf-comp-3 vector-conv-covector* **by** *force*

also have $\dots = v * \text{top} * v^T * e$

by (*metis assms(2) inf-top-right vector-inf-comp*)

also have $\dots = v * v^T * e$

by (*simp add: assms(2)*)

finally have $1: v * \text{top} * e = v * v^T * e$

•

have $e^T * \text{top} * e \leq e^T * \text{top} * e * e^T * e$

using *ex231c comp-associative mult-right-isotone* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots \leq e^T * e$

by (*metis assms(3) coreflexive-comp-top-inf le-infE mult-semi-associative point-injective*)

finally have $2: e^T * \text{top} * e = e^T * e$

by (*simp add: inf.antisym mult-left-isotone top-right-mult-increasing*)

have $(v \sqcup e^T * \text{top}) * (v \sqcup e^T * \text{top})^T = (v \sqcup e^T * \text{top}) * (v^T \sqcup \text{top} * e)$

by (*simp add: conv-dist-comp conv-dist-sup*)

also have $\dots = v * v^T \sqcup v * \text{top} * e \sqcup e^T * \text{top} * v^T \sqcup e^T * \text{top} * \text{top} * e$

by (*metis semiring.distrib-left semiring.distrib-right sup-assoc mult-assoc*)

also have $\dots = v * v^T \sqcup v * \text{top} * e \sqcup (v * \text{top} * e)^T \sqcup e^T * \text{top} * e$

by (*simp add: comp-associative conv-dist-comp*)

also have $\dots = v * v^T \sqcup v * v^T * e \sqcup (v * v^T * e)^T \sqcup e^T * e$

using $1\ 2$ **by** *simp*

finally show *?thesis*

by (*simp add: comp-associative conv-dist-comp*)

qed

lemma *vv-transitive*:

vector $v \implies (v * v^T) * (v * v^T) \leq v * v^T$

by (*metis comp-associative comp-left-isotone comp-right-isotone top-greatest*)

lemma *ev*:

vector $v \implies e \leq v * -v^T \implies e * v = \text{bot}$

by (*metis covector-vector-comp antisym bot-least comp-associative mult-left-isotone mult-right-zero*)

lemma *vTeT*:

vector $v \implies e \leq v * -v^T \implies v^T * e^T = \text{bot}$

using *conv-bot ev conv-dist-comp* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *epm-3*:

assumes $e \leq w$

and *injective* w

shows $e = w \sqcap \text{top} * e$

proof –

have $w \sqcap \text{top} * e \leq w * e^T * e$

by (*metis (no-types, lifting) inf.absorb2 top.extremum dedekind-2 inf commute*)

also have $\dots \leq w * w^T * e$

by (*simp add: assms(1) conv-isotone mult-left-isotone mult-right-isotone*)

also have $\dots \leq e$

using *assms(2) coreflexive-comp-top-inf inf.sup-right-divisibility* **by** *blast*

finally show *?thesis*

by (*simp add: assms(1) top-left-mult-increasing antisym*)

qed

The following result is used to show that the while-loop preserves that the constructed tree is a subgraph of g .

lemma *subgraph-inv*:

assumes $e \leq v * -v^T \sqcap g$

and $t \leq v * v^T \sqcap g$

shows $t \sqcup e \leq ((v \sqcup e^T * \text{top}) * (v \sqcup e^T * \text{top})^T) \sqcap g$

proof (*rule sup-least*)

have $t \leq ((v \sqcup e^T * \text{top}) * v^T) \sqcap g$

using *assms(2) le-supI1 mult-right-dist-sup* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots \leq ((v \sqcup e^T * \text{top}) * (v \sqcup e^T * \text{top})^T) \sqcap g$

using *comp-right-isotone conv-dist-sup inf.sup-left-isotone* **by** *auto*

finally show $t \leq ((v \sqcup e^T * \text{top}) * (v \sqcup e^T * \text{top})^T) \sqcap g$

•

next

have $e \leq v * \text{top}$

by (*meson assms(1) inf.boundedE mult-right-isotone order.trans top.extremum*)

hence $e \leq v * \text{top} \sqcap \text{top} * e$

by (*simp add: top-left-mult-increasing*)

also have $\dots = v * \text{top} * e$

by (*metis inf-top-right vector-export-comp*)

finally have $e \leq v * \text{top} * e \sqcap g$

using *assms(1)* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots = v * (e^T * \text{top})^T \sqcap g$

by (*simp add: comp-associative conv-dist-comp*)
 also have $\dots \leq v * (v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g$
 by (*simp add: conv-dist-sup mult-left-dist-sup sup.assoc sup.orderI*)
 also have $\dots \leq (v \sqcup e^T * top) * (v \sqcup e^T * top)^T \sqcap g$
 using *inf.sup-left-isotone mult-right-sub-dist-sup-left* by *auto*
 finally show $e \leq ((v \sqcup e^T * top) * (v \sqcup e^T * top)^T) \sqcap g$

qed

The following result shows how to apply the Schröder equivalence to the middle factor in a composition of three relations. Again the elements on the right-hand side need to be pseudocomplemented.

lemma *triple-schroeder-p*:

$$x * y * z \leq -w \longleftrightarrow x^T * w * z^T \leq -y$$

using *mult-assoc p-antitone-iff schroeder-3-p schroeder-4-p* by *auto*

lemma *comp-inf-vector*:

$$x * (y \sqcap z * top) = (x \sqcap top * z^T) * y$$

by (*metis conv-top covector-inf-comp-3 comp-associative conv-dist-comp inf commute vector-top-closed*)

lemma *inf-vector-comp*:

$$(x \sqcap y * top) * z = y * top \sqcap x * z$$

using *inf commute vector-export-comp* by *auto*

lemma *comp-inf-covector*:

$$x * (y \sqcap top * z) = x * y \sqcap top * z$$

by (*simp add: covector-comp-inf covector-mult-closed*)

The rotation versions of the Schröder equivalences continue to hold, again with pseudocomplemented elements on the right-hand side.

lemma *schroeder-5-p*:

$$x * y \leq -z \longleftrightarrow y * z^T \leq -x^T$$

using *schroeder-3-p schroeder-4-p* by *auto*

lemma *schroeder-6-p*:

$$x * y \leq -z \longleftrightarrow z^T * x \leq -y^T$$

using *schroeder-3-p schroeder-4-p* by *auto*

Well-known distributivity properties of univalent and injective relations over meet continue to hold.

lemma *univalent-comp-left-dist-inf*:

assumes *univalent x*

$$\text{shows } x * (y \sqcap z) = x * y \sqcap x * z$$

proof (*rule antisym*)

$$\text{show } x * (y \sqcap z) \leq x * y \sqcap x * z$$

by (*simp add: comp-right-isotone*)

next

$$\text{have } x * y \sqcap x * z \leq (x \sqcap x * z * y^T) * (y \sqcap x^T * x * z)$$

by (*metis comp-associative dedekind*)
 also have $\dots \leq x * (y \sqcap x^T * x * z)$
 by (*simp add: comp-left-isotone*)
 also have $\dots \leq x * (y \sqcap 1 * z)$
 using *assms comp-left-isotone comp-right-isotone inf.sup-right-isotone* by
blast
 finally show $x * y \sqcap x * z \leq x * (y \sqcap z)$
 by *simp*
qed

lemma *injective-comp-right-dist-inf*:
injective z $\implies (x \sqcap y) * z = x * z \sqcap y * z$
 by (*metis univalent-comp-left-dist-inf conv-dist-comp conv-involutive*
conv-dist-inf)

lemma *vector-conv-compl*:
vector v $\implies top * -v^T = -v^T$
 by (*simp add: covector-complement-closed vector-conv-covector*)

Composition commutes, relative to the diversity relation.

lemma *comp-commute-below-diversity*:
 $x * y \leq -1 \iff y * x \leq -1$
 by (*metis comp-right-one conv-dist-comp conv-one schroeder-3-p schroeder-4-p*)

lemma *vector-covector*:
vector v $\implies vector w \implies v \sqcap w^T = v * w^T$
 by (*metis covector-comp-inf inf-top-left vector-conv-covector*)

lemma *comp-inf-vector-1*:
 $(x \sqcap top * y) * z = x * (z \sqcap (top * y)^T)$
 by (*simp add: comp-inf-vector conv-dist-comp*)

lemma *comp-injective-below-complement*:
injective y $\implies -x * y \leq -(x * y)$
 by (*metis p-antitone-iff comp-associative comp-right-isotone comp-right-one*
schroeder-4-p)

lemma *comp-univalent-below-complement*:
univalent x $\implies x * -y \leq -(x * y)$
 by (*metis p-inf pseudo-complement semiring.mult-zero-right*
univalent-comp-left-dist-inf)

The shunting properties for bijective relations and mappings continue to hold. Also they can be exported from a pseudocomplement.

lemma *shunt-bijective*:
 assumes *bijective z*
 shows $x \leq y * z \iff x * z^T \leq y$
proof
 assume $x \leq y * z$

hence $x * z^T \leq y * z * z^T$
by (*simp add: mult-left-isotone*)
also have $\dots \leq y$
using *assms comp-associative mult-right-isotone* **by** *fastforce*
finally show $x * z^T \leq y$

next
assume $1: x * z^T \leq y$
have $x = x \sqcap top * z$
by (*simp add: assms*)
also have $\dots \leq x * z^T * z$
by (*metis dedekind-2 inf-commute inf-top.right-neutral*)
also have $\dots \leq y * z$
using 1 **by** (*simp add: mult-left-isotone*)
finally show $x \leq y * z$

qed

lemma *comp-bijective-complement*:
bijective $y \implies -x * y = -(x * y)$
using *comp-injective-below-complement complement-conv-sub antisym shunt-bijective* **by** *blast*

lemma *comp-mapping-complement*:
mapping $x \implies x * -y = -(x * y)$
by (*metis (full-types) comp-bijective-complement conv-complement conv-dist-comp conv-involutive total-conv-surjective*)

lemma *shunt-mapping*:
mapping $z \implies x \leq z * y \iff z^T * x \leq y$
by (*metis shunt-bijective mapping-conv-bijective conv-order conv-dist-comp conv-involutive*)

lemma *atom-expanded*:
atom $x \iff x * top * x^T \leq 1 \wedge x^T * top * x \leq 1 \wedge top * x * top = top$
by (*metis conv-top comp-associative conv-dist-comp conv-involutive vector-top-closed*)

Bijjective elements and mappings are necessarily regular, that is, invariant under double-complement. This implies that points are regular. Moreover, also atoms are regular.

lemma *bijective-regular*:
bijective $x \implies$ *regular* x
by (*metis comp-bijective-complement mult-left-one regular-one-closed*)

lemma *mapping-regular*:
mapping $x \implies$ *regular* x
by (*metis bijective-regular conv-complement conv-involutive total-conv-surjective*)

```

lemma atom-regular:
  assumes atom x
  shows regular x
proof -
  have  $--x \leq --(x * top \sqcap top * x)$ 
    by (simp add: pp-isotone top-left-mult-increasing top-right-mult-increasing)
  also have  $... = --(x * top) \sqcap --(top * x)$ 
    by simp
  also have  $... = x * top \sqcap top * x$ 
    by (metis assms bijective-regular conv-top conv-dist-comp conv-involutive mapping-regular)
  also have  $... \leq x * x^T * top * x$ 
    by (metis comp-associative dedekind-1 inf-commute inf-top.right-neutral)
  also have  $... \leq x$ 
    by (metis assms comp-right-one conv-top comp-associative conv-dist-comp conv-involutive mult-right-isotone vector-top-closed)
  finally show ?thesis
    by (simp add: antisym pp-increasing)
qed

```

end

Every Stone algebra can be expanded to a Stone relation algebra by identifying the semiring and lattice structures and taking identity as converse.

```

sublocale stone-algebra < comp-inf: stone-relation-algebra where one = top
and times = inf and conv = id
proof (unfold-locales, goal-cases)
  case 7
  show ?case by (simp add: inf-commute)
qed (auto simp: inf.assoc inf-sup-distrib2 inf-left-commute)

```

4.2 Relation Algebras

For a relation algebra, we only require that the underlying lattice is a Boolean algebra. In fact, the only missing axiom is that double-complement is the identity.

```

class relation-algebra = boolean-algebra + stone-relation-algebra
begin

```

```

lemma conv-complement-0 [simp]:
   $x^T \sqcup (-x)^T = top$ 
  by (simp add: conv-complement)

```

We now obtain the original formulations of the Schröder equivalences.

```

lemma schroeder-3:

```

$x * y \leq z \iff x^T * -z \leq -y$
by (*simp add: schroeder-3-p*)

lemma *schroeder-4*:

$x * y \leq z \iff -z * y^T \leq -x$
by (*simp add: schroeder-4-p*)

lemma *theorem24xxiv*:

$-(x * y) \sqcup (x * z) = -(x * (y \sqcap -z)) \sqcup (x * z)$
using *theorem24xxiv-pp* **by** *auto*

lemma *vector-N*:

vector $x \implies N(x) = x \sqcap 1$
by (*simp add: vector-N-pp*)

lemma *N-vector* [*simp*]:

$N(x * top) = x * top \sqcap 1$
by *simp*

lemma *N-vector-top* [*simp*]:

$N(x * top) * top = x * top$
using *N-vector-top-pp* **by** *simp*

lemma *N-below-inf-one*:

$N(x) \leq x \sqcap 1$
using *N-below-inf-one-pp* **by** *simp*

lemma *N-below*:

$N(x) \leq x$
using *N-below-pp* **by** *simp*

lemma *n-split-omega-mult*:

$xs * xo = xo \implies xo * top = xo \implies N(top) * xo = xs * N(xo) * top$
using *n-split-omega-mult-pp* **by** *simp*

lemma *complement-vector*:

vector $v \iff \text{vector } (-v)$
using *vector-complement-closed* **by** *fastforce*

lemma *complement-covector*:

covector $v \iff \text{covector } (-v)$
using *covector-complement-closed* **by** *force*

lemma *triple-schroeder*:

$x * y * z \leq w \iff x^T * -w * z^T \leq -y$
by (*simp add: triple-schroeder-p*)

lemma *schroeder-5*:

$x * y \leq z \iff y * -z^T \leq -x^T$

by (simp add: conv-complement schroeder-5-p)

lemma schroeder-6:

$x * y \leq z \longleftrightarrow -z^T * x \leq -y^T$

by (simp add: conv-complement schroeder-5-p)

end

We briefly look at the so-called Tarski rule. In some models of Stone relation algebras it only holds for regular elements, so we add this as an assumption.

class stone-relation-algebra-tarski = stone-relation-algebra +
assumes tarski: regular $x \implies x \neq \text{bot} \implies \text{top} * x * \text{top} = \text{top}$
begin

We can then show, for example, that every atom is contained in a pseudocomplemented relation or its pseudocomplement.

lemma atom-in-partition:

assumes atom x

shows $x \leq -y \vee x \leq --y$

proof –

have 1: $x * \text{top} * x^T \leq 1 \wedge x^T * \text{top} * x \leq 1$

using *assms atom-expanded* **by** *auto*

have $\neg(x \leq --y) \longrightarrow x \leq -y$

proof

assume $\neg(x \leq --y)$

hence $x \sqcap -y \neq \text{bot}$

using *pseudo-complement* **by** *simp*

hence $\text{top} * (x \sqcap -y) * \text{top} = \text{top}$

using *assms atom-regular tarski* **by** *auto*

hence $x = x \sqcap \text{top} * (x \sqcap -y) * \text{top}$

by *simp*

also have $\dots \leq x \sqcap x * ((x \sqcap -y) * \text{top})^T * (x \sqcap -y) * \text{top}$

by (*metis dedekind-2 inf.cobounded1 inf.boundedI inf-commute mult-assoc inf.absorb2 top.extremum*)

also have $\dots = x \sqcap x * \text{top} * (x^T \sqcap -y^T) * (x \sqcap -y) * \text{top}$

by (*simp add: comp-associative conv-complement conv-dist-comp conv-dist-inf*)

also have $\dots \leq x \sqcap x * \text{top} * x^T * (x \sqcap -y) * \text{top}$

using *inf.sup-right-isotone mult-left-isotone mult-right-isotone* **by** *auto*

also have $\dots \leq x \sqcap 1 * (x \sqcap -y) * \text{top}$

using 1 **by** (*metis comp-associative comp-isotone inf.sup-right-isotone mult-1-left mult-semi-associative*)

also have $\dots = x \sqcap (x \sqcap -y) * \text{top}$

by *simp*

also have $\dots \leq (x \sqcap -y) * ((x \sqcap -y)^T * x)$

by (*metis dedekind-1 inf-commute inf-top-right*)

also have $\dots \leq (x \sqcap -y) * (x^T * x)$

by (*simp add: conv-dist-inf mult-left-isotone mult-right-isotone*)

```

also have ...  $\leq (x \sqcap -y) * (x^T * top * x)$ 
  by (simp add: mult-assoc mult-right-isotone top-left-mult-increasing)
also have ...  $\leq x \sqcap -y$ 
  using 1 by (metis mult-right-isotone mult-1-right)
finally show  $x \leq -y$ 
  by simp
qed
thus  $x \leq -y \vee x \leq --y$ 
  by auto
qed

end

```

```

class relation-algebra-tarski = relation-algebra + stone-relation-algebra-tarski

```

Finally, the above axioms of relation algebras do not imply that they contain at least two elements. This is necessary, for example, to show that atoms are not empty.

```

class stone-relation-algebra-consistent = stone-relation-algebra +
  assumes consistent: bot  $\neq$  top
begin

```

```

lemma atom-not-bot:
  atom x  $\implies$  x  $\neq$  bot
  using consistent mult-right-zero by auto

```

```

end

```

```

class relation-algebra-consistent = relation-algebra +
  stone-relation-algebra-consistent

```

```

end

```

5 Subalgebras of Relation Algebras

In this theory we consider the algebraic structure of regular elements, coreflexives, vectors and covectors in Stone relation algebras. These elements form important subalgebras and substructures of relation algebras.

```

theory Relation-Subalgebras

```

```

imports ../Stone-Algebras/Stone-Construction Relation-Algebras

```

```

begin

```

The regular elements of a Stone relation algebra form a relation subalgebra.

```

instantiation regular :: (stone-relation-algebra) relation-algebra
begin

```

lift-definition *times-regular* :: 'a regular \Rightarrow 'a regular \Rightarrow 'a regular **is** *times*
using *regular-mult-closed regular-closed-p* **by** *blast*

lift-definition *conv-regular* :: 'a regular \Rightarrow 'a regular **is** *conv*
using *conv-complement* **by** *blast*

lift-definition *one-regular* :: 'a regular **is** *1*
using *regular-one-closed* **by** *blast*

instance

apply *intro-classes*
apply (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *times-regular.rep-eq* *Rep-regular-inject*
comp-associative)
apply (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *times-regular.rep-eq* *Rep-regular-inject*
mult-right-dist-sup sup-regular.rep-eq)
apply (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *times-regular.rep-eq* *Rep-regular-inject*
bot-regular.rep-eq semiring.mult-zero-left)
apply (*simp* *add: one-regular.rep-eq times-regular.rep-eq*
Rep-regular-inject[THEN sym])
using *Rep-regular-inject conv-regular.rep-eq* **apply** *force*
apply (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *Rep-regular-inject conv-dist-sup*
conv-regular.rep-eq sup-regular.rep-eq)
apply (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *conv-regular.rep-eq times-regular.rep-eq*
Rep-regular-inject conv-dist-comp)
apply (*auto simp add: conv-regular.rep-eq dedekind-1 inf-regular.rep-eq*
less-eq-regular.rep-eq times-regular.rep-eq)
done

end

The coreflexives (tests) in an idempotent semiring form a bounded idempotent subsemiring.

typedef (**overloaded**) 'a *coreflexive* =
coreflexives::'a::non-associative-left-semiring set
by *auto*

lemma *simp-coreflexive* [*simp*]:
 $\exists y . \text{Rep-coreflexive } x \leq 1$
using *Rep-coreflexive* **by** *simp*

setup-lifting *type-definition-coreflexive*

instantiation *coreflexive* :: (*idempotent-semiring*) *bounded-idempotent-semiring*
begin

lift-definition *sup-coreflexive* :: 'a *coreflexive* \Rightarrow 'a *coreflexive* \Rightarrow 'a *coreflexive*
is *sup*
by *simp*


```

lift-definition times-coreflexive :: 'a coreflexive  $\Rightarrow$  'a coreflexive  $\Rightarrow$  'a coreflexive
is times
  by (simp add: coreflexive-mult-closed)

lift-definition bot-coreflexive :: 'a coreflexive is bot
  by simp

lift-definition one-coreflexive :: 'a coreflexive is 1
  by simp

lift-definition top-coreflexive :: 'a coreflexive is 1
  by simp

lift-definition less-eq-coreflexive :: 'a coreflexive  $\Rightarrow$  'a coreflexive  $\Rightarrow$  bool is
less-eq .

lift-definition less-coreflexive :: 'a coreflexive  $\Rightarrow$  'a coreflexive  $\Rightarrow$  bool is less .

instance
  apply intro-classes
  apply (simp-all add: less-coreflexive.rep-eq less-eq-coreflexive.rep-eq
less-le-not-le)[2]
  apply (meson less-eq-coreflexive.rep-eq order-trans)
  apply (simp-all add: Rep-coreflexive-inject bot-coreflexive.rep-eq
less-eq-coreflexive.rep-eq sup-coreflexive.rep-eq)[5]
  apply (simp add: semiring.distrib-left less-eq-coreflexive.rep-eq
sup-coreflexive.rep-eq times-coreflexive.rep-eq)
  apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) sup-coreflexive.rep-eq times-coreflexive.rep-eq
Rep-coreflexive-inject mult-right-dist-sup)
  apply (simp add: times-coreflexive.rep-eq bot-coreflexive.rep-eq
Rep-coreflexive-inject[THEN sym])
  apply (simp add: one-coreflexive.rep-eq times-coreflexive.rep-eq
Rep-coreflexive-inject[THEN sym])
  apply (simp add: one-coreflexive.rep-eq less-eq-coreflexive.rep-eq
times-coreflexive.rep-eq)
  apply (simp only: sup-coreflexive.rep-eq top-coreflexive.rep-eq
Rep-coreflexive-inject[THEN sym], metis Abs-coreflexive-cases
Abs-coreflexive-inverse mem-Collect-eq sup.absorb2)
  apply (simp add: less-eq-coreflexive.rep-eq mult.assoc times-coreflexive.rep-eq)
  apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) times-coreflexive.rep-eq Rep-coreflexive-inject
mult.assoc)
  using Rep-coreflexive-inject one-coreflexive.rep-eq times-coreflexive.rep-eq
apply fastforce
  apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) sup-coreflexive.rep-eq times-coreflexive.rep-eq
Rep-coreflexive-inject mult-left-dist-sup)
  by (simp add: times-coreflexive.rep-eq bot-coreflexive.rep-eq
Rep-coreflexive-inject[THEN sym])

```

end

The coreflexives (tests) in a Stone relation algebra form a Stone relation algebra where the pseudocomplement is taken relative to the identity relation and converse is the identity function.

instantiation *coreflexive* :: (*stone-relation-algebra*) *stone-relation-algebra*
begin

lift-definition *inf-coreflexive* :: 'a *coreflexive* \Rightarrow 'a *coreflexive* \Rightarrow 'a *coreflexive* **is** *inf*
by (*simp add: le-infI1*)

lift-definition *minus-coreflexive* :: 'a *coreflexive* \Rightarrow 'a *coreflexive* \Rightarrow 'a *coreflexive* **is** $\lambda x y . x \sqcap -y$
by (*simp add: le-infI1*)

lift-definition *uminus-coreflexive* :: 'a *coreflexive* \Rightarrow 'a *coreflexive* **is** $\lambda x . -x \sqcap 1$
by *simp*

lift-definition *conv-coreflexive* :: 'a *coreflexive* \Rightarrow 'a *coreflexive* **is** *id*
by *simp*

instance

apply *intro-classes*
apply (*auto simp: top-greatest inf-coreflexive.rep-eq less-eq-coreflexive.rep-eq*)[3]
apply (*simp add: top-greatest*)
apply (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) Rep-coreflexive-inject inf-coreflexive.rep-eq sup-coreflexive.rep-eq sup-inf-distrib1*)
apply (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) Rep-coreflexive-inject bot-coreflexive.rep-eq top-greatest coreflexive-pseudo-complement inf-coreflexive.rep-eq less-eq-coreflexive.rep-eq one-coreflexive.rep-eq one-coreflexive-def top-coreflexive-def uminus-coreflexive.rep-eq*)
apply (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) Rep-coreflexive-inject maddux-3-21-pp one-coreflexive.rep-eq one-coreflexive-def pp-dist-inf pp-one regular-closed-p sup-coreflexive.rep-eq sup-right-top top-coreflexive-def uminus-coreflexive.rep-eq*)
apply (*auto simp: mult.assoc mult-right-dist-sup*)[4]
using *Rep-coreflexive-inject conv-coreflexive.rep-eq* **apply** *fastforce*
apply (*metis (mono-tags) Rep-coreflexive-inject conv-coreflexive.rep-eq*)
apply (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) Rep-coreflexive-inject top-greatest conv-coreflexive.rep-eq coreflexive-commutative less-eq-coreflexive.rep-eq one-coreflexive.rep-eq one-coreflexive-def times-coreflexive.rep-eq top-coreflexive-def*)
apply (*simp only: conv-coreflexive.rep-eq less-eq-coreflexive.rep-eq one-coreflexive.rep-eq times-coreflexive.rep-eq inf-coreflexive.rep-eq Rep-coreflexive-inject[THEN sym], metis coreflexive-dedekind Rep-coreflexive mem-Collect-eq*)
apply (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) Rep-coreflexive Rep-coreflexive-inject coreflexive-pp-dist-comp mem-Collect-eq times-coreflexive.rep-eq uminus-coreflexive.rep-eq*)

by (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *hide-lams*) *Rep-coreflexive-inverse inf commute inf.idem inf-import-p one-coreflexive.rep-eq pp-one uminus-coreflexive.rep-eq*)

end

Vectors in a Stone relation algebra form a Stone subalgebra.

typedef (**overloaded**) *'a vector = vectors::'a::bounded-pre-left-semiring set*
using *surjective-top-closed* **by** *blast*

lemma *simp-vector* [*simp*]:
 $\exists y . \text{Rep-vector } x * \text{top} = \text{Rep-vector } x$
using *Rep-vector* **by** *simp*

setup-lifting *type-definition-vector*

instantiation *vector* :: (*stone-relation-algebra*) *stone-algebra*
begin

lift-definition *sup-vector* :: *'a vector* \Rightarrow *'a vector* \Rightarrow *'a vector* **is** *sup*
by (*simp add: vector-sup-closed*)

lift-definition *inf-vector* :: *'a vector* \Rightarrow *'a vector* \Rightarrow *'a vector* **is** *inf*
by (*simp add: vector-inf-closed*)

lift-definition *uminus-vector* :: *'a vector* \Rightarrow *'a vector* **is** *uminus*
by (*simp add: vector-complement-closed*)

lift-definition *bot-vector* :: *'a vector* **is** *bot*
by *simp*

lift-definition *top-vector* :: *'a vector* **is** *top*
by *simp*

lift-definition *less-eq-vector* :: *'a vector* \Rightarrow *'a vector* \Rightarrow *bool* **is** *less-eq* .

lift-definition *less-vector* :: *'a vector* \Rightarrow *'a vector* \Rightarrow *bool* **is** *less* .

instance

apply *intro-classes*

apply (*auto simp: Rep-vector-inject top-vector.rep-eq bot-vector.rep-eq less-le-not-le*

inf-vector.rep-eq sup-vector.rep-eq less-eq-vector.rep-eq less-vector.rep-eq)[12]

apply (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *Rep-vector-inject inf-vector.rep-eq sup-inf-distrib1 sup-vector.rep-eq*)

apply (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *Rep-vector-inject bot-vector-def bot-vector.rep-eq pseudo-complement inf-vector.rep-eq less-eq-vector.rep-eq uminus-vector.rep-eq*)

apply (*metis* (*mono-tags*, *lifting*) *sup-vector.rep-eq uminus-vector.rep-eq Rep-vector-inverse stone top-vector.abs-eq*)

```
done
end
```

Covectors in a Stone relation algebra form a Stone subalgebra.

```
typedef (overloaded) 'a covector = covectors::'a::bounded-pre-left-semiring set
using surjective-top-closed by blast
```

```
lemma simp-covector [simp]:
   $\exists y . top * Rep-covector x = Rep-covector x$ 
using Rep-covector by simp
```

```
setup-lifting type-definition-covector
```

```
instantiation covector :: (stone-relation-algebra) stone-algebra
begin
```

```
lift-definition sup-covector :: 'a covector  $\Rightarrow$  'a covector  $\Rightarrow$  'a covector is sup
by (simp add: covector-sup-closed)
```

```
lift-definition inf-covector :: 'a covector  $\Rightarrow$  'a covector  $\Rightarrow$  'a covector is inf
by (simp add: covector-inf-closed)
```

```
lift-definition uminus-covector :: 'a covector  $\Rightarrow$  'a covector is uminus
by (simp add: covector-complement-closed)
```

```
lift-definition bot-covector :: 'a covector is bot
by simp
```

```
lift-definition top-covector :: 'a covector is top
by simp
```

```
lift-definition less-eq-covector :: 'a covector  $\Rightarrow$  'a covector  $\Rightarrow$  bool is less-eq .
```

```
lift-definition less-covector :: 'a covector  $\Rightarrow$  'a covector  $\Rightarrow$  bool is less .
```

```
instance
```

```
  apply intro-classes
  apply (auto simp: Rep-covector-inject less-eq-covector.rep-eq
    inf-covector.rep-eq
    bot-covector.rep-eq top-covector.rep-eq sup-covector.rep-eq less-le-not-le
    less-covector.rep-eq)[12]
  apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Rep-covector-inject inf-covector.rep-eq
    sup-inf-distrib1 sup-covector.rep-eq)
  apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) Rep-covector-inject bot-covector-def
    bot-covector.rep-eq pseudo-complement inf-covector.rep-eq less-eq-covector.rep-eq
    uminus-covector.rep-eq)
  apply (metis (mono-tags, lifting) sup-covector.rep-eq uminus-covector.rep-eq
    Rep-covector-inverse stone top-covector.abs-eq)
done
```

end

end

6 Matrix Relation Algebras

This theory gives matrix models of Stone relation algebras and more general structures. We consider only square matrices. The main result is that matrices over Stone relation algebras form a Stone relation algebra.

We use the monoid structure underlying semilattices to provide finite sums, which are necessary for defining the composition of two matrices. See [3, 4] for similar liftings to matrices for semirings and relation algebras. A technical difference is that those theories are mostly based on semirings whereas our hierarchy is mostly based on lattices (and our semirings directly inherit from semilattices).

Relation algebras have both a semiring and a lattice structure such that semiring addition and lattice join coincide. In particular, finite sums and finite suprema coincide. Isabelle/HOL has separate theories for semirings and lattices, based on separate addition and join operations and different operations for finite sums and finite suprema. Reusing results from both theories is beneficial for relation algebras, but not always easy to realise.

theory *Matrix-Relation-Algebras*

imports *Relation-Algebras*

begin

6.1 Finite Suprema

We consider finite suprema in idempotent semirings and Stone relation algebras. We mostly use the first of the following notations, which denotes the supremum of expressions $t(x)$ over all x from the type of x . For finite types, this is implemented in Isabelle/HOL as the repeated application of binary suprema.

syntax (*xsymbols*)

-sum-sup-monoid :: *idt* \Rightarrow *'a::bounded-semilattice-sup-bot* \Rightarrow *'a* ($(\bigsqcup -)$ [*0,10*]
10)

-sum-sup-monoid-bounded :: *idt* \Rightarrow *'b set* \Rightarrow *'a::bounded-semilattice-sup-bot* \Rightarrow
'a ($(\bigsqcup_{- \in -}$ [*0,51,10*]) *10*)

translations

$\bigsqcup_x t \Rightarrow XCONST\ sup-monoid.sum\ (\lambda x . t)\ \{ x . CONST\ True \}$
 $\bigsqcup_{x \in X} t \Rightarrow XCONST\ sup-monoid.sum\ (\lambda x . t)\ X$

context *idempotent-semiring*

begin

The following induction principles are useful for comparing two suprema. The first principle works because types are not empty.

lemma *one-sup-induct* [case-names one sup]:
fixes $f g :: 'b::\text{finite} \Rightarrow 'a$
assumes $\text{one}: \bigwedge i . P (f i) (g i)$
and $\text{sup}: \bigwedge j I . j \notin I \Longrightarrow P (\bigsqcup_{i \in I} f i) (\bigsqcup_{i \in I} g i) \Longrightarrow P (f j \sqcup (\bigsqcup_{i \in I} f i)) (g j \sqcup (\bigsqcup_{i \in I} g i))$
shows $P (\bigsqcup_k f k) (\bigsqcup_k g k)$
proof –
let $?X = \{ k :: 'b . \text{True} \}$
have $\text{finite } ?X$ **and** $?X \neq \{\}$
by *auto*
thus *?thesis*
proof (*induct rule: finite-ne-induct*)
case (*singleton i*) **thus** *?case*
using *one by simp*
next
case (*insert j I*) **thus** *?case*
using *sup by simp*
qed
qed

lemma *bot-sup-induct* [case-names bot sup]:
fixes $f g :: 'b::\text{finite} \Rightarrow 'a$
assumes $\text{bot}: P \text{ bot bot}$
and $\text{sup}: \bigwedge j I . j \notin I \Longrightarrow P (\bigsqcup_{i \in I} f i) (\bigsqcup_{i \in I} g i) \Longrightarrow P (f j \sqcup (\bigsqcup_{i \in I} f i)) (g j \sqcup (\bigsqcup_{i \in I} g i))$
shows $P (\bigsqcup_k f k) (\bigsqcup_k g k)$
apply (*induct rule: one-sup-induct*)
using *bot sup apply fastforce*
using *sup by blast*

Now many properties of finite suprema follow by simple applications of the above induction rules. In particular, we show distributivity of composition, isotonicity and the upper-bound property.

lemma *comp-right-dist-sum*:
fixes $f :: 'b::\text{finite} \Rightarrow 'a$
shows $(\bigsqcup_k f k * x) = (\bigsqcup_k f k) * x$
proof (*induct rule: one-sup-induct*)
case *one* **show** *?case*
by *simp*
next
case (*sup j I*) **thus** *?case*
using *mult-right-dist-sup by auto*
qed

lemma *comp-left-dist-sum*:

```

fixes f :: 'b::finite  $\Rightarrow$  'a
shows ( $\bigsqcup_k x * f k$ ) = x * ( $\bigsqcup_k f k$ )
proof (induct rule: one-sup-induct)
  case one show ?case
    by simp
next
  case (sup j I) thus ?case
    by (simp add: mult-left-dist-sup)
qed

lemma leq-sum:
  fixes f g :: 'b::finite  $\Rightarrow$  'a
  shows ( $\forall k . f k \leq g k$ )  $\implies$  ( $\bigsqcup_k f k$ )  $\leq$  ( $\bigsqcup_k g k$ )
proof (induct rule: one-sup-induct)
  case one thus ?case
    by simp
next
  case (sup j I) thus ?case
    using sup-mono by blast
qed

lemma ub-sum:
  fixes f :: 'b::finite  $\Rightarrow$  'a
  shows f i  $\leq$  ( $\bigsqcup_k f k$ )
proof -
  have i  $\in$  { k . True }
    by simp
  thus f i  $\leq$  ( $\bigsqcup_k f (k::'b)$ )
    by (metis finite-code sup-monoid.sum.insert sup-ge1 mk-disjoint-insert)
qed

lemma lub-sum:
  fixes f :: 'b::finite  $\Rightarrow$  'a
  assumes  $\forall k . f k \leq x$ 
  shows ( $\bigsqcup_k f k$ )  $\leq$  x
proof (induct rule: one-sup-induct)
  case one show ?case
    by (simp add: assms)
next
  case (sup j I) thus ?case
    using assms le-supI by blast
qed

lemma lub-sum-iff:
  fixes f :: 'b::finite  $\Rightarrow$  'a
  shows ( $\forall k . f k \leq x$ )  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\bigsqcup_k f k$ )  $\leq$  x
  using order.trans ub-sum lub-sum by blast

end

```

```

context stone-relation-algebra
begin

```

In Stone relation algebras, we can also show that converse, double complement and meet distribute over finite suprema.

```

lemma conv-dist-sum:
  fixes f :: 'b::finite  $\Rightarrow$  'a
  shows  $(\bigsqcup_k (f k)^T) = (\bigsqcup_k f k)^T$ 
proof (induct rule: one-sup-induct)
  case one show ?case
  by simp
next
  case (sup j I) thus ?case
  by (simp add: conv-dist-sup)
qed

```

```

lemma pp-dist-sum:
  fixes f :: 'b::finite  $\Rightarrow$  'a
  shows  $(\bigsqcup_k --f k) = --(\bigsqcup_k f k)$ 
proof (induct rule: one-sup-induct)
  case one show ?case
  by simp
next
  case (sup j I) thus ?case
  by simp
qed

```

```

lemma inf-right-dist-sum:
  fixes f :: 'b::finite  $\Rightarrow$  'a
  shows  $(\bigsqcup_k f k \sqcap x) = (\bigsqcup_k f k) \sqcap x$ 
  by (rule comp-inf.comp-right-dist-sum)

```

```

end

```

6.2 Square Matrices

Because our semiring and relation algebra type classes only work for homogeneous relations, we only look at square matrices.

```

type-synonym ('a,'b) square = 'a  $\times$  'a  $\Rightarrow$  'b

```

We use standard matrix operations. The Stone algebra structure is lifted componentwise. Composition is matrix multiplication using given composition and supremum operations. Its unit lifts given zero and one elements into an identity matrix. Converse is matrix transpose with an additional componentwise transpose.

```

definition less-eq-matrix :: ('a,'b::ord) square  $\Rightarrow$  ('a,'b) square  $\Rightarrow$  bool
(infix  $\preceq$  50) where  $f \preceq g = (\forall e . f e \leq g e)$ 

```


definition *less-matrix* :: ('a,'b::ord) square \Rightarrow ('a,'b) square \Rightarrow bool
(infix \prec 50) **where** $f \prec g = (f \preceq g \wedge \neg g \preceq f)$
definition *sup-matrix* :: ('a,'b::sup) square \Rightarrow ('a,'b) square \Rightarrow ('a,'b) square
(infixl \oplus 65) **where** $f \oplus g = (\lambda e . f e \sqcup g e)$
definition *inf-matrix* :: ('a,'b::inf) square \Rightarrow ('a,'b) square \Rightarrow ('a,'b) square
(infixl \otimes 67) **where** $f \otimes g = (\lambda e . f e \sqcap g e)$
definition *minus-matrix* :: ('a,'b::{uminus,inf}) square \Rightarrow ('a,'b) square \Rightarrow
('a,'b) square (infixl \ominus 65) **where** $f \ominus g = (\lambda e . f e \sqcap -g e)$
definition *times-matrix* :: ('a,'b::{times,bounded-semilattice-sup-bot}) square \Rightarrow
('a,'b) square \Rightarrow ('a,'b) square (infixl \odot 70) **where** $f \odot g = (\lambda(i,j) . \bigsqcup_k f$
 $(i,k) * g(k,j))$
definition *uminus-matrix* :: ('a,'b::uminus) square \Rightarrow ('a,'b) square
 $(\ominus - [80] 80)$ **where** $\ominus f = (\lambda e . -f e)$
definition *conv-matrix* :: ('a,'b::conv) square \Rightarrow ('a,'b) square
 $(-^t [100] 100)$ **where** $f^t = (\lambda(i,j) . (f(j,i))^T)$
definition *bot-matrix* :: ('a,'b::bot) square
 $(mbot)$ **where** $mbot = (\lambda e . bot)$
definition *top-matrix* :: ('a,'b::top) square
 $(mtop)$ **where** $mtop = (\lambda e . top)$
definition *one-matrix* :: ('a,'b::{one,bot}) square
 $(mone)$ **where** $mone = (\lambda(i,j) . \text{if } i = j \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } bot)$

6.3 Stone Algebras

We first lift the Stone algebra structure. Because all operations are componentwise, this also works for infinite matrices.

interpretation *matrix-order*: order **where** $less\text{-}eq = less\text{-}eq\text{-}matrix$ **and** $less = less\text{-}matrix$:: ('a,'b::order) square \Rightarrow ('a,'b) square \Rightarrow bool

apply *unfold-locales*
apply (*simp add: less-matrix-def*)
apply (*simp add: less-eq-matrix-def*)
apply (*meson less-eq-matrix-def order-trans*)
by (*meson less-eq-matrix-def antisym ext*)

interpretation *matrix-semilattice-sup*: *semilattice-sup* **where** $sup = sup\text{-}matrix$ **and** $less\text{-}eq = less\text{-}eq\text{-}matrix$ **and** $less = less\text{-}matrix$:: ('a,'b::semilattice-sup) square \Rightarrow ('a,'b) square \Rightarrow bool

apply *unfold-locales*
apply (*simp add: sup-matrix-def less-eq-matrix-def*)
apply (*simp add: sup-matrix-def less-eq-matrix-def*)
by (*simp add: sup-matrix-def less-eq-matrix-def*)

interpretation *matrix-semilattice-inf*: *semilattice-inf* **where** $inf = inf\text{-}matrix$ **and** $less\text{-}eq = less\text{-}eq\text{-}matrix$ **and** $less = less\text{-}matrix$:: ('a,'b::semilattice-inf) square \Rightarrow ('a,'b) square \Rightarrow bool

apply *unfold-locales*
apply (*simp add: inf-matrix-def less-eq-matrix-def*)
apply (*simp add: inf-matrix-def less-eq-matrix-def*)
by (*simp add: inf-matrix-def less-eq-matrix-def*)

interpretation *matrix-bounded-semilattice-sup-bot*: *bounded-semilattice-sup-bot*
where *sup* = *sup-matrix* **and** *less-eq* = *less-eq-matrix* **and** *less* = *less-matrix*
and *bot* = *bot-matrix* :: ('a,'b::bounded-semilattice-sup-bot) square
apply *unfold-locales*
by (*simp add: bot-matrix-def less-eq-matrix-def*)

interpretation *matrix-bounded-semilattice-inf-top*: *bounded-semilattice-inf-top*
where *inf* = *inf-matrix* **and** *less-eq* = *less-eq-matrix* **and** *less* = *less-matrix*
and *top* = *top-matrix* :: ('a,'b::bounded-semilattice-inf-top) square
apply *unfold-locales*
by (*simp add: less-eq-matrix-def top-matrix-def*)

interpretation *matrix-lattice*: *lattice* **where** *sup* = *sup-matrix* **and** *inf* =
inf-matrix **and** *less-eq* = *less-eq-matrix* **and** *less* = *less-matrix* :: ('a,'b::lattice)
square \Rightarrow ('a,'b) square \Rightarrow bool ..

interpretation *matrix-distrib-lattice*: *distrib-lattice* **where** *sup* = *sup-matrix*
and *inf* = *inf-matrix* **and** *less-eq* = *less-eq-matrix* **and** *less* = *less-matrix* ::
('a,'b::distrib-lattice) square \Rightarrow ('a,'b) square \Rightarrow bool
apply *unfold-locales*
by (*simp add: sup-inf-distrib1 sup-matrix-def inf-matrix-def*)

interpretation *matrix-bounded-lattice*: *bounded-lattice* **where** *sup* = *sup-matrix*
and *inf* = *inf-matrix* **and** *less-eq* = *less-eq-matrix* **and** *less* = *less-matrix* **and**
bot = *bot-matrix* :: ('a,'b::bounded-lattice) square **and** *top* = *top-matrix* ..

interpretation *matrix-bounded-distrib-lattice*: *bounded-distrib-lattice* **where** *sup*
= *sup-matrix* **and** *inf* = *inf-matrix* **and** *less-eq* = *less-eq-matrix* **and** *less* =
less-matrix **and** *bot* = *bot-matrix* :: ('a,'b::bounded-distrib-lattice) square **and** *top*
= *top-matrix* ..

interpretation *matrix-p-algebra*: *p-algebra* **where** *sup* = *sup-matrix* **and** *inf* =
inf-matrix **and** *less-eq* = *less-eq-matrix* **and** *less* = *less-matrix* **and** *bot* =
bot-matrix :: ('a,'b::p-algebra) square **and** *top* = *top-matrix* **and** *uminus* =
uminus-matrix
apply *unfold-locales*
apply (*unfold inf-matrix-def bot-matrix-def less-eq-matrix-def*
uminus-matrix-def)
by (*meson pseudo-complement*)

interpretation *matrix-pd-algebra*: *pd-algebra* **where** *sup* = *sup-matrix* **and** *inf*
= *inf-matrix* **and** *less-eq* = *less-eq-matrix* **and** *less* = *less-matrix* **and** *bot* =
bot-matrix :: ('a,'b::pd-algebra) square **and** *top* = *top-matrix* **and** *uminus* =
uminus-matrix ..

In particular, matrices over Stone algebras form a Stone algebra.

interpretation *matrix-stone-algebra*: *stone-algebra* **where** *sup* = *sup-matrix*
and *inf* = *inf-matrix* **and** *less-eq* = *less-eq-matrix* **and** *less* = *less-matrix* **and**

$bot = bot\text{-matrix} :: ('a, 'b :: stone\text{-algebra}) \text{ square and } top = top\text{-matrix and}$
 $uminus = uminus\text{-matrix}$
by *unfold-locales (simp add: sup-matrix-def uminus-matrix-def top-matrix-def)*

interpretation *matrix-boolean-algebra: boolean-algebra where* $sup = sup\text{-matrix}$
and $inf = inf\text{-matrix and less-eq = less-eq-matrix and less = less-matrix and}$
 $bot = bot\text{-matrix} :: ('a, 'b :: boolean\text{-algebra}) \text{ square and } top = top\text{-matrix and}$
 $uminus = uminus\text{-matrix and minus = minus-matrix}$
apply *unfold-locales*
apply *simp*
apply *(simp add: sup-matrix-def uminus-matrix-def top-matrix-def)*
by *(simp add: inf-matrix-def uminus-matrix-def minus-matrix-def)*

6.4 Semirings

Next, we lift the semiring structure. Because of composition, this requires a restriction to finite matrices.

interpretation *matrix-monoid: monoid-mult where* $times = times\text{-matrix and}$
 $one = one\text{-matrix} :: ('a :: finite, 'b :: idempotent\text{-semiring}) \text{ square}$

proof

fix $f\ g\ h :: ('a, 'b) \text{ square}$
show $(f \odot g) \odot h = f \odot (g \odot h)$
proof *(rule ext, rule prod-cases)*
fix $i\ j$
have $((f \odot g) \odot h) (i, j) = (\bigsqcup_l (f \odot g) (i, l) * h (l, j))$
by *(simp add: times-matrix-def)*
also have $\dots = (\bigsqcup_l (\bigsqcup_k f (i, k) * g (k, l)) * h (l, j))$
by *(simp add: times-matrix-def)*
also have $\dots = (\bigsqcup_l \bigsqcup_k (f (i, k) * g (k, l)) * h (l, j))$
by *(metis (no-types) comp-right-dist-sum)*
also have $\dots = (\bigsqcup_l \bigsqcup_k f (i, k) * (g (k, l) * h (l, j)))$
by *(simp add: mult.assoc)*
also have $\dots = (\bigsqcup_k \bigsqcup_l f (i, k) * (g (k, l) * h (l, j)))$
using *sup-monoid.sum commute* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots = (\bigsqcup_k f (i, k) * (\bigsqcup_l g (k, l) * h (l, j)))$
by *(metis (no-types) comp-left-dist-sum)*
also have $\dots = (\bigsqcup_k f (i, k) * (g \odot h) (k, j))$
by *(simp add: times-matrix-def)*
also have $\dots = (f \odot (g \odot h)) (i, j)$
by *(simp add: times-matrix-def)*
finally show $((f \odot g) \odot h) (i, j) = (f \odot (g \odot h)) (i, j)$

qed

next

fix $f :: ('a, 'b) \text{ square}$
show $mone \odot f = f$
proof *(rule ext, rule prod-cases)*
fix $i\ j$
have $(mone \odot f) (i, j) = (\bigsqcup_k mone (i, k) * f (k, j))$

```

    by (simp add: times-matrix-def)
  also have ... = ( $\bigsqcup_k$  (if  $i = k$  then 1 else bot) *  $f$  ( $k,j$ ))
    by (simp add: one-matrix-def)
  also have ... = ( $\bigsqcup_k$  if  $i = k$  then 1 *  $f$  ( $k,j$ ) else bot *  $f$  ( $k,j$ ))
    by (metis (full-types, hide-lams))
  also have ... = ( $\bigsqcup_k$  if  $i = k$  then  $f$  ( $k,j$ ) else bot)
    by (meson mult-left-one mult-left-zero)
  also have ... =  $f$  ( $i,j$ )
    by (simp add: sup-monoid.sum.delta')
  finally show (mone  $\odot$   $f$ ) ( $i,j$ ) =  $f$  ( $i,j$ )
.
qed
next
fix  $f :: ('a,'b)$  square
show  $f \odot$  mone =  $f$ 
proof (rule ext, rule prod-cases)
  fix  $i j$ 
  have ( $f \odot$  mone) ( $i,j$ ) = ( $\bigsqcup_k$   $f$  ( $i,k$ ) * mone ( $k,j$ ))
    by (simp add: times-matrix-def)
  also have ... = ( $\bigsqcup_k$   $f$  ( $i,k$ ) * (if  $k = j$  then 1 else bot))
    by (simp add: one-matrix-def)
  also have ... = ( $\bigsqcup_k$  if  $k = j$  then  $f$  ( $i,k$ ) * 1 else  $f$  ( $i,k$ ) * bot)
    by (metis (full-types, hide-lams))
  also have ... = ( $\bigsqcup_k$  if  $k = j$  then  $f$  ( $i,k$ ) else bot)
    by (meson mult.right-neutral semiring.mult-zero-right)
  also have ... =  $f$  ( $i,j$ )
    by (simp add: sup-monoid.sum.delta)
  finally show ( $f \odot$  mone) ( $i,j$ ) =  $f$  ( $i,j$ )
.
qed
qed

```

interpretation *matrix-idempotent-semiring: idempotent-semiring where sup = sup-matrix and less-eq = less-eq-matrix and less = less-matrix and bot = bot-matrix* :: ($'a::$ finite, $'b::$ idempotent-semiring) square **and** one = one-matrix **and** times = times-matrix

```

proof
  fix  $f g h :: ('a,'b)$  square
  show  $f \odot g \oplus f \odot h \preceq f \odot (g \oplus h)$ 
  proof (unfold less-eq-matrix-def, rule allI, rule prod-cases)
    fix  $i j$ 
    have ( $f \odot g \oplus f \odot h$ ) ( $i,j$ ) = ( $f \odot g$ ) ( $i,j$ )  $\sqcup$  ( $f \odot h$ ) ( $i,j$ )
      by (simp add: sup-matrix-def)
    also have ... = ( $\bigsqcup_k$   $f$  ( $i,k$ ) *  $g$  ( $k,j$ ))  $\sqcup$  ( $\bigsqcup_k$   $f$  ( $i,k$ ) *  $h$  ( $k,j$ ))
      by (simp add: times-matrix-def)
    also have ... = ( $\bigsqcup_k$   $f$  ( $i,k$ ) *  $g$  ( $k,j$ )  $\sqcup$   $f$  ( $i,k$ ) *  $h$  ( $k,j$ ))
      by (simp add: sup-monoid.sum.distrib)
    also have ... = ( $\bigsqcup_k$   $f$  ( $i,k$ ) * ( $g$  ( $k,j$ )  $\sqcup$   $h$  ( $k,j$ )))
      by (simp add: mult-left-dist-sup)
  
```

```

    also have ... = ( $\bigsqcup_k f (i,k) * (g \oplus h) (k,j)$ )
      by (simp add: sup-matrix-def)
    also have ... = ( $f \odot (g \oplus h) (i,j)$ )
      by (simp add: times-matrix-def)
    finally show ( $f \odot g \oplus f \odot h (i,j) \leq (f \odot (g \oplus h) (i,j)$ )
      by simp
  qed
next
fix f g h :: ('a,'b) square
show ( $(f \oplus g) \odot h = f \odot h \oplus g \odot h$ )
proof (rule ext, rule prod-cases)
  fix i j
  have ( $(f \oplus g) \odot h (i,j) = (\bigsqcup_k (f \oplus g) (i,k) * h (k,j)$ )
    by (simp add: times-matrix-def)
  also have ... = ( $\bigsqcup_k (f (i,k) \sqcup g (i,k)) * h (k,j)$ )
    by (simp add: sup-matrix-def)
  also have ... = ( $\bigsqcup_k f (i,k) * h (k,j) \sqcup g (i,k) * h (k,j)$ )
    by (meson mult-right-dist-sup)
  also have ... = ( $\bigsqcup_k f (i,k) * h (k,j) \sqcup (\bigsqcup_k g (i,k) * h (k,j)$ )
    by (simp add: sup-monoid.sum.distrib)
  also have ... = ( $(f \odot h) (i,j) \sqcup (g \odot h) (i,j)$ )
    by (simp add: times-matrix-def)
  also have ... = ( $(f \odot h \oplus g \odot h) (i,j)$ )
    by (simp add: sup-matrix-def)
  finally show ( $(f \oplus g) \odot h (i,j) = (f \odot h \oplus g \odot h) (i,j)$ )
    .
qed
next
fix f :: ('a,'b) square
show  $mbot \odot f = mbot$ 
proof (rule ext, rule prod-cases)
  fix i j
  have ( $mbot \odot f (i,j) = (\bigsqcup_k mbot (i,k) * f (k,j)$ )
    by (simp add: times-matrix-def)
  also have ... = ( $\bigsqcup_k bot * f (k,j)$ )
    by (simp add: bot-matrix-def)
  also have ... =  $bot$ 
    by simp
  also have ... =  $mbot (i,j)$ 
    by (simp add: bot-matrix-def)
  finally show ( $mbot \odot f (i,j) = mbot (i,j)$ )
    .
qed
next
fix f :: ('a,'b) square
show  $mone \odot f = f$ 
  by simp
next
fix f :: ('a,'b) square

```

```

show  $f \preceq f \odot mone$ 
  by simp
next
fix  $f g h :: ('a, 'b)$  square
show  $f \odot (g \oplus h) = f \odot g \oplus f \odot h$ 
proof (rule ext, rule prod-cases)
  fix  $i j$ 
  have  $(f \odot (g \oplus h)) (i, j) = (\bigsqcup_k f (i, k) * (g \oplus h) (k, j))$ 
    by (simp add: times-matrix-def)
  also have  $\dots = (\bigsqcup_k f (i, k) * (g (k, j) \sqcup h (k, j)))$ 
    by (simp add: sup-matrix-def)
  also have  $\dots = (\bigsqcup_k f (i, k) * g (k, j) \sqcup f (i, k) * h (k, j))$ 
    by (meson mult-left-dist-sup)
  also have  $\dots = (\bigsqcup_k f (i, k) * g (k, j)) \sqcup (\bigsqcup_k f (i, k) * h (k, j))$ 
    by (simp add: sup-monoid.sum.distrib)
  also have  $\dots = (f \odot g) (i, j) \sqcup (f \odot h) (i, j)$ 
    by (simp add: times-matrix-def)
  also have  $\dots = (f \odot g \oplus f \odot h) (i, j)$ 
    by (simp add: sup-matrix-def)
  finally show  $(f \odot (g \oplus h)) (i, j) = (f \odot g \oplus f \odot h) (i, j)$ 

```

qed

```

next
fix  $f :: ('a, 'b)$  square
show  $f \odot mbot = mbot$ 
proof (rule ext, rule prod-cases)
  fix  $i j$ 
  have  $(f \odot mbot) (i, j) = (\bigsqcup_k f (i, k) * mbot (k, j))$ 
    by (simp add: times-matrix-def)
  also have  $\dots = (\bigsqcup_k f (i, k) * bot)$ 
    by (simp add: bot-matrix-def)
  also have  $\dots = bot$ 
    by simp
  also have  $\dots = mbot (i, j)$ 
    by (simp add: bot-matrix-def)
  finally show  $(f \odot mbot) (i, j) = mbot (i, j)$ 

```

qed

qed

interpretation *matrix-bounded-idempotent-semiring:*

bounded-idempotent-semiring **where** *sup* = *sup-matrix* **and** *less-eq* =

less-eq-matrix **and** *less* = *less-matrix* **and** *bot* = *bot-matrix* ::

$('a::\text{finite}, 'b::\text{bounded-idempotent-semiring})$ square **and** *top* = *top-matrix* **and**

one = *one-matrix* **and** *times* = *times-matrix*

proof

```

fix  $f :: ('a, 'b)$  square
show  $f \oplus mtop = mtop$ 
proof

```

```

fix e
have (f ⊕ mtop) e = f e ⊔ mtop e
  by (simp add: sup-matrix-def)
also have ... = f e ⊔ top
  by (simp add: top-matrix-def)
also have ... = top
  by simp
also have ... = mtop e
  by (simp add: top-matrix-def)
finally show (f ⊕ mtop) e = mtop e
.
qed
qed

```

6.5 Stone Relation Algebras

Finally, we show that matrices over Stone relation algebras form a Stone relation algebra.

interpretation *matrix-stone-relation-algebra: stone-relation-algebra* **where** *sup = sup-matrix* **and** *inf = inf-matrix* **and** *less-eq = less-eq-matrix* **and** *less = less-matrix* **and** *bot = bot-matrix* **::** (*'a::finite, 'b::stone-relation-algebra*) *square* **and** *top = top-matrix* **and** *uminus = uminus-matrix* **and** *one = one-matrix* **and** *times = times-matrix* **and** *conv = conv-matrix*

proof

```

fix f g h :: ('a,'b) square
show (f ⊙ g) ⊙ h = f ⊙ (g ⊙ h)
  by (simp add: matrix-monoid.mult-assoc)
next
fix f g h :: ('a,'b) square
show (f ⊕ g) ⊙ h = f ⊙ h ⊕ g ⊙ h
  by (simp add: matrix-idempotent-semiring.mult-right-dist-sup)
next
fix f :: ('a,'b) square
show mbot ⊙ f = mbot
  by simp
next
fix f :: ('a,'b) square
show mone ⊙ f = f
  by simp
next
fix f :: ('a,'b) square
show ftt = f
proof (rule ext, rule prod-cases)
  fix i j
  have (ftt) (i,j) = ((ft) (j,i))T
    by (simp add: conv-matrix-def)
  also have ... = f (i,j)
    by (simp add: conv-matrix-def)
  finally show (ftt) (i,j) = f (i,j)

```

.
qed
next
fix $f\ g :: ('a, 'b)$ square
show $(f \oplus g)^t = f^t \oplus g^t$
proof (*rule ext, rule prod-cases*)
 fix $i\ j$
 have $((f \oplus g)^t) (i, j) = ((f \oplus g) (j, i))^T$
 by (*simp add: conv-matrix-def*)
 also have $\dots = (f (j, i) \sqcup g (j, i))^T$
 by (*simp add: sup-matrix-def*)
 also have $\dots = (f^t) (i, j) \sqcup (g^t) (i, j)$
 by (*simp add: conv-matrix-def conv-dist-sup*)
 also have $\dots = (f^t \oplus g^t) (i, j)$
 by (*simp add: sup-matrix-def*)
 finally show $((f \oplus g)^t) (i, j) = (f^t \oplus g^t) (i, j)$

.
qed
next
fix $f\ g :: ('a, 'b)$ square
show $(f \odot g)^t = g^t \odot f^t$
proof (*rule ext, rule prod-cases*)
 fix $i\ j$
 have $((f \odot g)^t) (i, j) = ((f \odot g) (j, i))^T$
 by (*simp add: conv-matrix-def*)
 also have $\dots = (\bigsqcup_k f (j, k) * g (k, i))^T$
 by (*simp add: times-matrix-def*)
 also have $\dots = (\bigsqcup_k (f (j, k) * g (k, i)))^T$
 by (*metis (no-types) conv-dist-sum*)
 also have $\dots = (\bigsqcup_k (g (k, i))^T * (f (j, k))^T)$
 by (*simp add: conv-dist-comp*)
 also have $\dots = (\bigsqcup_k (g^t) (i, k) * (f^t) (k, j))$
 by (*simp add: conv-matrix-def*)
 also have $\dots = (g^t \odot f^t) (i, j)$
 by (*simp add: times-matrix-def*)
 finally show $((f \odot g)^t) (i, j) = (g^t \odot f^t) (i, j)$

.
qed
next
fix $f\ g\ h :: ('a, 'b)$ square
show $(f \odot g) \otimes h \preceq f \odot (g \otimes (f^t \odot h))$
proof (*unfold less-eq-matrix-def, rule allI, rule prod-cases*)
 fix $i\ j$
 have $((f \odot g) \otimes h) (i, j) = (f \odot g) (i, j) \sqcap h (i, j)$
 by (*simp add: inf-matrix-def*)
 also have $\dots = (\bigsqcup_k f (i, k) * g (k, j)) \sqcap h (i, j)$
 by (*simp add: times-matrix-def*)
 also have $\dots = (\bigsqcup_k f (i, k) * g (k, j)) \sqcap h (i, j)$
 by (*metis (no-types) inf-right-dist-sum*)

also have ... $\leq (\bigsqcup_k f(i,k) * (g(k,j) \sqcap (f(i,k))^T * h(i,j)))$
by (*rule leq-sum, meson dedekind-1*)
also have ... $= (\bigsqcup_k f(i,k) * (g(k,j) \sqcap (f^t)(k,i) * h(i,j)))$
by (*simp add: conv-matrix-def*)
also have ... $\leq (\bigsqcup_k f(i,k) * (g(k,j) \sqcap (\bigsqcup_l (f^t)(k,l) * h(l,j))))$
by (*rule leq-sum, rule allI, rule comp-right-isotone, rule*
inf.sup-right-isotone, rule ub-sum)
also have ... $= (\bigsqcup_k f(i,k) * (g(k,j) \sqcap (f^t \odot h)(k,j)))$
by (*simp add: times-matrix-def*)
also have ... $= (\bigsqcup_k f(i,k) * (g \otimes (f^t \odot h))(k,j))$
by (*simp add: inf-matrix-def*)
also have ... $= (f \odot (g \otimes (f^t \odot h)))(i,j)$
by (*simp add: times-matrix-def*)
finally show $((f \odot g) \otimes h)(i,j) \leq (f \odot (g \otimes (f^t \odot h)))(i,j)$

qed

next

fix $f g :: ('a, 'b)$ square

show $\ominus\ominus(f \odot g) = \ominus\ominus f \odot \ominus\ominus g$

proof (*rule ext, rule prod-cases*)

fix $i j$

have $(\ominus\ominus(f \odot g))(i,j) = --((f \odot g)(i,j))$

by (*simp add: uminus-matrix-def*)

also have ... $= --(\bigsqcup_k f(i,k) * g(k,j))$

by (*simp add: times-matrix-def*)

also have ... $= (\bigsqcup_k --(f(i,k) * g(k,j)))$

by (*metis (no-types) pp-dist-sum*)

also have ... $= (\bigsqcup_k --(f(i,k)) * --(g(k,j)))$

by (*meson pp-dist-comp*)

also have ... $= (\bigsqcup_k (\ominus\ominus f)(i,k) * (\ominus\ominus g)(k,j))$

by (*simp add: uminus-matrix-def*)

also have ... $= (\ominus\ominus f \odot \ominus\ominus g)(i,j)$

by (*simp add: times-matrix-def*)

finally show $(\ominus\ominus(f \odot g))(i,j) = (\ominus\ominus f \odot \ominus\ominus g)(i,j)$

qed

next

let $?o = mone :: ('a, 'b)$ square

show $\ominus\ominus ?o = ?o$

proof (*rule ext, rule prod-cases*)

fix $i j$

have $(\ominus\ominus ?o)(i,j) = --(?o(i,j))$

by (*simp add: uminus-matrix-def*)

also have ... $= --(\text{if } i = j \text{ then } 1 \text{ else bot})$

by (*simp add: one-matrix-def*)

also have ... $= (\text{if } i = j \text{ then } --1 \text{ else } --\text{bot})$

by *simp*

also have ... $= (\text{if } i = j \text{ then } 1 \text{ else bot})$

by *auto*

```

    also have ... = ?o (i,j)
      by (simp add: one-matrix-def)
    finally show (⊖⊖?o) (i,j) = ?o (i,j)
  .
qed
qed
end

```

7 Extended Reals

In this theory we extend real numbers by a least element and a greatest element. We then show that extended reals form a Stone algebra using minimum and maximum as lattice operations. It follows that they also form a Stone relation algebra. Moreover we show that extended reals form a commutative monoid using a suitably extended addition operation. We conclude by deriving various additional properties of extended reals that are not captured by these algebras.

```
theory Extended-Reals
```

```
imports Real Relation-Algebras
```

```
begin
```

We disable the unary minus operation on reals because it conflicts with the pseudocomplement in Stone algebras.

```
no-notation
```

```
uminus ( - - [81] 80)
```

```
datatype ext-real = MinusInfinity | Real real | PlusInfinity
```

Our first result shows that extended reals form a Stone algebra.

```
instantiation ext-real :: stone-algebra
```

```
begin
```

Join and meet are maximum and minimum extended so that *MinusInfinity* becomes the least element and *PlusInfinity* becomes the greatest element.

```
fun sup-ext-real :: ext-real ⇒ ext-real ⇒ ext-real where
```

```

  sup-ext-real MinusInfinity x = x
| sup-ext-real (Real x) MinusInfinity = Real x
| sup-ext-real (Real x) (Real y) = Real (max x y)
| sup-ext-real (Real x) PlusInfinity = PlusInfinity
| sup-ext-real PlusInfinity x = PlusInfinity

```

```
fun inf-ext-real :: ext-real ⇒ ext-real ⇒ ext-real where
```

```
inf-ext-real MinusInfinity x = MinusInfinity
```

```

| inf-ext-real (Real x) MinusInfinity = MinusInfinity
| inf-ext-real (Real x) (Real y) = Real (min x y)
| inf-ext-real (Real x) PlusInfinity = Real x
| inf-ext-real PlusInfinity x = x

```

The pseudocomplement takes the least element to the greatest element and every other element to the least element.

```

fun uminus-ext-real :: ext-real  $\Rightarrow$  ext-real where
  uminus-ext-real MinusInfinity = PlusInfinity
| uminus-ext-real (Real x) = MinusInfinity
| uminus-ext-real PlusInfinity = MinusInfinity

```

```

fun minus-ext-real :: ext-real  $\Rightarrow$  ext-real  $\Rightarrow$  ext-real where
  minus-ext-real x y = x  $\sqcap$  (uminus y)

```

```

definition bot-ext-real :: ext-real where bot-ext-real  $\equiv$  MinusInfinity

```

```

definition top-ext-real :: ext-real where top-ext-real  $\equiv$  PlusInfinity

```

The order extends the standard order on reals.

```

fun less-eq-ext-real :: ext-real  $\Rightarrow$  ext-real  $\Rightarrow$  bool where
  less-eq-ext-real MinusInfinity x = True
| less-eq-ext-real (Real x) MinusInfinity = False
| less-eq-ext-real (Real x) (Real y) = (x  $\leq$  y)
| less-eq-ext-real (Real x) PlusInfinity = True
| less-eq-ext-real PlusInfinity MinusInfinity = False
| less-eq-ext-real PlusInfinity (Real y) = False
| less-eq-ext-real PlusInfinity PlusInfinity = True

```

```

definition less-ext-real :: ext-real  $\Rightarrow$  ext-real  $\Rightarrow$  bool where less-ext-real x y  $\equiv$ 
x  $\leq$  y  $\wedge$   $\neg$  y  $\leq$  x

```

Proofs over extended reals often work by separately considering the three cases per variable.

instance

proof

```

  fix x y :: ext-real
  show (x < y) = (x  $\leq$  y  $\wedge$   $\neg$  y  $\leq$  x)
  by (simp add: less-ext-real-def)

```

next

```

  fix x :: ext-real
  show x  $\leq$  x
  by (cases x) simp-all

```

next

```

  fix x y z :: ext-real
  assume x  $\leq$  y and y  $\leq$  z
  thus x  $\leq$  z
  by (cases x; cases y; cases z) simp-all

```

next

```

  fix x y :: ext-real

```

```

    assume  $x \leq y$  and  $y \leq x$ 
    thus  $x = y$ 
      by (cases x; cases y) simp-all
next
  fix  $x y :: \text{ext-real}$ 
  show  $x \sqcap y \leq x$ 
    by (cases x; cases y) simp-all
next
  fix  $x y :: \text{ext-real}$ 
  show  $x \sqcap y \leq y$ 
    by (cases x; cases y) simp-all
next
  fix  $x y z :: \text{ext-real}$ 
  assume  $x \leq y$  and  $x \leq z$ 
  thus  $x \leq y \sqcap z$ 
    by (cases x; cases y; cases z) simp-all
next
  fix  $x y :: \text{ext-real}$ 
  show  $x \leq x \sqcup y$ 
    by (cases x; cases y) simp-all
next
  fix  $x y :: \text{ext-real}$ 
  show  $y \leq x \sqcup y$ 
    by (cases x; cases y) simp-all
next
  fix  $x y z :: \text{ext-real}$ 
  assume  $y \leq x$  and  $z \leq x$ 
  thus  $y \sqcup z \leq x$ 
    by (cases x; cases y; cases z) simp-all
next
  fix  $x :: \text{ext-real}$ 
  show  $\text{bot} \leq x$ 
    by (simp add: bot-ext-real-def)
next
  fix  $x :: \text{ext-real}$ 
  show  $x \leq \text{top}$ 
    by (cases x) (simp-all add: top-ext-real-def)
next
  fix  $x y z :: \text{ext-real}$ 
  show  $x \sqcup (y \sqcap z) = (x \sqcup y) \sqcap (x \sqcup z)$ 
    by (cases x; cases y; cases z) simp-all
next
  fix  $x y :: \text{ext-real}$ 
  show  $x \sqcap y = \text{bot} \iff x \leq \text{uminus } y$ 
    by (cases x; cases y) (simp-all add: bot-ext-real-def)
next
  fix  $x :: \text{ext-real}$ 
  show  $\text{uminus } x \sqcup \text{uminus } (\text{uminus } x) = \text{top}$ 
    by (cases x) (simp-all add: top-ext-real-def)

```

qed

end

The Stone algebra structure extends to Stone relation algebras by reusing some of the operations. This is mostly done to simplify the lifting to matrices later.

instantiation *ext-real* :: *stone-relation-algebra*
begin

definition *times-ext-real* :: *ext-real* \Rightarrow *ext-real* \Rightarrow *ext-real* **where** *times-ext-real* = *inf*

definition *conv-ext-real* :: *ext-real* \Rightarrow *ext-real* **where** *conv-ext-real* = *id*

definition *one-ext-real* :: *ext-real* **where** *one-ext-real* = *top*

instance

proof (*intro-classes*, *goal-cases*)

case 2 show ?case by (*simp add: inf-sup-distrib2 times-ext-real-def*)

qed (*auto simp: inf-assoc times-ext-real-def inf-sup-distrib2 one-ext-real-def inf-commute inf.commute inf-left-commute conv-ext-real-def*)

end

The standard addition on reals, extended so that *MinusInfinity* is neutral and *PlusInfinity* is absorbing, forms another commutative monoid.

instantiation *ext-real* :: *comm-monoid-add*
begin

fun *plus-ext-real* :: *ext-real* \Rightarrow *ext-real* \Rightarrow *ext-real* **where**

plus-ext-real *MinusInfinity* *x* = *x*

| *plus-ext-real* (*Real* *x*) *MinusInfinity* = *Real* *x*

| *plus-ext-real* (*Real* *x*) (*Real* *y*) = *Real* (*x* + *y*)

| *plus-ext-real* (*Real* *x*) *PlusInfinity* = *PlusInfinity*

| *plus-ext-real* *PlusInfinity* *x* = *PlusInfinity*

definition *zero-ext-real* :: *ext-real* **where** *zero-ext-real* \equiv *MinusInfinity*

instance

proof

fix *x y z* :: *ext-real*

show (*x* + *y*) + *z* = *x* + (*y* + *z*)

by (*cases* *x*; *cases* *y*; *cases* *z*) *simp-all*

next

fix *x y z* :: *ext-real*

show *x* + *y* = *y* + *x*

by (*cases* *x*; *cases* *y*) *simp-all*

next

fix *x* :: *ext-real*

show *0* + *x* = *x*

by (cases x) (simp-all add: zero-ext-real-def)
qed

end

lemma *ext-real-comm-monoid-add*:
class.comm-monoid-add plus (0::ext-real)
..

lemma *ext-real-sum*:
comm-monoid-add.sum plus (0::ext-real) = sum
by (metis comm-monoid-add.sum-def ext-real-comm-monoid-add sum-def)

Extended reals satisfy a number of further useful properties. First, the order on extended reals is total and join and meet are selective.

lemma *ext-real-total*:
fixes x y :: ext-real
shows $x \leq y \vee y \leq x$
by (cases x; cases y) auto

lemma *ext-real-sup-selective*:
fixes x y :: ext-real
shows $x \sqcup y = x \vee x \sqcup y = y$
by (cases x; cases y) (simp-all add: max-def)

lemma *ext-real-inf-selective*:
fixes x y :: ext-real
shows $x \sqcap y = x \wedge x \sqcap y = y$
by (cases x; cases y) (simp-all add: min-def)

lemma *ext-real-inf-less-eq*:
fixes x y z :: ext-real
shows $x \sqcap y \leq z \iff x \leq z \vee y \leq z$
by (cases x; cases y; cases z) (simp-all add: min-le-iff-disj)

lemma *ext-real-top-sum*:
fixes x y :: ext-real
shows $x \neq \text{top} \implies y \neq \text{top} \implies x \sqcup y \neq \text{top}$
by (metis ext-real-sup-selective)

lemma *ext-real-bot-product*:
fixes x y :: ext-real
shows $x \neq \text{bot} \implies y \neq \text{bot} \implies x * y \neq \text{bot}$
by (metis bot.extremum-uniqueI ext-real-total inf.idem mult-right-isotone semiring.mult-right-mono times-ext-real-def)

lemma *ext-real-sup-not-bot*:
fixes x y :: ext-real
shows $x \neq \text{bot} \implies x \sqcup y \neq \text{bot}$

by *simp*

Next, the regular elements among the extended reals are the least and greatest elements. All elements except the least element are dense.

lemma *ext-real-regular*:

fixes $x :: \text{ext-real}$

shows $\text{regular } x \iff x = \text{bot} \vee x = \text{top}$

by (*cases x*) (*simp-all add: bot-ext-real-def top-ext-real-def*)

lemma *ext-real-dense*:

fixes $x :: \text{ext-real}$

shows $x \neq \text{bot} \implies \neg\neg x = \text{top}$

by (*metis p-bot top-ext-real-def uminus-ext-real.elims*)

Next, standard addition is isotone.

lemma *plus-ext-real-isotone-right*:

fixes $x y :: \text{ext-real}$

shows $\neg\neg x = \neg\neg y \implies x \leq y \implies z + x \leq z + y$

by (*cases x; cases y; cases z*) *simp-all*

lemma *ext-real-plus-not-bot*:

fixes $x y :: \text{ext-real}$

shows $x \neq \text{bot} \implies x + y \neq \text{bot}$

using *bot-ext-real-def plus-ext-real.elims* **by** *auto*

Finally, the sum of two extended reals is the same as the sum of their minima and maxima. This is similar to the inclusion-exclusion principle of two sets.

lemma *ext-real-plus-sup-inf*:

fixes $x y :: \text{ext-real}$

shows $x + y = (x \sqcup y) + (x \sqcap y)$

by (*cases x; cases y*) *simp-all*

lemma *ext-real-sup-inf-sup*:

fixes $x y :: \text{ext-real}$

shows $x \sqcup y = (x \sqcup y) \sqcup (x \sqcap y)$

by (*metis sup-commute sup-inf-absorb sup-left-commute*)

end

8 Matrices over Extended Reals

In this theory we characterise relation-algebraic properties of matrices over extended reals in terms of the entries in the matrices. We consider, in particular, the following properties: univalent, injective, total, surjective, mapping, bijective, vector, covector, point, atom, reflexive, coreflexive, ir-reflexive, symmetric, antisymmetric, asymmetric. We also consider the effect

of composition with the matrix of greatest elements and with coreflexives (tests).

theory *Extended-Real-Matrices*

imports *Matrix-Relation-Algebras Extended-Reals*

begin

type-synonym *'a ext-real-square = ('a,ext-real) square*

We first generalise selectivity to finite suprema.

lemma *ext-real-finite-sup-selective:*

fixes $f :: 'a::finite \Rightarrow ext-real$

shows $\exists i . (\bigsqcup_k f k) = f i$

apply (*induct rule: one-sup-induct*)

apply *blast*

using *ext-real-sup-selective by fastforce*

lemma *ext-real-top-finite-sup:*

fixes $f :: 'a::finite \Rightarrow ext-real$

assumes $\forall k . f k \neq top$

shows $(\bigsqcup_k f k) \neq top$

by (*metis assms ext-real-finite-sup-selective*)

The following results show the effect of composition with the *top* matrix from the left and from the right.

lemma *comp-top-ext-real-matrix:*

fixes $f :: 'a::finite ext-real-square$

shows $(f \odot mtop) (i,j) = (\bigsqcup_k f (i,k))$

apply (*unfold times-matrix-def top-matrix-def*)

using *one-ext-real-def by auto*

lemma *top-comp-ext-real-matrix:*

fixes $f :: 'a::finite ext-real-square$

shows $(mtop \odot f) (i,j) = (\bigsqcup_k f (k,j))$

apply (*unfold times-matrix-def top-matrix-def*)

using *one-ext-real-def by auto*

We characterise univalent matrices: in each row, at most one entry may be different from *bot*.

lemma *univalent-ext-real-matrix-1:*

fixes $f :: 'a::finite ext-real-square$

assumes *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.univalent f*

and $f (i,j) \neq bot$

and $f (i,k) \neq bot$

shows $j = k$

proof –

have $(f^t \odot f) (j,k) = (\bigsqcup_l (f^t) (j,l) * f (l,k))$


```

  by (simp add: times-matrix-def)
  also have ... = ( $\bigsqcup_i (f (l,j))^T * f (l,k)$ )
  by (simp add: conv-matrix-def)
  also have ... = ( $\bigsqcup_i f (l,j) * f (l,k)$ )
  by (simp add: conv-ext-real-def)
  also have ...  $\geq f (i,j) * f (i,k)$ 
  using comp-inf.ub-sum by fastforce
  finally have  $(f^t \odot f) (j,k) \neq \text{bot}$ 
  using assms(2) assms(3) bot.extremum-uniqueI ext-real-bot-product by
fastforce
  hence mone  $(j,k) \neq (\text{bot}::\text{ext-real})$ 
  by (metis assms(1) bot.extremum-uniqueI less-eq-matrix-def)
  thus ?thesis
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) case-prod-conv one-matrix-def)
qed

```

```

lemma univalent-ext-real-matrix-2:
  fixes f :: 'a::finite ext-real-square
  assumes  $\forall i j k . f (i,j) \neq \text{bot} \wedge f (i,k) \neq \text{bot} \longrightarrow j = k$ 
  shows matrix-stone-relation-algebra.univalent f
proof -
  show  $f^t \odot f \preceq \text{mone}$ 
  proof (unfold less-eq-matrix-def, rule allI, rule prod-cases)
    fix j k
    show  $(f^t \odot f) (j,k) \leq \text{mone} (j,k)$ 
    proof (cases  $j = k$ )
      assume  $j = k$ 
      thus ?thesis
      by (simp add: one-matrix-def one-ext-real-def)
    next
      assume  $j \neq k$ 
      hence  $(\bigsqcup_i f (i,j) * f (i,k)) = \text{bot}$ 
      by (metis (no-types, lifting) assms semiring.mult-not-zero
sup-monoid.sum.neutral)
      thus ?thesis
      by (simp add: times-matrix-def conv-matrix-def conv-ext-real-def)
    qed
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma univalent-ext-real-matrix:
  fixes f :: 'a::finite ext-real-square
  shows matrix-stone-relation-algebra.univalent f  $\longleftrightarrow (\forall i j k . f (i,j) \neq \text{bot} \wedge f (i,k) \neq \text{bot} \longrightarrow j = k)$ 
  using univalent-ext-real-matrix-1 univalent-ext-real-matrix-2 by auto

```

Injective matrices can then be characterised by applying converse: in each column, at most one entry may be different from *bot*.

```

lemma injective-ext-real-matrix:

```

fixes $f :: 'a::\text{finite ext-real-square}$
shows $\text{matrix-stone-relation-algebra.injective } f \longleftrightarrow (\forall i j k . f (j,i) \neq \text{bot} \wedge f (k,i) \neq \text{bot} \longrightarrow j = k)$
by ($\text{unfold matrix-stone-relation-algebra.injective-conv-univalent univalent-ext-real-matrix}$) ($\text{simp add: conv-matrix-def conv-ext-real-def}$)

Next come total matrices: each row has a *top* entry.

lemma *total-ext-real-matrix-1:*

fixes $f :: 'a::\text{finite ext-real-square}$
assumes $\text{matrix-stone-relation-algebra.total-var } f$
shows $\exists j . f (i,j) = \text{top}$

proof –

have $\text{mone } (i,i) \leq (f \odot f^t) (i,i)$
using $\text{assms less-eq-matrix-def}$ **by** blast
hence $\text{top} = (f \odot f^t) (i,i)$
by ($\text{simp add: one-matrix-def one-ext-real-def top.extremum-unique}$)
also have $\dots = (\bigsqcup_j f (i,j) * (f^t) (j,i))$
by ($\text{simp add: times-matrix-def}$)
also have $\dots = (\bigsqcup_j f (i,j) * f (i,j))$
by ($\text{simp add: conv-matrix-def conv-ext-real-def}$)
also have $\dots = (\bigsqcup_j f (i,j))$
by ($\text{simp add: times-ext-real-def}$)
finally show $?thesis$
by ($\text{metis ext-real-top-finite-sup}$)

qed

lemma *total-ext-real-matrix-2:*

fixes $f :: 'a::\text{finite ext-real-square}$
assumes $\forall i . \exists j . f (i,j) = \text{top}$
shows $\text{matrix-stone-relation-algebra.total-var } f$

proof ($\text{unfold less-eq-matrix-def, rule allI, rule prod-cases}$)

fix $j k$

show $\text{mone } (j,k) \leq (f \odot f^t) (j,k)$

proof ($\text{cases } j = k$)

assume $j = k$

hence $(\bigsqcup_i f (j,i) * (f^t) (i,k)) = (\bigsqcup_i f (j,i))$

by ($\text{simp add: conv-ext-real-def conv-matrix-def times-ext-real-def}$)

also have $\dots = \text{top}$

by ($\text{metis (no-types) assms comp-inf.ub-sum sup.absorb2 sup-top-left}$)

finally show $?thesis$

by ($\text{simp add: times-matrix-def}$)

next

assume $j \neq k$

thus $?thesis$

by ($\text{simp add: one-matrix-def one-ext-real-def}$)

qed

qed

lemma *total-ext-real-matrix:*

fixes $f :: 'a::\text{finite ext-real-square}$
shows $\text{matrix-bounded-idempotent-semiring.total } f \longleftrightarrow (\forall i . \exists j . f (i,j) = \text{top})$
using $\text{total-ext-real-matrix-1 total-ext-real-matrix-2}$
 $\text{matrix-stone-relation-algebra.total-var}$ **by** auto

Surjective matrices are again characterised by applying `converse`: each column has a *top* entry.

lemma $\text{surjective-ext-real-matrix}$:
fixes $f :: 'a::\text{finite ext-real-square}$
shows $\text{matrix-bounded-idempotent-semiring.surjective } f \longleftrightarrow (\forall j . \exists i . f (i,j) = \text{top})$
by $(\text{unfold matrix-stone-relation-algebra.surjective-conv-total total-ext-real-matrix})$ $(\text{simp add: conv-matrix-def conv-ext-real-def})$

A mapping therefore means that each row has exactly one *top* entry and all others are *bot*.

lemma $\text{mapping-ext-real-matrix}$:
fixes $f :: 'a::\text{finite ext-real-square}$
shows $\text{matrix-stone-relation-algebra.mapping } f \longleftrightarrow (\forall i . \exists j . f (i,j) = \text{top} \wedge (\forall k . j \neq k \longrightarrow f (i,k) = \text{bot}))$
by $(\text{metis univalent-ext-real-matrix total-ext-real-matrix bot-ext-real-def ext-real.simps}(5) \text{ top-ext-real-def})$

lemma $\text{mapping-ext-real-matrix-unique}$:
fixes $f :: 'a::\text{finite ext-real-square}$
shows $\text{matrix-stone-relation-algebra.mapping } f \longleftrightarrow (\forall i . \exists !j . f (i,j) = \text{top} \wedge (\forall k . j \neq k \longrightarrow f (i,k) = \text{bot}))$
apply (rule iffI)
apply $(\text{metis univalent-ext-real-matrix total-ext-real-matrix bot-ext-real-def ext-real.simps}(5) \text{ top-ext-real-def})$
by $(\text{metis univalent-ext-real-matrix total-ext-real-matrix})$

Conversely, `bijjective` means that each column has exactly one *top* entry and all others are *bot*.

lemma $\text{bijjective-ext-real-matrix}$:
fixes $f :: 'a::\text{finite ext-real-square}$
shows $\text{matrix-stone-relation-algebra.bijjective } f \longleftrightarrow (\forall j . \exists i . f (i,j) = \text{top} \wedge (\forall k . i \neq k \longrightarrow f (k,j) = \text{bot}))$
by $(\text{unfold matrix-stone-relation-algebra.bijjective-conv-mapping mapping-ext-real-matrix})$ $(\text{simp add: conv-matrix-def conv-ext-real-def})$

lemma $\text{bijjective-ext-real-matrix-unique}$:
fixes $f :: 'a::\text{finite ext-real-square}$
shows $\text{matrix-stone-relation-algebra.bijjective } f \longleftrightarrow (\forall j . \exists !i . f (i,j) = \text{top} \wedge (\forall k . i \neq k \longrightarrow f (k,j) = \text{bot}))$
by $(\text{unfold matrix-stone-relation-algebra.bijjective-conv-mapping mapping-ext-real-matrix-unique})$ $(\text{simp add: conv-matrix-def conv-ext-real-def})$

We derive algebraic characterisations of matrices in which each row has an entry that is different from *bot*.

lemma *pp-total-ext-real-matrix-1*:
fixes $f :: 'a::\text{finite ext-real-square}$
assumes $\ominus(f \odot \text{mtop}) = \text{mbot}$
shows $\exists j . f (i,j) \neq \text{bot}$
proof –
have $\neg(\exists j . f (i,j) \neq \text{bot}) \implies \ominus(f \odot \text{mtop}) \neq \text{mbot}$
proof –
assume $\neg(\exists j . f (i,j) \neq \text{bot})$
hence $\text{top} = -(f \odot \text{mtop}) (i,i)$
by (*simp add: comp-top-ext-real-matrix ext-real-finite-sup-selective*)
also have $\dots = (\ominus(f \odot \text{mtop})) (i,i)$
by (*simp add: uminus-matrix-def*)
finally show $\ominus(f \odot \text{mtop}) \neq \text{mbot}$
by (*metis bot-ext-real-def bot-matrix-def ext-real.simps(4) top-ext-real-def*)
qed
thus *?thesis*
using *assms by blast*
qed

lemma *pp-total-ext-real-matrix-2*:
fixes $f :: 'a::\text{finite ext-real-square}$
assumes $\forall i . \exists j . f (i,j) \neq \text{bot}$
shows $\ominus(f \odot \text{mtop}) = \text{mbot}$
proof (*rule ext, rule prod-cases*)
fix $i j$
have $(\ominus(f \odot \text{mtop})) (i,j) = -(\bigsqcup_k f (i,k))$
by (*simp add: comp-top-ext-real-matrix uminus-matrix-def*)
also have $\dots = \text{bot}$
by (*metis assms bot-ext-real-def comp-inf.ub-sum sup.absorb1 sup-monoid.add-0-left uminus-ext-real.elims*)
finally show $(\ominus(f \odot \text{mtop})) (i,j) = \text{mbot} (i,j)$
by (*simp add: bot-matrix-def*)
qed

lemma *pp-total-ext-real-matrix-3*:
fixes $f :: 'a::\text{finite ext-real-square}$
shows $\ominus(f \odot \text{mtop}) = \text{mbot} \iff (\forall i . \exists j . f (i,j) \neq \text{bot})$
using *pp-total-ext-real-matrix-1 pp-total-ext-real-matrix-2 by auto*

lemma *pp-total-ext-real-matrix*:
fixes $f :: 'a::\text{finite ext-real-square}$
shows $\text{matrix-bounded-idempotent-semiring.total} (\ominus \ominus f) \iff (\forall i . \exists j . f (i,j) \neq \text{bot})$
using *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.pp-total pp-total-ext-real-matrix-1 pp-total-ext-real-matrix-2 by auto*

lemma *pp-mapping-ext-real-matrix*:
fixes $f :: 'a::\text{finite ext-real-square}$
shows $\text{matrix-stone-relation-algebra.pp-mapping } f \iff (\forall i . \exists j . f (i,j) \neq \text{bot})$

$\wedge (\forall k . j \neq k \longrightarrow f (i,k) = \text{bot})$
by (*metis univalent-ext-real-matrix pp-total-ext-real-matrix bot-ext-real-def*)

lemma *pp-mapping-ext-real-matrix-unique*:

fixes $f :: 'a::\text{finite ext-real-square}$
shows *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.pp-mapping* $f \longleftrightarrow (\forall i . \exists !j . f (i,j) \neq \text{bot})$
 $\wedge (\forall k . j \neq k \longrightarrow f (i,k) = \text{bot})$
apply (*rule iffI*)
apply (*metis univalent-ext-real-matrix pp-total-ext-real-matrix bot-ext-real-def*)
by (*metis univalent-ext-real-matrix pp-total-ext-real-matrix*)

Next follow matrices in which each column has an entry that is different from *bot*.

lemma *pp-surjective-ext-real-matrix-1*:

fixes $f :: 'a::\text{finite ext-real-square}$
shows $\ominus(\text{mtop} \odot f) = \text{mbot} \longleftrightarrow (\forall j . \exists i . f (i,j) \neq \text{bot})$

proof –

have $\ominus(\text{mtop} \odot f) = \text{mbot} \longleftrightarrow (\ominus(\text{mtop} \odot f))^t = \text{mbot}^t$
by (*metis matrix-stone-relation-algebra.conv-involutive*)
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow \ominus(f^t \odot \text{mtop}) = \text{mbot}$
by (*simp add: matrix-stone-relation-algebra.conv-complement matrix-stone-relation-algebra.conv-dist-comp*)
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow (\forall i . \exists j . (f^t) (i,j) \neq \text{bot})$
using *pp-total-ext-real-matrix-3* **by** *auto*
also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow (\forall j . \exists i . f (i,j) \neq \text{bot})$
by (*simp add: conv-matrix-def conv-ext-real-def*)
finally show *?thesis*

qed

lemma *pp-surjective-ext-real-matrix*:

fixes $f :: 'a::\text{finite ext-real-square}$
shows *matrix-bounded-idempotent-semiring.surjective* $(\ominus \ominus f) \longleftrightarrow (\forall j . \exists i . f (i,j) \neq \text{bot})$
using *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.pp-surjective pp-surjective-ext-real-matrix-1*
by *auto*

lemma *pp-bijective-ext-real-matrix*:

fixes $f :: 'a::\text{finite ext-real-square}$
shows *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.pp-bijective* $f \longleftrightarrow (\forall j . \exists i . f (i,j) \neq \text{bot})$
 $\wedge (\forall k . i \neq k \longrightarrow f (k,j) = \text{bot})$
by (*unfold matrix-stone-relation-algebra.pp-bijective-conv-mapping pp-mapping-ext-real-matrix*) (*simp add: conv-matrix-def conv-ext-real-def*)

lemma *pp-bijective-ext-real-matrix-unique*:

fixes $f :: 'a::\text{finite ext-real-square}$
shows *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.pp-bijective* $f \longleftrightarrow (\forall j . \exists !i . f (i,j) \neq \text{bot})$
 $\wedge (\forall k . i \neq k \longrightarrow f (k,j) = \text{bot})$
by (*unfold matrix-stone-relation-algebra.pp-bijective-conv-mapping*)

pp-mapping-ext-real-matrix-unique) (*simp add: conv-matrix-def conv-ext-real-def*)

The regular matrices are those which contain only *bot* or *top* entries.

lemma *regular-ext-real-matrix*:

fixes $f :: 'a::\text{finite ext-real-square}$

shows $\text{matrix-p-algebra.regular } f \longleftrightarrow (\forall e . f e = \text{bot} \vee f e = \text{top})$

proof –

have $\text{matrix-p-algebra.regular } f \longleftrightarrow (\ominus \ominus f = f)$

by *auto*

also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow (\forall e . \text{--} f e = f e)$

by (*metis uminus-matrix-def ext*)

also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow (\forall e . f e = \text{bot} \vee f e = \text{top})$

by (*metis ext-real-regular*)

finally show *?thesis*

qed

Vectors are precisely the row-constant matrices.

lemma *vector-ext-real-matrix-0*:

fixes $f :: 'a::\text{finite ext-real-square}$

assumes $\text{matrix-bounded-idempotent-semiring.vector } f$

shows $f (i,j) = (\bigsqcup_k f (i,k))$

by (*metis assms comp-top-ext-real-matrix*)

lemma *vector-ext-real-matrix-1*:

fixes $f :: 'a::\text{finite ext-real-square}$

assumes $\text{matrix-bounded-idempotent-semiring.vector } f$

shows $f (i,j) = f (i,k)$

by (*metis assms vector-ext-real-matrix-0*)

lemma *vector-ext-real-matrix-2*:

fixes $f :: 'a::\text{finite ext-real-square}$

assumes $\forall i j k . f (i,j) = f (i,k)$

shows $\text{matrix-bounded-idempotent-semiring.vector } f$

proof (*rule ext, rule prod-cases*)

fix $i j$

have $(f \odot \text{mtop}) (i,j) = (\bigsqcup_k f (i,k))$

by (*simp add: comp-top-ext-real-matrix*)

also have $\dots = f (i,j)$

by (*metis assms ext-real-finite-sup-selective*)

finally show $(f \odot \text{mtop}) (i,j) = f (i,j)$

qed

lemma *vector-ext-real-matrix*:

fixes $f :: 'a::\text{finite ext-real-square}$

shows $\text{matrix-bounded-idempotent-semiring.vector } f \longleftrightarrow (\forall i j k . f (i,j) = f (i,k))$

using *vector-ext-real-matrix-1 vector-ext-real-matrix-2* **by** *auto*

Hence covectors are precisely the column-constant matrices.

lemma *covector-ext-real-matrix-0*:

fixes $f :: 'a::\text{finite ext-real-square}$

assumes *matrix-bounded-idempotent-semiring.covector* f

shows $f(i,j) = (\bigsqcup_k f(k,j))$

by (*metis assms top-comp-ext-real-matrix*)

lemma *covector-ext-real-matrix*:

fixes $f :: 'a::\text{finite ext-real-square}$

shows *matrix-bounded-idempotent-semiring.covector* $f \longleftrightarrow (\forall i j k . f(i,j) = f(k,j))$

by (*unfold matrix-stone-relation-algebra.covector-conv-vector vector-ext-real-matrix*) (*metis (no-types, lifting) case-prod-conv id-def conv-matrix-def conv-ext-real-def*)

A point is a matrix that has exactly one row, which is constant *top*, and all other rows are constant *bot*.

lemma *point-ext-real-matrix*:

fixes $f :: 'a::\text{finite ext-real-square}$

shows *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.point* $f \longleftrightarrow (\exists i . \forall j . f(i,j) = \text{top} \wedge (\forall k . i \neq k \longrightarrow f(k,j) = \text{bot}))$

apply (*unfold vector-ext-real-matrix bijective-ext-real-matrix*)

apply (*rule iffI*)

apply *metis*

by *metis*

lemma *point-ext-real-matrix-unique*:

fixes $f :: 'a::\text{finite ext-real-square}$

shows *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.point* $f \longleftrightarrow (\exists !i . \forall j . f(i,j) = \text{top} \wedge (\forall k . i \neq k \longrightarrow f(k,j) = \text{bot}))$

apply (*unfold vector-ext-real-matrix bijective-ext-real-matrix*)

apply (*rule iffI*)

apply (*metis bot-ext-real-def ext-real.simps(4) top-ext-real-def*)

by *metis*

lemma *pp-point-ext-real-matrix*:

fixes $f :: 'a::\text{finite ext-real-square}$

shows *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.pp-point* $f \longleftrightarrow (\exists i . \forall j . f(i,j) \neq \text{bot} \wedge (\forall k . f(i,j) = f(i,k)) \wedge (\forall k . i \neq k \longrightarrow f(k,j) = \text{bot}))$

apply (*unfold vector-ext-real-matrix pp-bijective-ext-real-matrix*)

apply (*rule iffI*)

apply *metis*

by *metis*

lemma *pp-point-ext-real-matrix-unique*:

fixes $f :: 'a::\text{finite ext-real-square}$

shows *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.pp-point* $f \longleftrightarrow (\exists !i . \forall j . f(i,j) \neq \text{bot} \wedge (\forall k . f(i,j) = f(i,k)) \wedge (\forall k . i \neq k \longrightarrow f(k,j) = \text{bot}))$

apply (*unfold vector-ext-real-matrix pp-bijective-ext-real-matrix*)

apply (*rule iffI*)
apply (*metis bot-ext-real-def*)
by *metis*

An atom is a matrix that has exactly one *top* entry and all other entries are *bot*.

lemma *atom-ext-real-matrix-1*:
fixes $f :: 'a::\text{finite ext-real-square}$
assumes *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.atom f*
shows $\exists e . f e = \text{top} \wedge (\forall d . e \neq d \longrightarrow f d = \text{bot})$
proof –
have *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.point* ($f \odot \text{mtop}$)
by (*simp add: assms matrix-bounded-idempotent-semiring.vector-mult-closed*)
from this obtain i **where** $1: \forall j . (f \odot \text{mtop}) (i, j) = \text{top} \wedge (\forall k . i \neq k \longrightarrow (f \odot \text{mtop}) (k, j) = \text{bot})$
using *point-ext-real-matrix by blast*
have *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.point* ($f^t \odot \text{mtop}$)
by (*simp add: assms matrix-bounded-idempotent-semiring.vector-mult-closed*)
from this obtain j **where** $\forall i . (f^t \odot \text{mtop}) (j, i) = \text{top} \wedge (\forall k . j \neq k \longrightarrow (f^t \odot \text{mtop}) (k, i) = \text{bot})$
using *point-ext-real-matrix by blast*
hence $2: \forall i . (\text{mtop} \odot f) (i, j) = \text{top} \wedge (\forall k . j \neq k \longrightarrow (\text{mtop} \odot f) (i, k) = \text{bot})$
by (*metis (no-types) id-apply old.prod.case conv-matrix-def conv-ext-real-def matrix-stone-relation-algebra.conv-dist-comp matrix-stone-relation-algebra.conv-top*)
have $3: \forall i k . j \neq k \longrightarrow f (i, k) = \text{bot}$
proof (*intro allI, rule impI*)
fix $i k$
assume $j \neq k$
hence $(\bigsqcup_l f (l, k)) = \text{bot}$
using 2 **by** (*simp add: top-comp-ext-real-matrix*)
thus $f (i, k) = \text{bot}$
by (*metis bot.extremum-uniqueI comp-inf.ub-sum*)
qed
have $(\bigsqcup_k f (i, k)) = \text{top}$
using 1 **by** (*simp add: comp-top-ext-real-matrix*)
hence $4: f (i, j) = \text{top}$
using 3 **by** (*metis bot-ext-real-def ext-real.simps(4) ext-real-finite-sup-selective top-ext-real-def*)
have $\forall k l . k \neq i \vee l \neq j \longrightarrow f (k, l) = \text{bot}$
proof (*intro allI, unfold imp-disjL, rule conjI*)
fix $k l$
show $k \neq i \longrightarrow f (k, l) = \text{bot}$
proof
assume $k \neq i$
hence $(\bigsqcup_m f (k, m)) = \text{bot}$
using 1 **by** (*simp add: comp-top-ext-real-matrix*)
thus $f (k, l) = \text{bot}$


```

      by (metis bot.extremum-uniqueI comp-inf.ub-sum)
    qed
  show  $l \neq j \longrightarrow f(k,l) = \text{bot}$ 
    using 3 by simp
  qed
  thus ?thesis using 4
    by (metis old.prod.exhaust)
qed

lemma pp-atom-ext-real-matrix-2:
  fixes  $f :: 'a::\text{finite ext-real-square}$ 
  assumes  $\exists e . f e \neq \text{bot} \wedge (\forall d . e \neq d \longrightarrow f d = \text{bot})$ 
  shows matrix-stone-relation-algebra.pp-atom f
proof (unfold matrix-stone-relation-algebra.pp-atom-expanded, intro conjI)
  show  $f \odot \text{mtop} \odot f^t \preceq \text{mone}$ 
  proof (unfold less-eq-matrix-def, rule allI, rule prod-cases)
    fix  $i j$ 
    show  $(f \odot \text{mtop} \odot f^t)(i,j) \leq \text{mone}(i,j)$ 
    proof (cases  $i = j$ )
      assume  $i = j$ 
      thus ?thesis
        by (simp add: one-matrix-def one-ext-real-def)
    next
      assume  $i \neq j$ 
      hence 1:  $\forall k l . f(i,k) * f(j,l) = \text{bot}$ 
        by (metis assms Pair-inject semiring.mult-not-zero)
      have  $(f \odot \text{mtop} \odot f^t)(i,j) = (\bigsqcup_i (f \odot \text{mtop})(i,l) * (f^t)(l,j))$ 
        by (simp add: times-matrix-def)
      also have  $\dots = (\bigsqcup_i (f \odot \text{mtop})(i,l) * f(j,l))$ 
        by (simp add: conv-matrix-def conv-ext-real-def)
      also have  $\dots = (\bigsqcup_i (\bigsqcup_k f(i,k)) * f(j,l))$ 
        by (simp add: comp-top-ext-real-matrix)
      also have  $\dots = (\bigsqcup_i \bigsqcup_k f(i,k) * f(j,l))$ 
        by (metis inf-right-dist-sum times-ext-real-def)
      also have  $\dots = \text{bot}$ 
        using 1 ext-real-finite-sup-selective by simp
      finally show ?thesis
        by simp
    qed
  qed
next
  show  $f^t \odot \text{mtop} \odot f \preceq \text{mone}$ 
  proof (unfold less-eq-matrix-def, rule allI, rule prod-cases)
    fix  $i j$ 
    show  $(f^t \odot \text{mtop} \odot f)(i,j) \leq \text{mone}(i,j)$ 
    proof (cases  $i = j$ )
      assume  $i = j$ 
      thus ?thesis
        by (simp add: one-matrix-def one-ext-real-def)
    qed
  qed

```

```

next
  assume  $i \neq j$ 
  hence 2:  $\forall k l . f (k,i) * f (l,j) = \text{bot}$ 
    by (metis assms Pair-inject semiring.mult-not-zero)
  have  $(f^t \odot \text{mtop} \odot f) (i,j) = (\bigsqcup_l (f^t \odot \text{mtop}) (i,l) * f (l,j))$ 
    by (simp add: times-matrix-def)
  also have ... =  $(\bigsqcup_l (\bigsqcup_k (f^t) (i,k)) * f (l,j))$ 
    by (simp add: comp-top-ext-real-matrix)
  also have ... =  $(\bigsqcup_l (\bigsqcup_k f (k,i)) * f (l,j))$ 
    by (simp add: conv-matrix-def conv-ext-real-def)
  also have ... =  $(\bigsqcup_l \bigsqcup_k f (k,i) * f (l,j))$ 
    by (metis inf-right-dist-sum times-ext-real-def)
  also have ... = bot
    using 2 ext-real-finite-sup-selective by simp
  finally show ?thesis
    by simp
qed
qed
next
show  $\text{mtop} \odot \ominus \ominus f \odot \text{mtop} = \text{mtop}$ 
proof (rule ext, rule prod-cases)
  fix  $i j$ 
  from assms obtain  $k l$  where  $f (k,l) \neq \text{bot}$ 
    using prod.collapse by auto
  hence  $\text{top} = \text{--}f (k,l)$ 
    by (simp add: ext-real-dense)
  also have ...  $\leq (\bigsqcup_k \text{--}f (k,l))$ 
    using comp-inf.ub-sum by simp
  also have ...  $\leq (\bigsqcup_l \bigsqcup_k \text{--}f (k,l))$ 
    using comp-inf.ub-sum by simp
  finally have  $\exists : \text{top} \leq (\bigsqcup_l \bigsqcup_k \text{--}f (k,l))$ 
    by simp
  have  $(\text{mtop} \odot \ominus \ominus f \odot \text{mtop}) (i,j) = (\bigsqcup_l (\bigsqcup_k \text{top} * \text{--}f (k,l)) * \text{top})$ 
    by (simp add: times-matrix-def top-matrix-def uminus-matrix-def)
  also have ... =  $(\bigsqcup_l \bigsqcup_k \text{--}f (k,l))$ 
    using one-ext-real-def by auto
  also have ... = top
    using 3 top.extremum-unique by blast
  finally show  $(\text{mtop} \odot \ominus \ominus f \odot \text{mtop}) (i,j) = \text{mtop} (i,j)$ 
    by (simp add: top-matrix-def)
qed
qed

lemma atom-ext-real-matrix-2:
  fixes  $f :: 'a::\text{finite ext-real-square}$ 
  assumes  $\exists e . f e = \text{top} \wedge (\forall d . e \neq d \longrightarrow f d = \text{bot})$ 
  shows matrix-stone-relation-algebra.atom f
proof (unfold matrix-stone-relation-algebra.atom-expanded, intro conjI)
  show  $f \odot \text{mtop} \odot f^t \preceq \text{mone}$ 

```

```

  by (metis assms bot-ext-real-def ext-real.simps(5)
matrix-stone-relation-algebra.pp-atom-expanded pp-atom-ext-real-matrix-2
top-ext-real-def)
next
  show  $f^t \odot mtop \odot f \preceq mone$ 
  by (metis assms bot-ext-real-def ext-real.simps(5)
matrix-stone-relation-algebra.pp-atom-expanded pp-atom-ext-real-matrix-2
top-ext-real-def)
next
  show  $mtop \odot f \odot mtop = mtop$ 
  proof (rule ext, rule prod-cases)
    fix  $i j$ 
    from assms obtain  $k l$  where  $f (k,l) = top$ 
    using prod.collapse by auto
    hence  $(\bigsqcup_k f (k,l)) = top$ 
    by (metis (mono-tags) comp-inf.ub-sum top-unique)
    hence  $\exists: top \leq (\bigsqcup_l \bigsqcup_k f (k,l))$ 
    by (metis (no-types) comp-inf.ub-sum)
    have  $(mtop \odot f \odot mtop) (i,j) = (\bigsqcup_l (\bigsqcup_k top * f (k,l)) * top)$ 
    by (simp add: times-matrix-def top-matrix-def)
    also have  $\dots = (\bigsqcup_l \bigsqcup_k f (k,l))$ 
    using one-ext-real-def by auto
    also have  $\dots = top$ 
    using  $\exists$  top.extremum-unique by blast
    finally show  $(mtop \odot f \odot mtop) (i,j) = mtop (i,j)$ 
    by (simp add: top-matrix-def)
  qed
qed

lemma atom-ext-real-matrix:
  fixes  $f :: 'a::finite$  ext-real-square
  shows matrix-stone-relation-algebra.atom  $f \longleftrightarrow (\exists e . f e = top \wedge (\forall d . e \neq d \longrightarrow f d = bot))$ 
  using atom-ext-real-matrix-1 atom-ext-real-matrix-2 by blast

lemma atom-ext-real-matrix-unique:
  fixes  $f :: 'a::finite$  ext-real-square
  shows matrix-stone-relation-algebra.atom  $f \longleftrightarrow (\exists !e . f e = top \wedge (\forall d . e \neq d \longrightarrow f d = bot))$ 
  apply (rule iffI)
  apply (metis (no-types, hide-lams) atom-ext-real-matrix bot-ext-real-def
ext-real.simps(4) top-ext-real-def)
  using atom-ext-real-matrix by blast

lemma pp-atom-ext-real-matrix-1:
  fixes  $f :: 'a::finite$  ext-real-square
  assumes matrix-stone-relation-algebra.pp-atom  $f$ 
  shows  $\exists e . f e \neq bot \wedge (\forall d . e \neq d \longrightarrow f d = bot)$ 
  proof -

```

have *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.pp-point* $(f \odot mtop)$
by (*simp add: assms matrix-bounded-idempotent-semiring.vector-mult-closed*)
from this obtain i **where** $1: \forall j . (f \odot mtop) (i,j) \neq bot \wedge (\forall k . (f \odot mtop) (i,j) = (f \odot mtop) (i,k)) \wedge (\forall k . i \neq k \longrightarrow (f \odot mtop) (k,j) = bot)$
by (*metis pp-point-ext-real-matrix*)
have *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.pp-point* $(f^t \odot mtop)$
by (*simp add: assms matrix-bounded-idempotent-semiring.vector-mult-closed*)
from this obtain j **where** $\forall i . (f^t \odot mtop) (j,i) \neq bot \wedge (\forall k . (f^t \odot mtop) (j,i) = (f^t \odot mtop) (j,k)) \wedge (\forall k . j \neq k \longrightarrow (f^t \odot mtop) (k,i) = bot)$
by (*metis pp-point-ext-real-matrix*)
hence $2: \forall i . (mtop \odot f) (i,j) \neq bot \wedge (\forall k . (mtop \odot f) (i,j) = (mtop \odot f) (k,j)) \wedge (\forall k . j \neq k \longrightarrow (mtop \odot f) (i,k) = bot)$
by (*metis (no-types) id-apply old.prod.case conv-matrix-def conv-ext-real-def matrix-stone-relation-algebra.conv-dist-comp matrix-stone-relation-algebra.conv-top*)
have $3: \forall i k . j \neq k \longrightarrow f (i,k) = bot$
proof (*intro allI, rule impI*)
fix $i k$
assume $j \neq k$
hence $(\bigsqcup_l f (l,k)) = bot$
using 2 **by** (*simp add: top-comp-ext-real-matrix*)
thus $f (i,k) = bot$
by (*metis bot.extremum-uniqueI comp-inf.ub-sum*)
qed
have $(\bigsqcup_k f (i,k)) \neq bot$
using 1 **by** (*simp add: comp-top-ext-real-matrix*)
hence $4: f (i,j) \neq bot$
using 3 **by** (*metis bot-ext-real-def ext-real-finite-sup-selective*)
have $\forall k l . k \neq i \vee l \neq j \longrightarrow f (k,l) = bot$
proof (*intro allI, unfold imp-disjL, rule conjI*)
fix $k l$
show $k \neq i \longrightarrow f (k,l) = bot$
proof
assume $k \neq i$
hence $(\bigsqcup_m f (k,m)) = bot$
using 1 **by** (*simp add: comp-top-ext-real-matrix*)
thus $f (k,l) = bot$
by (*metis bot.extremum-uniqueI comp-inf.ub-sum*)
qed
show $l \neq j \longrightarrow f (k,l) = bot$
using 3 **by** *simp*
qed
thus *?thesis using 4*
by (*metis old.prod.exhaust*)
qed

lemma *pp-atom-ext-real-matrix*:

fixes $f :: 'a::finite \text{ ext-real-square}$

shows *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.pp-atom* $f \longleftrightarrow (\exists e . f e \neq bot \wedge (\forall d . e$

$\neq d \longrightarrow f d = \text{bot}$)
using *pp-atom-ext-real-matrix-1 pp-atom-ext-real-matrix-2* **by** *blast*

lemma *pp-atom-ext-real-matrix-unique*:
fixes $f :: 'a::\text{finite ext-real-square}$
shows *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.pp-atom* $f \longleftrightarrow (\exists! e . f e \neq \text{bot} \wedge (\forall d . e \neq d \longrightarrow f d = \text{bot}))$
apply (*rule iffI*)
apply (*metis (no-types, hide-lams) pp-atom-ext-real-matrix bot-ext-real-def*)
using *pp-atom-ext-real-matrix* **by** *blast*

Reflexive matrices are those with a constant *top* diagonal.

lemma *reflexive-ext-real-matrix-1*:
fixes $f :: 'a::\text{finite ext-real-square}$
assumes *matrix-idempotent-semiring.reflexive* f
shows $f (i,i) = \text{top}$
proof –
have (*top::ext-real*) = *mone* (i,i)
by (*simp add: one-matrix-def one-ext-real-def*)
also have $\dots \leq f (i,i)$
using *assms less-eq-matrix-def* **by** *blast*
finally show *?thesis*
by (*simp add: top.extremum-unique*)
qed

lemma *reflexive-ext-real-matrix-2*:
fixes $f :: 'a::\text{finite ext-real-square}$
assumes $\forall i . f (i,i) = \text{top}$
shows *matrix-idempotent-semiring.reflexive* f
proof (*unfold less-eq-matrix-def, rule allI, rule prod-cases*)
fix $i j$
show $\text{mone} (i,j) \leq f (i,j)$
proof (*cases i = j*)
assume $i = j$
thus *?thesis*
by (*simp add: assms*)
next
assume $i \neq j$
hence (*bot::ext-real*) = *mone* (i,j)
by (*simp add: one-matrix-def*)
thus *?thesis*
by *simp*
qed
qed

lemma *reflexive-ext-real-matrix*:
fixes $f :: 'a::\text{finite ext-real-square}$
shows *matrix-idempotent-semiring.reflexive* $f \longleftrightarrow (\forall i . f (i,i) = \text{top})$
using *reflexive-ext-real-matrix-1 reflexive-ext-real-matrix-2* **by** *auto*

Coreflexive matrices are those in which all non-diagonal entries are *bot*.

```

lemma coreflexive-ext-real-matrix-1:
  fixes f :: 'a::finite ext-real-square
  assumes matrix-idempotent-semiring.coreflexive f
    and i ≠ j
  shows f (i,j) = bot
proof -
  have f (i,j) ≤ mone (i,j)
    using assms less-eq-matrix-def by blast
  also have ... = bot
    by (simp add: assms one-matrix-def)
  finally show ?thesis
    by (simp add: bot.extremum-unique)
qed

```

```

lemma coreflexive-ext-real-matrix-2:
  fixes f :: 'a::finite ext-real-square
  assumes ∀ i j . i ≠ j → f (i,j) = bot
  shows matrix-idempotent-semiring.coreflexive f
proof (unfold less-eq-matrix-def, rule allI, rule prod-cases)
  fix i j
  show f (i,j) ≤ mone (i,j)
  proof (cases i = j)
    assume i = j
    hence (top::ext-real) = mone (i,j)
      by (simp add: one-matrix-def one-ext-real-def)
    thus ?thesis
      by simp
  next
    assume i ≠ j
    thus ?thesis
      by (simp add: assms)
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma coreflexive-ext-real-matrix:
  fixes f :: 'a::finite ext-real-square
  shows matrix-idempotent-semiring.coreflexive f ↔ (∀ i j . i ≠ j → f (i,j) =
  bot)
  using coreflexive-ext-real-matrix-1 coreflexive-ext-real-matrix-2 by auto

```

Irreflexive matrices are those with a constant *bot* diagonal.

```

lemma irreflexive-ext-real-matrix-1:
  fixes f :: 'a::finite ext-real-square
  assumes matrix-stone-relation-algebra.irreflexive f
  shows f (i,i) = bot
proof -
  have (top::ext-real) = mone (i,i)
    by (simp add: one-matrix-def one-ext-real-def)

```

```

hence (bot::ext-real) = ( $\ominus$ mone) (i,i)
  by (simp add: uminus-matrix-def)
hence f (i,i)  $\leq$  bot
  by (metis assms less-eq-matrix-def)
thus ?thesis
  by (simp add: bot.extremum-unique)
qed

```

```

lemma irreflexive-ext-real-matrix-2:
  fixes f :: 'a::finite ext-real-square
  assumes  $\forall i . f (i,i) = bot$ 
  shows matrix-stone-relation-algebra.irreflexive f
proof (unfold less-eq-matrix-def, rule allI, rule prod-cases)
  fix i j
  show f (i,j)  $\leq$  ( $\ominus$ mone) (i,j)
  proof (cases i = j)
    assume i = j
    thus ?thesis
      by (simp add: assms)
  next
    assume i  $\neq$  j
    hence (bot::ext-real) = mone (i,j)
      by (simp add: one-matrix-def)
    hence (top::ext-real) = ( $\ominus$ mone) (i,j)
      by (simp add: uminus-matrix-def)
    thus ?thesis
      by simp
  qed
qed

```

```

lemma irreflexive-ext-real-matrix:
  fixes f :: 'a::finite ext-real-square
  shows matrix-stone-relation-algebra.irreflexive f  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall i . f (i,i) = bot$ )
  using irreflexive-ext-real-matrix-1 irreflexive-ext-real-matrix-2 by auto

```

As usual, symmetric matrices are those which do not change under transposition.

```

lemma symmetric-ext-real-matrix:
  fixes f :: 'a::finite ext-real-square
  shows matrix-stone-relation-algebra.symmetric f  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall i j . f (i,j) = f (j,i)$ )
  by (metis (mono-tags, lifting) case-prod-conv cond-case-prod-eta id-def
  conv-matrix-def conv-ext-real-def)

```

Antisymmetric matrices are characterised as follows: each entry not on the diagonal or its mirror entry across the diagonal must be *bot*.

```

lemma antisymmetric-ext-real-matrix:
  fixes f :: 'a::finite ext-real-square
  shows matrix-stone-relation-algebra.antisymmetric f  $\longleftrightarrow$  ( $\forall i j . i \neq j \longrightarrow f (i,j) = bot \vee f (j,i) = bot$ )

```

proof –

have *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.antisymmetric* $f \longleftrightarrow (\forall i j . i \neq j \longrightarrow f (i,j) \sqcap f (j,i) \leq \text{bot})$
by (*simp add: conv-matrix-def inf-matrix-def conv-ext-real-def less-eq-matrix-def one-matrix-def one-ext-real-def*)
thus *?thesis*
by (*metis bot.extremum-uniqueI ext-real-bot-product inf.order-refl semiring.mult-not-zero times-ext-real-def*)
qed

For asymmetric matrices the diagonal is included: each entry or its mirror entry across the diagonal must be *bot*.

lemma *asymmetric-ext-real-matrix*:

fixes $f :: 'a::\text{finite ext-real-square}$

shows *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.asymmetric* $f \longleftrightarrow (\forall i j . f (i,j) = \text{bot} \vee f (j,i) = \text{bot})$

proof –

have *matrix-stone-relation-algebra.asymmetric* $f \longleftrightarrow (\forall i j . f (i,j) \sqcap f (j,i) \leq \text{bot})$

apply (*unfold conv-matrix-def inf-matrix-def conv-ext-real-def id-def bot-matrix-def*)

by (*metis (mono-tags, lifting) bot.extremum bot.extremum-uniqueI case-prod-conv old.prod.exhaust*)

thus *?thesis*

by (*metis bot.extremum-uniqueI ext-real-bot-product inf.order-refl semiring.mult-not-zero times-ext-real-def*)

qed

In a transitive matrix, the weight of one of the edges on an indirect route must be below the weight of the direct edge.

lemma *transitive-ext-real-matrix*:

fixes $f :: 'a::\text{finite ext-real-square}$

shows *matrix-idempotent-semiring.transitive* $f \longleftrightarrow (\forall i j k . f (i,k) \leq f (i,j) \vee f (k,j) \leq f (i,j))$

proof –

have *matrix-idempotent-semiring.transitive* $f \longleftrightarrow (\forall i j . (\bigsqcup_k f (i,k) * f (k,j)) \leq f (i,j))$

by (*simp add: times-matrix-def less-eq-matrix-def*)

also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow (\forall i j k . f (i,k) * f (k,j) \leq f (i,j))$

by (*simp add: lub-sum-iff*)

also have $\dots \longleftrightarrow (\forall i j k . f (i,k) \leq f (i,j) \vee f (k,j) \leq f (i,j))$

by (*simp add: times-ext-real-def ext-real-inf-less-eq*)

finally show *?thesis*

qed

We finally show the effect of composing with a coreflexive (test) from the left and from the right. This amounts to a restriction of each row or column to the entry on the diagonal of the coreflexive. In this case, restrictions are formed by meets.

lemma *coreflexive-comp-ext-real-matrix*:
fixes $f\ g :: 'a::\text{finite ext-real-square}$
assumes *matrix-idempotent-semiring.coreflexive f*
shows $(f \odot g)\ (i,j) = f\ (i,i) \sqcap g\ (i,j)$
proof –
have $1: \forall k . i \neq k \longrightarrow f\ (i,k) = \text{bot}$
using *assms coreflexive-ext-real-matrix* **by** *auto*
have $(\bigsqcup_k f\ (i,k)) = f\ (i,i) \sqcup (\bigsqcup_{k \in \text{UNIV} - \{i\}} f\ (i,k))$
by (*metis (no-types) UNIV-def brouwer.inf-bot-right finite-UNIV insert-def sup-monoid.sum.insert-remove*)
hence $2: (\bigsqcup_k f\ (i,k)) = f\ (i,i)$
using 1 **by** (*simp add: DiffD2 singletonI sup-monoid.sum.neutral*)
have $(f \odot g)\ (i,j) = (f \odot \text{mtop} \otimes g)\ (i,j)$
by (*metis assms matrix-stone-relation-algebra.coreflexive-comp-top-inf*)
also have $\dots = (\bigsqcup_k f\ (i,k)) \sqcap g\ (i,j)$
by (*metis inf-matrix-def comp-top-ext-real-matrix*)
finally show *?thesis*
using 2 **by** *simp*
qed

lemma *comp-coreflexive-ext-real-matrix*:
fixes $f\ g :: 'a::\text{finite ext-real-square}$
assumes *matrix-idempotent-semiring.coreflexive g*
shows $(f \odot g)\ (i,j) = f\ (i,j) \sqcap g\ (j,j)$
proof –
have $(f \odot g)\ (i,j) = ((f \odot g)^t)\ (j,i)$
by (*simp add: conv-matrix-def conv-ext-real-def*)
also have $\dots = (g \odot f^t)\ (j,i)$
by (*simp add: assms matrix-stone-relation-algebra.conv-dist-comp matrix-stone-relation-algebra.coreflexive-symmetric*)
also have $\dots = g\ (j,j) \sqcap (f^t)\ (j,i)$
by (*simp add: assms coreflexive-comp-ext-real-matrix*)
also have $\dots = f\ (i,j) \sqcap g\ (j,j)$
by (*simp add: conv-matrix-def conv-ext-real-def inf-commute*)
finally show *?thesis*
qed

end

References

- [1] C. J. Aarts, R. C. Backhouse, E. A. Boiten, H. Doornbos, N. van Gasteren, R. van Geldrop, P. F. Hoogendijk, E. Voermans, and J. van der Woude. Fixed-point calculus. *Inf. Process. Lett.*, 53(3):131–136, 1995.

- [2] H. Andréka and S. Mikulás. Axiomatizability of positive algebras of binary relations. *Algebra Universalis*, 66(1–2):7–34, 2011.
- [3] A. Armstrong, S. Foster, G. Struth, and T. Weber. Relation algebra. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, 2016, first version 2014.
- [4] A. Armstrong, V. B. F. Gomes, G. Struth, and T. Weber. Kleene algebra. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, 2016, first version 2013.
- [5] R. Berghammer. *Ordnungen, Verbände und Relationen mit Anwendungen*. Springer, second edition, 2012.
- [6] R. Berghammer and W. Guttmann. Closure, properties and closure properties of multirelations. In W. Kahl, M. Winter, and J. N. Oliveira, editors, *Relational and Algebraic Methods in Computer Science*, volume 9348 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 67–83. Springer, 2015.
- [7] R. Bird and O. de Moor. *Algebra of Programming*. Prentice Hall, 1997.
- [8] D. A. Bredihin and B. M. Schein. Representations of ordered semi-groups and lattices by binary relations. *Colloquium Mathematicum*, 39(1):1–12, 1978.
- [9] S. D. Comer. On connections between information systems, rough sets and algebraic logic. In C. Rauszer, editor, *Algebraic Methods in Logic and in Computer Science*, volume 28 of *Banach Center Publications*, pages 117–124. Institute of Mathematics, Polish Academy of Sciences, 1993.
- [10] B. A. Davey and H. A. Priestley. *Introduction to Lattices and Order*. Cambridge University Press, second edition, 2002.
- [11] P. J. Freyd and A. Ščedrov. *Categories, Allegories*, volume 39 of *North-Holland Mathematical Library*. Elsevier Science Publishers, 1990.
- [12] J. A. Goguen. L-fuzzy sets. *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 18(1):145–174, 1967.
- [13] W. Guttmann. Algebras for iteration and infinite computations. *Acta Inf.*, 49(5):343–359, 2012.
- [14] W. Guttmann. Relation-algebraic verification of Prim’s minimum spanning tree algorithm. In A. Sampaio and F. Wang, editors, *Theoretical Aspects of Computing – ICTAC 2016*, volume 9965 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 51–68. Springer, 2016.
- [15] W. Guttmann. Stone algebras. *Archive of Formal Proofs*, 2016.

- [16] W. Guttman. Stone relation algebras. In *Relational and Algebraic Methods in Computer Science (RAMiCS 2017)*, Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer, 2017. To appear.
- [17] R. Hirsch and I. Hodkinson. *Relation Algebras by Games*. Elsevier Science B.V., 2002.
- [18] Y. Kawahara and H. Furusawa. Crispness in Dedekind categories. *Bulletin of Informatics and Cybernetics*, 33(1–2):1–18, 2001.
- [19] Y. Kawahara, H. Furusawa, and M. Mori. Categorical representation theorems of fuzzy relations. *Information Sciences*, 119(3–4):235–251, 1999.
- [20] R. D. Maddux. Relation-algebraic semantics. *Theoretical Comput. Sci.*, 160(1–2):1–85, 1996.
- [21] R. D. Maddux. *Relation Algebras*. Elsevier B.V., 2006.
- [22] J. N. Oliveira. Extended static checking by calculation using the point-free transform. In A. Bove, L. S. Barbosa, A. Pardo, and J. S. Pinto, editors, *Language Engineering and Rigorous Software Development*, volume 5520 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 195–251. Springer, 2009.
- [23] R. Parikh. Propositional logics of programs: new directions. In M. Karpinski, editor, *Foundations of Computation Theory*, volume 158 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 347–359. Springer, 1983.
- [24] Z. Pawlak. Rough sets, rough relations and rough functions. *Fundamenta Informaticae*, 27(2–3):103–108, 1996.
- [25] D. Peleg. Concurrent dynamic logic. *J. ACM*, 34(2):450–479, 1987.
- [26] G. Schmidt. *Relational Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, 2011.
- [27] G. Schmidt and T. Ströhlein. *Relations and Graphs*. Springer, 1993.
- [28] A. Tarski. On the calculus of relations. *The Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 6(3):73–89, 1941.
- [29] M. Winter. A new algebraic approach to L-fuzzy relations convenient to study crispness. *Information Sciences*, 139(3–4):233–252, 2001.